scientific reports



OPEN Unraveling the phylogenetics of genetically closely related species, Haemaphysalis japonica and Haemaphysalis megaspinosa, using entire tick mitogenomes and microbiomes

Mohamed Abdallah Mohamed Moustafa^{1,2,3}, Wessam M. A. Mohamed^{2,4}, Elisha Chatanga^{2,5}, Doaa Naquib^{2,6}, Keita Matsuno^{10,7,8,9}, Alexander W. Gofton¹¹, Stephen C. Barker¹², Nariaki Nonaka² & Ryo Nakao^{2⊠}

Ticks have a profound impact on public health. Haemaphysalis is one of the most widespread genera in Asia, including Japan. The taxonomy and genetic differentiation of Haemaphysalis spp. is challenging. For instance, previous studies struggled to distinguish Haemaphysalis japonica and Haemaphysalis megaspinosa due to the dearth of nucleotide sequence polymorphisms in widely used barcoding genes. The classification of H. japonica japonica and its related sub-species Haemaphysalis japonica douglasi or Haemaphysalis jezoensis is also confused due to their high morphological similarity and a lack of molecular data that support the current classification. We used mitogenomes and microbiomes of H. japonica and H. megaspinosa to gain deeper insights into the phylogenetic relationships and genetic divergence between two species. Phylogenetic analyses of concatenated nucleotide sequences of protein-coding genes and ribosomal DNA genes distinguished H. japonica and H. megaspinosa as monophyletic clades, with further subdivision within the H. japonica clade. The 16S rRNA and NAD5 genes were valuable markers for distinguishing H. japonica and H. megaspinosa. Population genetic structure analyses indicated that genetic variation within populations accounted for a large proportion of the total variation compared to variation between populations. Microbiome analyses revealed differences in alpha and beta diversity between H. japonica and H. megaspinosa: H. japonica had the higher diversity. Coxiella sp., a likely endosymbiont, was found in both Haemaphysalis species. The abundance profiles of likely endosymbionts, pathogens, and commensals differed between H. japonica and H. megaspinosa: H. megaspinosa was more diverse.

Keywords Haemaphysalis, Mitogenome, Microbiome, Coxiella, Endosymbiont, Tick

¹Department of Entomology, Rutgers School of Environmental and Biological Sciences, Rutgers the State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ 08901, USA. ²Laboratory of Parasitology, Department of Disease Control, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-0818, Japan. ³Department of Animal Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, South Valley University, Qena 83523, Egypt. ⁴Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Rutgers School of Environmental and Biological Sciences, Rutgers the State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ 08901, USA. ⁵Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, Lilongwe University of Agriculture and Natural Resources, P.O. Box 219, Lilongwe, Malawi. ⁶Department of Hygiene and Zoonoses, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt. ⁷One Health Research Center, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan. ⁸International Collaboration Unit, International Institute for Zoonosis Control, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan. ⁹Division of Risk Analysis and Management, International Institute for Zoonosis Control, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan. ¹⁰Institute for Vaccine Research and Development, HU-IVReD, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan. ¹¹CSIRO, Health and Biosecurity, Canberra, ACT, Australia. ¹²Department of Parasitology, School of Chemistry and Molecular Biosciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia. [™]email: ryo.nakao@vetmed.hokudai.ac.jp

Ticks are arachnids that feed on blood of humans, domestic animals, and wildlife. During the process of blood feeding, ticks can transmit a variety of pathogens including the causative agents of anaplasmosis, ehrlichiosis, borreliosis, piroplasmosis and tick-borne encephalitis¹. In addition, ticks can cause irritation, allergy and toxicosis². Approximately, nine hundreds of tick species are distributed around the world³. However, the taxonomy of ticks remains uncertain due to the limited phylogenetic information on mitochondrial and nuclear genomes⁴. In the field of tick taxonomy and speciation, the classification of closely related tick species often presents a complex challenge. This challenge is not limited to subspecies alone but extends to distinct species with remarkably similar morphological features. Instances of such ambiguity are prevalent among various tick species, where differentiation based solely on visual attributes becomes complex. Notably, the classification of tick species like Ixodes scapularis and Ixodes pacificus^{5,6}, both black-legged ticks inhabiting different regions, underscores this difficulty. Similarly, Dermacentor variabilis and Dermacentor andersoni⁷, distinguished by their distinct habitats in North America, exhibit analogous morphologies that warrant molecular scrutiny for accurate differentiation. Likewise, species like Amblyomma maculatum and Amblyomma triste⁸, as well as Rhipicephalus appendiculatus and *Rhipicephalus zambeziensis*^{9,10}, exemplify the need for genetic techniques to unravel taxonomic uncertainties. Even in Europe, ticks such as Ixodes hexagonus and Ixodes canisuga¹¹ demand molecular methods for accurate identification due to their close resemblance. These cases highlight the importance of molecular, genetic, and morphological approaches in untangling the complexities of tick taxonomy, leading to enhanced comprehension of their ecological roles and disease transmission potential.

A good example for the uncertain taxonomy is the phylogenetic classification of Haemaphysalis species, which are predominantly distributed in Asia including Japan. The genus Haemaphysalis (Koch, 1844), is considered the second largest genus of ticks (166 species) after the genus Ixodes (243 species)¹². Albeit Haemaphysalis japonica and Haemaphysalis megaspinosa are morphologically distinct from each other, the previous studies could not differentiate between them genetically due to the lack of sequence polymorphisms in the partial sequences of two mitochondrial genes 16S rRNA and NADH dehydrogenase subunit 2 (ND2) genes^{13,14}. Moreover, a confusing classification of H. japonica and its related species Haemaphysalis japonica douglasi and Haemaphysalis jezoensis has been debated. H. japonica was firstly described by Warburton using male specimens which were collected in Kyushu Island, Japan¹⁵. The monograph written by Nuttall and Warburton supplemented the information on this species and introduced the description of H. japonica var. douglasi using a male specimen collected in northern China¹⁶ Thereafter, Pomerantzev elevated this variety as a subspecies *H. japonica douglasi*¹⁷. Meanwhile, *H.* jezoensis was described using specimens collected in Hokkaido Island, Japan by Ogura and Takada¹⁸. However, H. jezoensis was suggested to be morphologically identical to H. japonica douglasi from China and Russia and collectively named as Haemaphysalis douglasi without taxonomic descriptions¹⁹. Most recently, H. douglasi from Hokkaido and H. japonica from Honshu Islands were suggested to be the same species based on comparing the partial sequences of the large subunit ribosomal RNA gene and internal transcribed spacer 220.

Recently, the whole mitochondrial genomes (mitogenomes) are being used to describe the deep phylogenetic structure of ticks^{21,22} and to investigate the demographic patterns and population structure of sympatric tick species²³. For instance, this approach has yielded a robust phylogenetic hypothesis to resolve Amblyomma cajennense species complex through utilizing the mitogenomes for A. cajennense s. s., Amblyomma mixtum, Amblyomma tonelliae, Amblyomma patinoi, and Amblyomma sculptum²⁴. In addition, mitogenome sequencing has become instrumental in uncovering cryptic tick species. For example, in the case of Rhipicephalus ticks, sequencing mitogenomes revealed two Rhipicephalus microplus clades and ticks presumed to be R. microplus from Southern China and Northern India were identified as a cryptic species closely related to Rhipicephalus annulatus²⁵. Another example is the detection of a potentially novel tick species closely related to Amblyomma testudinarium from Myanmar²³. Simultaneously, the tick microbiome plays a crucial role in tick physiology, host-pathogen interactions, and vector-borne disease transmission²⁶. Recent advancements in the field of tick microbiome research have revealed the complex microbial communities harbored by ticks and their potential impact on tick biology and vector competence^{27,28}. Tick microbiomes exhibit considerable variation across different tick species²⁹. For example, the microbiome of *Ixodes granulatus* is characterized by the presence of Rickettsiales and Borrelia³⁰, whereas Haemaphysalis hystricis predominantly harbors Acinetobacter and Rickettsiales³¹. Haemaphysalis shimoga, on the other hand, is marked by a significant abundance of Coxiella and varying levels of Rickettsiales, Candidatus Rhabdochlamydia, and Stenotrophomonas³¹. Meanwhile, Dermacentor steini displays a unique microbial profile, with prominent Acinetobacter and Francisella, along with Rickettsiales and Burkholderiales³². These examples underscore the distinct microbial communities existing in different tick species, even those closely related, and emphasize the importance of studying tick microbiomes for a comprehensive understanding of tick physiology and their potential roles in disease transmission³¹. Investigating the microbiome of several tick species could provide valuable insights into the development of targeted tick control strategies, potentially disrupting the microbial balance and reducing tick-borne disease burden³³.

To gain deeper insights into the phylogenetic relationships and genetic divergence between *H. japonica* and *H. megaspinosa*, we employed whole mitogenome sequencing and comprehensive microbiome analysis. These cutting-edge approaches allowed us to elucidate the mitogenomic and microbial characteristics that differentiate these closely related tick species, providing valuable information on their evolutionary history, potential ecological niches.

Results

Characteristics of the analyzed mitogenomes

The mitogenomes of 29 *H. japonica* and 18 *H. megaspinosa* specimens from Japan were sequenced: these ranged from 14,678 to 14,683 bp in length. A total of 37 genes were identified, including 13 protein-coding genes (PCGs), 22 transfer RNA (tRNA) genes, and two ribosomal DNA (rDNA). Additionally, two control regions were

observed in the mitogenome. Notably, no gene rearrangements were detected among the 47 newly sequenced *Haemaphysalis* mitogenomes.

The nucleotide sequences of 47 ticks were aligned with the reference mitogenome sequences of *H. japonica* from China (accession number: NC_037246), as well as *Haemaphysalis flava* (accession number: NC_005292). The 29 mitogenomes of *H. japonica* had an average nucleotide identity of 99.4% (99.0–99.9) whereas the 18 mitogenomes of *H. megaspinosa* had an average nucleotide identity of 99.8% (99.4–99.9). On the other hand, the 29 mitogenomes of *H. japonica* had an average nucleotide identity of 99.0% (98.9–99.1) with the 18 mitogenomes of *H. megaspinosa*.

Phylogenetic relationships inferred from the concatenated sequences of 13 protein-coding genes and two ribosomal DNA genes

The phylogeographic analysis included 29 *H. japonica* and 18 *H. megaspinosa* specimens collected from 10 prefectures in Japan, as well as two reference sequences retrieved from GenBank: *H. japonica* from China (accession number: NC_037246) and *H. flava* (accession number: NC_005292). The maximum clade credibility (MCC) tree topology revealed that the *H. japonica* sequences formed a clade separate from the *H. megaspinosa* sequences (Fig. 1). Furthermore, the *H. japonica* sequences exhibited further subdivision into two additional clades (hereinafter: Hj1 and Hj2). The MCC analysis of the concatenated and translated 13 PCGs further supported the findings of the phylogeographic tree based on the concatenated 15 mitochondrial genes (Fig. 2). To identify suitable markers for molecular differentiation between *H. japonica* and *H. megaspinosa*, we examined the parsimony information sites in 15 mitochondrial genes. Among these genes, the 16S rDNA exhibited the highest number of parsimony information sites, with a total of 30 sites. Additionally, the NAD5 gene showed a substantial number of parsimony information sites, with a total of 37 sites. These markers provide valuable molecular information that can aid in distinguishing between *H. japonica* and *H. megaspinosa* (Supplementary table 1).

Genetic structure of H. japonica populations

Our acquired *H. japonica* sequences were grouped into two subclades, Hj1 and Hj2. Hj1 emerged as the prevailing subspecies of *H. japonica* on Honshu Island, while Hj2 dominated Hokkaido Island. Statistical analysis of population genetic structure was performed to assess the influence of geographic factors on the genetic variation within and between populations of *H. japonica*. The results of the Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) indicated that the genetic structure of *H. japonica* is not influenced by geographic factors, with a lower proportion of genetic variation observed among populations (38.05%) compared to within populations (61.95%). The



Figure 1. Genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationships of *H. japonica* and *H. megaspinosa* specimens from Japan revealed by Bayesian MCC tree of concatenated of 15 mitochondrial gene sequences. The tree was rooted to *H. flava* which is the putative sister-species to *H. japonica* and *H. megaspinosa* (Barker unpublished data). In the tree, the clade representing specimens from *H. megaspinosa* are highlighted in blue (Hm) while *H. japonica* specimens were subdivided into two clades that are highlighted in light cyan (Hj1) and green (Hj2). The nucleotide differences among the 47 newly sequenced mitogenomes of *Haemaphysalis* collected in Japan were examined. The positions of single nucleotide variations are indicated by vertical lines in the mitogenome sequences.



5.0

Figure 2. Genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationships among *H. japonica* and *H. megaspinosa* specimens from Japan using a Bayesian MCC tree based on the translated concatenated sequences of 13 protein coding genes. The tree was rooted to *H. flava* which is the putative sister-species to *H. japonica* and *H. megaspinosa* (Barker unpublished data). In the tree, the clade representing specimens from *H. megaspinosa* is highlighted in blue, while *H. japonica* specimens are further subdivided into two clades highlighted in light cyan and green.

global F_{ST} values (p < 0.01) indicated significant genetic differentiation within the Fukushima and Yamagata population (p = 0.0000) (Table 1).

Comparing microbiome diversity between H. megaspinosa and H. japonica (Hj1 and Hj2)

After demultiplexing and quality filtering, 1,466,794 high-quality reads were obtained from the Illumina MiSeq sequencer for the microbial analysis, corresponding to 770 features identified through DADA2 quality control analysis. Among the 33 ticks representing two *Haemaphysalis* species, one sample was excluded from the diversity analysis due to the significantly low number of obtained sequences (n = 4123). For the microbial analysis, *H. megaspinosa* was represented by one group (Hm) and *H. japonica* was categorized into two subgroups (Hj1 and Hj2) representing the phylogenetic subclades as illustrated in Fig. 1.

The Kruskal–Wallis test was employed to determine the significance of alpha diversity between *H. japonica* and *H. megaspinosa*. The results indicated that *H. japonica* exhibited higher alpha diversity compared to *H. megaspinosa*. This was evident through the analysis of various metrics, including Shannon diversity (p < 0.09), Faith's PD (p-value < 0.01), observed features (p-value < 0.05), and Pielou's evenness (p < 0.12) (Fig. 3a).

The Pairwise Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) analysis revealed that beta diversity metrics, including unweighted UniFrac, weighted UniFrac, Jaccard, and Bray–Curtis, differed between *H. japonica* and *H. megaspinosa* (pseudo-*F, p* < 0.001, *p* < 0.4, *p* < 0.08, and *p* < 0.2, respectively) (Fig. 3b). The PCoA plots supported the findings from the pairwise PERMANOVA analysis, showing overlapping clusters of samples from each *Haemaphysalis* species (Fig. 3c). Moreover, there was some separation in the clustering of samples based on unweighted UniFrac (Fig. 3c).

The composition of the most abundant bacterial families varied significantly across the different *Haemaphysalis* tick subgroups, as shown in Table 2 and Fig. 4. We provided a comprehensive overview of the total number of reads for each identified bacterial feature in this study. Our findings indicate that every analyzed *Haemaphysalis* species exhibited the presence of *Coxiella* as a predominant potential endosymbiont in their microbiome (Fig. 4a).

By analyzing the relative abundance and conducting linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) analysis on bacterial genera, we found that the two examined *Haemaphysalis* species displayed similar profiles of

Source of variation	Degree of freedom	Sum of squares	Variance components	Percentage of variation	F _{ST}
Among populations	1	247.87	15.52	38.05	0 290/7***
Within populations	27	682.26	25.27	61.95	0.38047

Table 1. Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) using the concatenated 15 mitochondrial genes sequences extracted from whole mitogenomes of *Haemaphysalis japonica* populations in Japan. Degree of significance: ***p < 0.0.



Figure 3. Diversity analyses of microbial populations of *H. japonica* Hj1 (n=7), Hj2 (n=12), and *H. megaspinosa* (n=13) samples. Each dot shows the microbial population from an individual ixodid tick and color represents sample species. (a) A box and whisker plot was used to compare the alpha diversity of microbiome communities in *H. japonica* and *H. megaspinosa*. The results showed a significant difference between the two species in terms of Faith PD (p<0.01) and Observed OTUs (p<0.05), but no significant difference was observed for Shannon and Evenness indices (p<0.09 and 0.12, respectively), as determined by the Kruskal–Wallis test. (b) The beta diversity of the microbiome in *H. japonica* and *H. megaspinosa* was examined. Pairwise PERMANOVA analysis revealed significant differences in community dissimilarity among the different tick species. Specifically, only Unweighted UniFrac showed a significant difference (p<0.001, pseudo-*F*). (c) The PCoA plots, based on Unweighted UniFrac, Weighted UniFrac, Jaccard, and Bray–Curtis dissimilarity metrics, displayed overlapping clusters of samples from each *Haemaphysalis* species.

Species	Subclade	Bacterial family	Abundance (%)
	Hm	Coxiellaceae	87.63
U magachinaca		Rickettsiaceae	2.43
n. megaspinosa		Beijerinckiaceae	2.28
		Xanthomonadaceae	1.40
	Hj1	Coxiellaceae	91.46
		Beijerinckiaceae	3.09
		Sphingomonadaceae	1.74
U istorics		Nocardiaceae	1.41
11. јаропіса	11:2	Coxiellaceae	86.90
		Anaplasmataceae	1.07
	11j2	Xanthomonadaceae	0.63
		Beijerinckiaceae	0.50

Table 2. Summary of the most abundant bacterial families in the microbiome of Haemaphysalis ticks.

.....

potential endosymbionts, specifically *Coxiella* and potential pathogens, specifically *Ehrlichia*. However, they exhibited distinct profiles of commensals, with *H. megaspinosa* samples displaying higher diversity compared to *H. japonica* (Fig. 4b).

Discussion

The investigation of the phylogeographic structure of tick populations may play a crucial role in enhancing our understanding of tick distribution and the prevalence of tick-borne pathogens²³. In the present study, we present the first assessment of the genetic diversity and microbiome structure of H. japonica and H. megaspinosa, which are widely distributed in East and Northeast Asia³⁴. Our research stands out as we employed whole mitogenomes of multiple individuals from each tick species to investigate the genetic diversity on a regional scale in Japan. In contrast to earlier studies that struggled to molecularly differentiate H. japonica and H. megaspinosa or classify H. japonica subspecies in the country^{13,20}, we successfully analyzed the nucleotide variations in the complete mitogenomes between H. japonica and H. megaspinosa. Additionally, we examined the intraspecies genetic relationships and population differentiation among H. japonica subspecies. Our study benefited from a wide spatial scale of sample collection, enabling us to construct a comprehensive phylogenetic tree using 29 new H. japonica and 18 H. megaspinosa mitogenome sequences. Intriguingly, the 29 mitogenomes of H. japonica were on average only 1% (1.1-0.9%) different to the 18 mitogenomes of H. megaspinosa (genetic identity of 99.0% (98.9-99.1). Since Mans et al. (2021) found that pairwise nucleotide differences greater than 5% indicated different species of ticks³⁵, the morphology of the voucher specimens of the 29 H. japonica and 18 H. megaspinosa ticks we studied will be studied in detail, in the future, to test the idea that H. japonica and H. megaspinosa may be conspecific. Furthermore, we provided valuable insights into the microbiome structure of both tick species based on amplicon sequences obtained from 32 tick samples from Japan.

In our study, tick specimens collected from various biogeographic regions in Japan were examined. Despite the different ecoclimatic habitats, phylogenetic analysis revealed that all sequences from the same *Haemaphysalis* sp. formed a monophyletic group with a high percentile identity of 99.0–99.9%. We found that the 16S rDNA had the highest number of parsimony information sites, totaling 30 sites. Additionally, the NAD5 gene exhibited a significant number of parsimony information sites, with a total of 37 sites. These markers, as presented in Supplementary Table 1, offer valuable molecular information that can aid in the molecular differentiation of *H. japonica* and *H. megaspinosa*. By utilizing these markers, researchers and practitioners can enhance their ability to accurately distinguish between these two tick species, contributing to a better understanding of their distribution and epidemiological significance. Moreover, our analysis revealed that the mitogenomes of *H. japonica* ticks exhibited a biphyletic grouping, with a sequence identity range of 99.0–99.9%. This suggests that *H. japonica* in Japan can be further classified into two subspecies where *H. japonica* found in Honshu Island (Hj1) formed a single clade and *H. japonica* found predominantly in Hokkaido (Hj2) formed the other cluster with the same species from China. This finding supports the previous observation that *H. japonica* (*H. jezoensis* at the time) was morphologically identical to *H. japonica douglasi* from China and Russia¹⁹.

The statistical analysis of population genetic structure aimed to investigate the genetic differentiation among the Hj1 and Hj2 populations of *H. japonica*. From the MCC tree analysis, it was observed that the Hj2 population consisted of some specimens from Honshu Island. However, no sequences from Hokkaido were found within the Hj1 population. The results of the AMOVA indicated that the genetic structure of *H. japonica* is not significantly influenced by geographic factors, as the proportion of genetic variation among populations (38.05%) was lower compared to within populations (61.95%). This suggests that there is more genetic diversity within each population, and the populations are not highly structured. Despite the clustering of some Hokkaido specimens with Fukushima individuals in the MCC tree, the absence of Hokkaido sequences within the Hj1 population suggests a lack of gene flow or limited genetic exchange between these populations. This may indicate some level of genetic differentiation between the Hj1 and Hj2 populations. The significant genetic differentiation within the Hj1 populations (*p* = 0.0000) further supports the presence of distinct genetic clusters within these regions. It is



Figure 4. (a) The relative abundance (%) of bacterial taxa present in the microbiome of *H. japonica* and *H. megaspinosa*. The top 30 most abundant taxa are shown individually, while the remaining taxa are grouped together. Each bar represents the bacterial taxa identified in a single sample. (b) The LEfSe analysis revealed the taxa that were significantly differentially abundant (p < 0.05) within *H. megaspinosa*.

possible that factors other than geographic proximity, such as local adaptation or genetic drift, are contributing to the observed genetic differentiation.

The comparison of polymorphic sites revealed that relying solely on a single mitochondrial gene for phylogenetic analysis of *Haemaphysalis* spp. may lead to incorrect or incomplete conclusions. Current studies focusing on population structure and phylogenetic analysis of ticks commonly employ multiple mitochondrial genes to draw comprehensive conclusions^{36,37}. Moreover, our findings indicate that the *H. megaspinosa* population in Japan exhibits lesser genetic diversity compared to the closely related *H. japonica* population. It is important to note that the evolution of mitochondrial genes can be influenced by various ecological factors, including endosymbionts and host diversity³⁸. The disparity in diversity between *H. japonica* and *H. megaspinosa* in Japan may be attributed to variations in endosymbiont genetics that can lead to mitogenome divergence in tick species³⁹.

Advancements in sequencing technology and bioinformatic tools have greatly expanded research on vectorassociated microbiomes. This progress has significantly contributed to our understanding of tick systematics and the identification of novel tick-borne pathogens^{26,40}. Acknowledging the influence of diverse ecological factors and endosymbionts is crucial in understanding the evolutionary dynamics of mitochondrial genes^{41,42}. In our study, we conducted a comprehensive investigation of the microbiomes of 32 Haemaphysalis ticks, providing valuable insights into the relationship between microbiome composition and species variations. Notably, we observed a predominant presence of a Coxiella-like endosymbiont (CLE) within the microbiomes of both H. japonica and H. megaspinosa ticks. This finding aligns with previous studies that have detected CLE in other Haemaphysalis tick species such as H. longicornis⁴³, H. shimoga³¹ and Haemaphysalis punctata⁴⁴. The differences in microbiome diversity that we observed between H. japonica and H. megaspinosa can likely be attributed to various external factors, including the sources and histories of tick blood meals, the presence or absence of pathogenic bacteria and protozoa, and the surrounding environmental conditions⁴⁵. Our study elucidated that the variability among individuals of *H. japonica* and *H. megaspinosa* is primarily driven by the presence of environmental bacteria, as depicted in Fig. 4b. Furthermore, we revealed that both tick species share similar potential pathogens, such as Ehrlichia species. This suggests that H. japonica and H. megaspinosa occupy similar ecological niches and possess comparable physiological characteristics, facilitating the presence of shared potential pathogens.

Our study provides valuable insights into the phylogenetic classification, genetic diversity, and microbiome structure of genetically closely related *Haemaphysalis* species. Through the utilization of complete tick mitogenomes, we successfully differentiated between *H. japonica* and *H. megaspinosa* and examined the intraspecies genetic relationships within *H. japonica*. The identified markers, particularly the 16S rDNA and NAD5 gene, offer valuable tools for accurate molecular differentiation between these tick species. Our findings support the presence of distinct genetic clusters within *H. japonica* appulations, with limited gene flow between different regions. The investigation of tick microbiomes revealed the predominance of a CLE and highlighted differences in microbiome diversity between *H. japonica* and *H. megaspinosa*. These findings enhance our understanding of the genetic and ecological characteristics of *Haemaphysalis* ticks and contribute to the knowledge of tick-borne disease epidemiology and control efforts. Further studies exploring the relationship between endosymbiont genetics, mitogenome divergence, and tick microbiomes are warranted to gain a comprehensive understanding of these complex interactions.

Materials and methods Tick samples and DNA extraction

Ticks were collected from Japan during 2013 to 2018 by flagging a flannel cloth on the plants. The collected ticks were kept in sterile plastic tubes till identification in the laboratory. The morphological identification was conducted by using a stereomicroscope as previously described⁴⁶. A total of 18 *H. megaspinosa* and 29 *H. japonica* (Fig. 5) were morphologically identified and kept separately in Eppendorf tubes at – 20 °C for the molecular





analysis (Fig. 5 and Table 3). In the current study, we used the name *H. japonica* regardless of their geographic origin. For DNA extraction, each tick was washed twice with ethanol (70%) and molecular grade phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and homogenized at 3,000 rpm for 30 s in 100 μ L of Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Gibco, Life Technologies) by using a Micro Smash MS-100R (TOMY, Tokyo, Japan). A blackPREP Tick DNA/RNA Kit (Analytikjena, Germany) was used to extract DNA from a 50 μ L of the tick homogenate⁴⁷.

Sample ID	Tick species	Stage/sex	Prefecture	DDBJ	16S rDNA amplicon
HJ0190	H. japonica	Female	Hokkaido	LC567908	
HJ0196	H. japonica	Female	Hokkaido	LC567909	Included
HJ0291	H. japonica	Female	Hokkaido	LC567910	Included
HJ0292	H. japonica	Female	Hokkaido	LC567911	Included
HJ0295	H. japonica	Female	Hokkaido	LC567912	Included
HJ0898	H. japonica	Female	Fukushima	LC567913	Included
HJ0902	H. japonica	Female	Fukushima	LC567914	Included
HJ0945	H. japonica	Female	Hokkaido	LC567915	Included
HJ1072	H. japonica	Nymph	Fukushima	LC567916	Included
HJ1076	H. japonica	Nymph	Fukushima	LC567917	Included
HJ1079	H. japonica	Nymph	Fukushima	LC567918	Included
HJ1161	H. japonica	Male	Fukushima	LC567919	
HJ1163	H. japonica	Male	Fukushima	LC567920	
HJ1164	H. japonica	Male	Fukushima	LC567921	Included
HJ1169	H. japonica	Female	Fukushima	LC567922	
HJ1170	H. japonica	Female	Fukushima	LC567923	
HJ1172	H. japonica	Female	Fukushima	LC567924	
HJ1173	H. japonica	Female	Fukushima	LC567925	
HJ1174	H. japonica	Female	Fukushima	LC567926	
HJ1175	H. japonica	Female	Fukushima	LC567927	
HJ1240	H. japonica	Female	Yamagata	LC567928	Included
HJ1243	H. japonica	Female	Yamagata	LC567929	Included
HJ1463	H. japonica	Male	Hokkaido	LC567930	Included
HJ1581	H. japonica	Female	Hokkaido	LC567931	Included
HJ2715	H. japonica	Female	Hokkaido	LC567932	Included
HJ3546	H. japonica	Female	Hokkaido	LC567933	Included
HJ3547	H. japonica	Female	Hokkaido	LC567934	Included
HJ3548	H. japonica	Female	Hokkaido	LC567935	Included
HJ3549	H. japonica	Female	Hokkaido	LC567936	Included
HM0107	H. megaspinosa	Male	Hokkaido	LC567937	
HM0110	H. megaspinosa	Female	Hokkaido	LC567938	Included
HM0296	H. megaspinosa	Female	Hokkaido	LC567939	Included
HM0762	H. megaspinosa	Female	Miyazaki	LC567940	
HM0763	H. megaspinosa	Female	Miyazaki	LC567941	Included
HM0849	H. megaspinosa	Female	Nara	LC567942	Included
HM0862	H. megaspinosa	Female	Nara	LC567943	
HM0946	H. megaspinosa	Nymph	Hokkaido	LC567944	Included
HM1034	H. megaspinosa	Nymph	Fukushima	LC567945	Included
HM1449	H. megaspinosa	Male	Hokkaido	LC567946	Included
HM1580	H. megaspinosa	Female	Hokkaido	LC567947	Included
HM1725	H. megaspinosa	Female	Kagoshima	LC567948	
HM2059	H. megaspinosa	Female	Mie	LC567949	Included
HM2060	H. megaspinosa	Female	Mie	LC567950	Included
HM2506	H. megaspinosa	Female	Hokkaido	LC567951	
HM2629	H. megaspinosa	Male	Hiroshima	LC567952	Included
HM2869	H. megaspinosa	Female	Kochi	LC567953	Included
HM3469	H. megaspinosa	Male	Wakayama	LC567954	Included

Table 3. Geographic origin, and developmental stage/sex of Haemaphysalis ticks.

Scientific Reports | (2024) 14:9961 |

Whole mitogenome sequencing and assembly

Amplification of the complete mitogenomes of H. megaspinosa and H. japonica was achieved through longand short-range PCRs, based on a modified protocol from a previous study²². The long-range PCR primers, mtG_K23 (5'-TCCTACATGATCTGAGTTYAGACCG-3') and K26 (5'-ACGGGCGATATGTRCATATTTTAG AGC-3'), along with the short-range PCR primers, H_gap_F1 (5'-YAAYTCCAAAAATTGATGCAAA-3') and H_gap_R1 (5'-AAGTCAAGRTGCARCAWAAR-3'), were designed by aligning the complete mitogenomes of Haemaphysalis genus available in the database. For the long-range PCR, a 50-µl reaction mixture was prepared, consisting of 10 µl of 5 × PrimeSTAR GXL Buffer (Mg²⁺ Plus) (TaKaRa Bio Inc., Shiga, Japan), 4.0 µl of dNTP Mixture (2.5 mM each), 200 nM of each primer, 1.0 µl of PrimeSTAR* GXL DNA Polymerase (TaKaRa Bio Inc.), and 2.0 µl of template DNA. The reaction conditions were set as follows: 45 cycles of denaturation at 98 °C for 10 s, annealing at 60 °C for 15 s, and extension at 68 °C for 10 min. As for the short-range PCR, a 25-µl reaction mixture was prepared, containing 12.5 µl of 2×Gflex PCR Buffer (Mg²⁺, dNTP plus) (TaKaRa Bio Inc.), 0.5 µl of Tks Gflex DNA Polymerase (1.25 units/µl) (TaKaRa Bio Inc.), 200 nM of each primer, and 1.0 µl of template DNA. The reaction conditions consisted of an initial denaturation step at 94 °C for 60 s, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 98 °C for 10 s, annealing at 55 °C for 15 s, extension at 68 °C for 60 s, and a final extension at 68 °C for 5 min. To analyze the amplified PCR products, electrophoresis was performed using a 1.5% agarose gel stained with Gel-Red™ (Biotium, Hayward, CA). The PCR products were then purified using the NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-Up Kit (TaKaRa Bio Inc.).

The long-range and short-range PCR products were combined at equal concentrations, with a ratio of 7:1, respectively. The final DNA concentration of the mixed PCR products from each sample was normalized to $0.2 \text{ ng/}\mu$ l. Subsequently, Illumina sequencing libraries were generated from the purified PCR amplicons using the Nextera DNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina, Hayward, CA). Sequencing was performed on the Illumina MiSeq platform, utilizing the MiSeq reagent kit v3 for 600 cycles. To obtain the complete mitogenome sequences for each *H. megaspinosa* and *H. japonica* sample, the reads were assembled using CLC Genomics Workbench v20.0.4 (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany).

Comparative analysis of mitogenomes and phylogenetic inference

The complete mitogenome sequences were imported into Geneious version 10.2.6 (Biomatters Ltd., Auckland, New Zealand) and aligned with the mitogenome sequences of H. flava (accession number: NC_005292) and H. japonica (accession number: NC_037246). Subsequently, 13 PCG sequences and two rDNA sequences were extracted from each mitogenome sequence and concatenated to create a combined alignment. The concatenated sequences of the 15 mitochondrial genes from *H. megaspinosa* and *H. japonica* samples collected in Japan were aligned using the MAFFT software⁴⁸. To determine the appropriate substitution model, PHYML 3.0 software was utilized, employing the Akaike Information Criterion⁴⁹. Furthermore, a Bayesian phylogenetic tree was constructed using BEAST version 1.4, a cross-platform program for Bayesian analysis of molecular sequences through Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulations. The GTR nucleotide substitution model with discrete gamma-distributed rate variation was employed to model sequence evolution. Additionally, a strict clock model was selected to assume a constant evolution rate across the entire tree. The Bayesian skyline coalescent model, a demographic model within a Bayesian framework, was used. The MCMC sampling was performed for 50 million generations, with samples collected every 50,000 steps after a burn-in period of 500,000 steps. The MCC tree was determined using TreeAnnotator⁵⁰. The resulting MCC tree was visualized using FigTree version 1.4.4 (http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/figtree), with branch lengths proportional to posterior values. Additionally, another tree was constructed using the same Bayesian phylogenetic analysis technique, but this time utilizing the translated sequences of the concatenated 13 PCG sequences. The evolutionary models, MCMC sampling, burn-in period, and visualization methods remained consistent with the previous tree construction.

Analysis of the genetic structure within H. japonica populations

Population genetic structure analyses were conducted using the AMOVA implemented in Arlequin software version $3.5.2.2^{51}$. The genetic variance among and within populations of *H. japonica* collected from Hokkaido Island (n = 13) was compared to Fukushima and Yamagata prefectures (n = 16). The number of permutations was set to 1000, and significance was assessed at a *p*-value threshold of < 0.05 based on the calculated fixation indices (*F*-statistics). *F*_{ST}, which indicates the degree of differentiation within populations, was used to measure the extent of allelic fixation or identity within populations⁵². *F*_{SC} on the other hand, estimated the differentiation among populations within the assigned group. A higher value of *F*_{SC} suggests greater heterogeneity among populations. In cases where a strong population genetic structure is present at the analyzed population scale, *F*_{SC} is expected to be higher relative to *F*_{ST}.

Data deposition and accession numbers

The entire mitogenome sequences of 29 *H. japonica* and 18 *H. megaspinosa* have been submitted to the DNA Data Bank of Japan (http://www.ddbj.nig.ac.jp). The accession numbers for *H. japonica* samples are LC567908-LC567936, and for *H. megaspinosa* samples are LC567937-LC567954.

MiSeq 16S rDNA amplicon sequencing

A total of 33 genomic DNA samples from \overline{H} . *japonica* (n = 20) and H. *megaspinosa* (n = 13) (Table 3), along with one DNA extraction blank controls and one negative controls with distilled water, were subjected to PCR amplification targeting the V3-V4 regions of the bacterial 16S rDNA. The Illumina barcoded primers, Illumina_16S_341F and Illumina_16S_805R, were used for the PCR amplification, following the protocols described in references^{53,54}. Kapa HiFi HotStart Ready Mix (KAPA Biosystems, Wilmington, MA, USA) was

utilized for the PCR reactions. Each PCR reaction consisted of 12.5 μ L of 2 × KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix, 5.0 μ L of each primer, and 2.5 μ L of the tick genomic DNA samples or negative controls. The PCR products were confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis using a 1.5% agarose gel stained with Gel-RedTM (Biotium, Hayward, CA, USA) and visualized under UV light. The amplicons were purified using AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter Life Sciences, IN, USA). Subsequently, libraries were prepared using the Nextera Index Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), and sequencing was performed with a MiSeq Reagent Kit v3 (600 cycles) on an Illumina MiSeq instrument following the manufacturer's instructions. The raw sequence data have been deposited in the DNA Data Bank of the Japan Sequence Read Archive under the accession number DRA017340.

Bioinformatics analysis

The tick microbiome analysis was processed using the obtained sequencing data and the quantitative insights into microbial ecology 2 software (QIIME2) version 2020.2⁵⁵. Initially, the raw sequencing data, obtained from BaseSpace (Illumina), were demultiplexed, quality-checked, and filtered using the q2-demux plugin. The qualityfiltered reads were then subjected to denoising using the DADA2 pipeline version 2019.10⁵⁶. The resulting amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were aligned using the q2-alignment plugin with mafft⁴⁸, and a phylogenetic tree was constructed using the q2-phylogeny plugin with fasttree2⁵⁷. For diversity analysis, a sampling depth of 21,773 reads was selected for comparing the diversity among the examined Haemaphysalis tick species. Alpha diversity measures such as Shannon diversity⁵⁸, Faith's Phylogenetic Diversity (Faith's PD)⁵⁹, observed features⁶⁰, and Pielou's evenness⁶¹ were calculated. The results were exported and visualized in R using the giime2R, ggplot2, and phyloseq packages⁶². Beta diversity measures, including unweighted UniFrac distance⁶³, weighted Uni-Frac distance⁶⁴, Jaccard similarity index⁶⁵, and Bray-Curtis dissimilarity⁶⁶, were calculated using QIIME2. The clustering of ASVs according to species was visualized through Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) using the EMPeror plugin in QIIME267 and R. Taxonomic assignment was performed using the q2-feature-classifier plugin⁶⁸ with the classify-sklearn naïve Bayes taxonomy classifier and SILVA classifier reference sequences (release 132). The Decontam package⁶⁹ in R was used to identify likely contaminants introduced during processing. Archaea, eukaryota, potential contaminants, and sequences not assigned to the kingdom level were manually removed for further analysis in QIIME2. A heatmap phylogenetic tree was constructed using the heatmap method in QIIME2⁷⁰. Differential abundance of the 30 most abundant taxonomic groups was visualized using the taxa_heatmap function in the qiime2R package in R. To identify the bacteria contributing to the dissimilarity of the microbiome among tick groups, the LEfSe in the Huttenhower lab Galaxy pipeline⁷¹ was implemented. Statistical analyses were performed to assess differences in alpha diversities among both Haemaphysalis species using a Kruskal-Wallis test. Alpha diversity measures, including Shannon diversity, Faith's PD, observed features, and Pielou's evenness, were considered as response variables, with tick species as fixed effect variables. Subsequently, we examined the impact of species on beta diversity in both Haemaphysalis ticks using Adonis PERMANOVA with 999 permutations⁷².

Data availability

The unprocessed sequence data have been submitted to the DNA Data Bank of the Japan Sequence Read Archive and can be accessed via the DRA accession number DRA017340.

Received: 16 December 2023; Accepted: 19 April 2024 Published online: 30 April 2024

References

- 1. de la Fuente, J., Estrada-Pena, A., Venzal, J. M., Kocan, K. M. & Sonenshine, D. E. Overview: Ticks as vectors of pathogens that cause disease in humans and animals. *Front. Biosci.* 13, 6938–6946 (2008).
- Guo, D. H. et al. Complete mitochondrial genomes of Dermacentor silvarum and comparative analyses with another hard tick Dermacentor nitens. Exp. Parasitol. 169, 22–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2016.07.004 (2016).
- 3. Barker, S. C. & Murrell, A. Systematics and evolution of ticks with a list of valid genus and species names. *Parasitology* **129**(Suppl), S15-36 (2004).
- Liu, Z. Q. et al. Sequencing of complete mitochondrial genomes confirms synonymization of Hyalomma asiaticum asiaticum and kozlovi, and advances phylogenetic hypotheses for the Ixodidae. PLoS One 13, e0197524. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0197524 (2018).
- Eisen, R. J., Eisen, L. & Beard, C. B. County-scale distribution of *Ixodes scapularis* and *Ixodes pacificus* (Acari: Ixodidae) in the continental United States. J. Med. Entomol. 53, 349–386. https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjv237 (2016).
- Kain, D. E., Sperling, F. A. H., Daly, H. V. & Lane, R. S. Mitochondrial DNA sequence variation in *Ixodes pacificus* (Acari: Ixodidae). *Heredity* 83, 378–386. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.hdy.6886110 (1999).
- Dergousoff, S. J. & Chilton, N. B. Differentiation of three species of ixodid tick, Dermacentor andersoni, D. variabilis and D. albipictus, by PCR-based approaches using markers in ribosomal DNA. Mol. Cell. Probes 21, 343–348. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcp. 2007.04.003 (2007).
- Lado, P. et al. The Amblyomma maculatum Koch, 1844 (Acari: Ixodidae) group of ticks: Phenotypic plasticity or incipient speciation?. Parasit. Vectors 11, 610. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-018-3186-9 (2018).
- 9. Madder, M., Speybroeck, N., Bilounga, A., Helleputte, D. & Berkvens, D. Survival of unfed *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* and *Rhipicephalus zambeziensis* adults. *Med. Vet. Entomol.* **19**, 245–250. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2915.2005.00566.x (2005).
- 10. Speybroeck, N. et al. Variation in body size in the tick complex *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus/Rhipicephalus zambeziensis*. J. Vector Ecol. 29, 347–354 (2004).
- Hornok, S. et al. Contributions to the phylogeny of *Ixodes (Pholeoixodes) canisuga, I. (Ph.) kaiseri, I. (Ph.) hexagonus* and a simple pictorial key for the identification of their females. *Parasit. Vectors* 10, 545. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-017-2424-x (2017).
- 12. Alberto, A. et al. The Hard Ticks of the World (Acari: Ixodida: Ixodidae) 1st edn. (Springer Dordrecht, 2014).
- Takano, A. *et al.* Construction of a DNA database for ticks collected in Japan: Application of molecular identification based on the mitochondrial 16S rDNA gene. *Med. Entomol. Zool.* 65, 13–21. https://doi.org/10.7601/mez.65.13 (2014).

- Fukunaga, M., Yabuki, M., Hamase, A., Oliver, J. H. Jr. & Nakao, M. Molecular phylogenetic analysis of ixodid ticks based on the ribosomal DNA spacer, internal transcribed spacer 2, sequences. J. Parasitol. 86, 38–43. https://doi.org/10.1645/0022-3395(2000) 086[0038:Mpaoit]2.0.Co;2 (2000).
- 15. Warburton, C. On some new and obscure species of the genus *Haemaphysalis* of the ixodidae. *P. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 14, 508–519 (1908).
- 16. Nuttall, G., Warburton, C., Cooper, W. & Robinson, L. Ticks, a Monograph of the Ixodoidea. Part III. The Genus Haemaphysalis 349–550 (Cambridge University Press, 1915).
- 17. Pomerantsev, B. I. & Zoologicheskii, I. Fauna of the USSR. New series no. 41, Arachnida. Vol. 4, no. 2. Ixodid ticks (Ixodidae). 199 pages; 26 cm (American Institute of Biological Sciences Washington, D.C., 1959).
- Ogura, K. & Takada, K. The ticks parasitic on cattle and horses in Hokkaido, Japan. J. Coll. Agric. Hokkaido Imperial Univ. 18, 199-206 (1927).
- Kitaoka, S. Keys to the species in immature stages of the Japanese Haemaphysalis ticks (Ixodidae). Bull. Natl. Inst. Anim. Health (Jpn.) 88, 49–63 (1985).
- Nakao, M. & Ito, T. Haemaphysalis japonica, Haemaphysalis jezoensis and Haemaphysalis douglasi (Acari: Ixodidae): Which tick is distributed in Hokkaido?. Med. Entomol. Zool. 65, 33–35. https://doi.org/10.7601/mez.65.33 (2014).
- Burger, T. D., Shao, R. & Barker, S. C. Phylogenetic analysis of the mitochondrial genomes and nuclear rRNA genes of ticks reveals a deep phylogenetic structure within the genus *Haemaphysalis* and further elucidates the polyphyly of the genus *Amblyomma* with respect to *Amblyomma sphenodonti* and *Amblyomma elaphense*. *Ticks Tick Borne Dis.* 4, 265–274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis. 2013.02.002 (2013).
- 22. Kelava, S. *et al.* Phylogenies from mitochondrial genomes of 120 species of ticks: Insights into the evolution of the families of ticks and of the genus *Amblyomma*. *Ticks Tick Borne Dis.* **12**, 101577. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2020.101577 (2021).
- Mohamed, W. M. A. et al. Comparative mitogenomics elucidates the population genetic structure of Amblyomma testudinarium in Japan and a closely related Amblyomma species in Myanmar. Evol. Appl. 15, 1062–1078. https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.13426 (2022).
- Cotes-Perdomo, A. P. et al. Phylogenetic relationships of the Amblyomma cajennense complex (Acari: Ixodidae) at mitogenomic resolution. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 14, 102125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2023.102125 (2023).
- Burger, T. D., Shao, R. & Barker, S. C. Phylogenetic analysis of mitochondrial genome sequences indicates that the cattle tick, *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*, contains a cryptic species. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 76, 241–253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev. 2014.03.017 (2014).
- Wang, J., Gao, L. & Aksoy, S. Microbiota in disease-transmitting vectors. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-023-00901-6 (2023).
- Paulson, A. R., Lougheed, S. C., Huang, D. & Colautti, R. I. Multiomics reveals symbionts, pathogens, and tissue-specific microbiome of blacklegged ticks (*Ixodes scapularis*) from a Lyme disease hot spot in southeastern Ontario, Canada. *Microbiol. Spectr.* https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.01404-23 (2023).
- Fountain-Jones, N. M. et al. Positive associations matter: Microbial relationships drive tick microbiome composition. Mol. Ecol. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16985 (2023).
- Narasimhan, S. et al. Grappling with the tick microbiome. Trends Parasitol. 37, 722–733. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2021.04.004 (2021).
- Che Lah, E. F., Ahamad, M., Dmitry, A., Md-Zain, B. M. & Yaakop, S. Metagenomic profile of the bacterial communities associated with *Ixodes granulatus* (Acari: Ixodidae): A potential vector of tick-borne diseases. J. Med. Entomol. 60, 753–768. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/jme/tjad044 (2023).
- Lau, A. C. C. *et al.* The dynamics of the microbiome in ixodidae are shaped by tick ontogeny and pathogens in Sarawak, Malaysian Borneo. *Microb. Genom.* https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000954 (2023).
- Lim, F. S. et al. Bacterial communities in Haemaphysalis, Dermacentor and Amblyomma ticks collected from wild boar of an Orang Asli Community in Malaysia. Ticks Tick-borne Dis. 11, 101352. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2019.101352 (2020).
- Wu-Chuang, A., Obregon, D., Mateos-Hernández, L. & Cabezas-Cruz, A. Anti-tick microbiota vaccines: How can this actually work?. *Biologia* 77, 1555–1562. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11756-021-00818-6 (2022).
- Kelava, S. et al. Seventy-eight entire mitochondrial genomes and nuclear rRNA genes provide insight into the phylogeny of the hard ticks, particularly the Haemaphysalis species, Africaniella transversale and Robertsicus elaphensis. Ticks Tick-borne Dis. 14, 102070. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2022.102070 (2023).
- 35. Mans, B. J. et al. Nuclear (18S-28S rRNA) and mitochondrial genome markers of Carios (Carios) vespertilionis (Argasidae) support Carios Latreille, 1796 as a lineage embedded in the Ornithodorinae: re-classification of the Carios sensu Klompen and Oliver (1993) clade into its respective subgenera. Ticks Tick-borne Dis. 12, 101688. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2021.101688 (2021).
- 36. Gui, Z. et al. Genetic diversity analysis of Dermacentor nuttalli within Inner Mongolia, China. Parasit. Vectors 14, 1-12 (2021).
- 37. Regilme, M. A. F. *et al.* Comparative population genetic structure of two ixodid tick species (Acari: Ixodidae) (*Ixodes ovatus* and *Haemaphysalis flava*) in Niigata prefecture, Japan. *Infect. Genet. Evolut.* **94**, 104999 (2021).
- Kaufman, E. L. et al. Range-wide genetic analysis of Dermacentor variabilis and its Francisella-like endosymbionts demonstrates phylogeographic concordance between both taxa. Parasit. Vectors 11, 1–11 (2018).
- Sassera, D. et al. 'Candidatus Midichloria mitochondrii', an endosymbiont of the tick Ixodes ricinus with a unique intramitochondrial lifestyle. Int. J. Syst. Evolut. Microbiol. 56, 2535–2540 (2006).
- Maitre, A. *et al.* Rickettsial pathogens drive microbiota assembly in *Hyalomma marginatum* and *Rhipicephalus bursa* ticks. *Mol. Ecol.* https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.17058 (2023).
- Coimbra-Dores, M. J. et al. Mitochondrial sequences of *Rhipicephalus* and *Coxiella* endosymbiont reveal evidence of lineages co-cladogenesis. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiaa072 (2020).
- Al-Khafaji, A. M. *et al.* Multi-locus sequence typing of *Ixodes ricinus* and its symbiont *Candidatus* Midichloria mitochondrii across Europe reveals evidence of local co-cladogenesis in Scotland. *Ticks Tick Borne Dis.* 10, 52–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2018. 08.016 (2019).
- Zhang, X.-Y. et al. Growth dynamics and tissue localization of a Coxiella-like endosymbiont in the tick Haemaphysalis longicornis. Ticks Tick-borne Dis. 13, 102005. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2022.102005 (2022).
- Portillo, A. *et al.* Exploring the bacteriome in anthropophilic ticks: To investigate the vectors for diagnosis. *PLoS One* 14, e0213384. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213384 (2019).
- 45. Moustafa, M. A. M. *et al.* Novel symbionts and potential human pathogens excavated from argasid tick microbiomes that are shaped by dual or single symbiosis. *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.* **20**, 1979–1992. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2022.04.020 (2022).
- Thu, M. J. et al. Diversity of spotted fever group rickettsiae and their association with host ticks in Japan. Sci. Rep. 9, 1500. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-37836-5 (2019).
- Nakao, R., Magona, J. W., Zhou, L., Jongejan, F. & Sugimoto, C. Multi-locus sequence typing of *Ehrlichia ruminantium* strains from geographically diverse origins and collected in *Amblyomma variegatum* from Uganda. *Parasit. Vectors* 4, 137. https://doi.org/10. 1186/1756-3305-4-137 (2011).
- Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evolut.* 30, 772–780 (2013).
- Guindon, S. *et al.* New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: Assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst. Biol. 59, 307–321. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syq010 (2010).

- Drummond, A. J. & Rambaut, A. BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. BMC Evolut. Biol. 7, 214. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2148-7-214 (2007).
- Excoffier, L., Laval, G. & Schneider, S. Arlequin (version 3.0): An integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. Evol. Bioinform. Online 1, 47–50 (2007).
- Holsinger, K. E. & Weir, B. S. Genetics in geographically structured populations: Defining, estimating and interpreting F_(ST). Nat. Rev. Genet. 10, 639–650. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2611 (2009).
- Klindworth, A. et al. Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencingbased diversity studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 41, e1-e1 (2013).
- 54. Herlemann, D. P. *et al.* Transitions in bacterial communities along the 2000 km salinity gradient of the Baltic Sea. *ISME J.* **5**, 1571–1579 (2011).
- 55. Bolyen, E. *et al.* Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 37, 852–857 (2019).
- 56. Callahan, B. J. et al. DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat. Methods 13, 581-583 (2016).
- 57. Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S. & Arkin, A. P. FastTree 2-approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. *PLoS One* 5, e9490 (2010).
- 58. Shannon, C. E. A mathematical theory of communication. ACM SIGMOBILE Mobile Comput. Commun. Rev. 5, 3-55 (2001).
- 59. Faith, D. P. Conservation evaluation and phylogenetic diversity. Biol. Conserv. 61, 1-10 (1992).
- DeSantis, T. Z. et al. Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench compatible with ARB. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72, 5069–5072 (2006).
- 61. Pielou, E. C. The measurement of diversity in different types of biological collections. J. Theor. Biol. 13, 131-144 (1966).
- 62. McMurdie, P. J. & Holmes, S. phyloseq: An R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS One* **8**, e61217 (2013).
- Lozupone, C. & Knight, R. UniFrac: A new phylogenetic method for comparing microbial communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 8228–8235 (2005).
- 64. Lozupone, C. A., Hamady, M., Kelley, S. T. & Knight, R. Quantitative and qualitative β diversity measures lead to different insights into factors that structure microbial communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **73**, 1576–1585 (2007).
- 65. Jaccard, P. Nouvelles recherches sur la distribution florale. Bull. Soc. Vaud. Sci. Nat. 44, 223-270 (1908).
- 66. Sorensen, T. A. A method of establishing groups of equal amplitude in plant sociology based on similarity of species content and its application to analyses of the vegetation on Danish commons. *Biol. Skar.* **5**, 1–34 (1948).
- Vázquez-Baeza, Y., Pirrung, M., Gonzalez, A. & Knight, R. EMPeror: A tool for visualizing high-throughput microbial community data. *Gigascience* https://doi.org/10.1186/2047-217X-2-16 (2013).
- Bokulich, N. A. et al. Optimizing taxonomic classification of marker-gene amplicon sequences with QIIME 2's q2-feature-classifier plugin. Microbiome 6, 1–17 (2018).
- Davis, N. M., Proctor, D. M., Holmes, S. P., Relman, D. A. & Callahan, B. J. Simple statistical identification and removal of contaminant sequences in marker-gene and metagenomics data. *Microbiome* 6, 1–14 (2018).
- 70. Hunter, J. D. Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment. Comput. Sci. Eng. 9, 90-95 (2007).
- 71. Segata, N. et al. Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. Genome Biol. 12, 1-18 (2011).
- 72. Anderson, M. J. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. Austral Ecol. 26, 32-46 (2001).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their deep gratitude to all collaborators who supported in collection of ticks in each prefecture.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, R.N.; Data curation, M.A.M.M., W.M.A.M., E.C., and D.N.; Formal analysis, M.A.M.M., W.M.A.M., and R.N.; Investigation, M.A.M.M., W.M.A.M., E.C., and D.N.; Methodology, M.A.M.M., W.M.A.M., and R.N.; Project administration, R.N.; Funding acquisition, K.M. and R.N.; Resources, K.M., N.N., and R.N.; Software, W.M.A.M.; Supervision, S.C.B. and R.N.; Visualization, M.A.M.M.; Writing-original draft, M.A.M.M.; Writing-review and editing, E.C., A.W.G., S.C.B, and R.N. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported in part by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI, Japan (19F19097, 20K21358, and 20KK0151, 22H02505, and 23H02373); the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED), Japan (JP22fk0108614j0002, JP23fk0108644, and JP 23fk0108625); AMED SCARDA World-leading institutes for vaccine research and development Hokkaido Synergy Campus (223fa627005h0001); the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW) (23HA2010).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-60163-x.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.N.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024, corrected publication 2024