scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN On uniform stability and numerical simulations of complex valued neural networks involving generalized Caputo fractional order

Sumati Kumari Panda^{1,7}, Thabet Abdeljawad^{2,3,4,7^I} & A. M. Nagy^{5,6,7}

The dynamics and existence results of generalized Caputo fractional derivatives have been studied by several authors. Uniform stability and equilibrium in fractional-order neural networks with generalized Caputo derivatives in real-valued settings, however, have not been extensively studied. In contrast to earlier studies, we first investigate the uniform stability and equilibrium results for complex-valued neural networks within the framework of a generalized Caputo fractional derivative. We investigate the intermittent behavior of complex-valued neural networks in generalized Caputo fractional-order contexts. Numerical results are supplied to demonstrate the viability and accuracy of the presented results. At the end of the article, a few open questions are posed.

The history of fractional calculus is actually quite similar to that of classical calculus, hence it is not a novel subject¹. The notions of fractional calculus have developed significantly-even frantically-since the advent of the fractional derivative, with the majority of the contributions coming from pure mathematicians rather than applied mathematicians. Consequently, there has been a significant rise in the variety of fractional integral operators over the past few decades (check²⁻⁴ for more details). The contributions of the fractional order derivative is common in both science and engineering fields. Take a look at this, for instance:

- The authors of⁵ have expanded the glucose molecule's graph representation and taken into consideration contemporary modeling of the fractional derivatives on each graph edge. By taking multiple constraints on the existing operators, the authors have provided two different insights in the context of integral boundary value requirements.
- In light of fractional derivatives, the authors of⁶ examined a wide range of physical structures, including thermal transmission, controllers with PID tuning, and network fabrication via stochastic algorithms.

Caputo fractional-order systems and/or generalized Caputo fractional-order systems are often used in modeling and computation^{7–11}.

A particular class of computations stimulated by data platforms referred to as artificial neural networks (simply, we called, ANNs) aims to imitate the functions and operations of the human brain. With the advancement of artificial intelligence (AI), in particular deep learning, ANN-based machine intelligence algorithms have substantial usage in various fields that permeate our everyday lives. Digital devices can now make realisticsounding speech and pitches for music for practical purposes by using tools like automated facial recognition. They can also divide the speech of several speakers into separate waves of sound for each individual speaker using this tool, as shown in¹². Complex numbers are frequently used in a wide range of practical uses, including recognition of speech, processing of pictures, automation, and communication systems. This demonstrates the possibilities for utilizing numbers which are complex-valued to personify parameters like weights in various

¹Department of Mathematics, GMR Institute of Technology, Rajam, Andhra Pradesh 532127, India. ²Department of Mathematics and Sciences, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh 11586, Saudi Arabia. ³Department of Medical Research, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan. ⁴Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University, Garankuwa, Medusa 0204, South Africa. ⁵Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Kuwait University, 13060 Safat, Kuwait. ⁶Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Benha University, Benha 13518, Egypt. ⁷These authors contributed equally: Sumati Kumari Panda, Thabet Abdeljawad, A. M. Nagy. [⊠]email: tabdeljawad@psu.edu.sa

applications for artificial neural networks. Adopting a complex-valued system compared to a real-valued system makes more sense in light of the crucial nature of amplitude and dimensions in learning theory¹³. CVNNs that process data utilizing multiple variables and parameters¹⁴. Evidently, there are facts to suggest that CVNNs can be helpful in sectors where the data that is being encountered is depicted in a complicated way, either by design or by nature. Real-valued and/or convolutional neural networks have been the subject of extensive studies since the mid-1980s. However, compared to neural networks that are real-valued, research on CVNNs has been comparatively under-reported during the rise of deep learning. In CVNN analysis, deep neural networks and particular signal-processing challenges like circuit normalization have gotten the most interest. The reason for this is that a complex-valued activation be jointly bounded and complex-differentiable and bounded. The criteria that a stimulation that is distinct with regard to both imaginary and real elements may instead be recommended in their position, according to¹⁵. The development of this field of study is still in its earliest phases.

Fractional-order neural networks, or FONN for short, have gained prominence in recent years in both scientific and computational research. FONN yields extremely accurate results and noteworthy improvements by combining neural networks with fractional derivatives. Fractional-order neural network models have drawn more attention in several fields and are now a significant research area. FONN is well-known for being a practical modeling, testing, and educational tool for systems with dynamics in a variety of disciplines, including neural technology, nanophysics, and engineering. New advancements in the field of FONN are driven by challenges and revelations from scientific and technical research. The FONN framework outperforms the traditional integerorder neural network framework for evaluating the memory and inheritance components of various protocols that are in neural systems.

We are aware that the memory and accumulated characteristics of numerous things and activities can be effectively described by fractional-order derivatives. It's also important to remember that algorithms with fractional-orders have limitless memory. However, it has also been demonstrated by actual neuron investigations. On the basis of such attributes, synthetic links to neural networks have been created using nonlinear analysis. The authors of¹⁶ recently established the conditions needed for uniform stability as well as the existence of the uniqueness. Regarding the testing of models, it additionally becomes vital to take into account the possible importance of neural systems in the setting of fractional order. Consequently, though it may be a fractional derivative or integral, it is a significant advancement to incorporate a memory concept into neural networks. Therefore, research on fractional-order neural networks is important. Due to the extensive use of complex signals in neural network functions, such as those in¹⁷⁻¹⁹ and the references therein, understanding CVNNs is crucial for developing useful tools. However, there are few studies on generalized Caputo fractional-order neural networks in a real field (see, for example²⁰⁻²⁴).

On the other hand, *metric spaces* have recently undergone substantial generalizations, and relevant fixed-point theorems have utilized by many scientific domains, such as mathematical modeling domains, applied physics, control theory, and nonlinear analysis (see, for example²⁵⁻⁴⁰).

Motivated by the above studies, we examine how complex-valued neural networks behave intermittently under generalized Caputo fractional-order contexts. When working with fractional-order structures, neural network methods are distinct from those used for traditional integer-order settings. In this article, we first investigate the uniform stability and equilibrium results for complex-valued neural networks within the framework of a generalized Caputo fractional derivative. Moreover, it is worth noticing that the contribution of the fractional order derivative is that, with the help of the fractional derivative order, the neural network can determine its activation function.

The complex-valued metric space was originally developed by Azam et al.⁴⁰. Assume that $\zeta, \xi \in \mathbb{C}$ and that \mathbb{C} is the collection of complex numbers. According to the listed below, define a partial order \preceq on \mathbb{C} .

$$\zeta \preceq \xi \iff \operatorname{Re}(\zeta) \leq \operatorname{Re}(\xi) \text{ and } \operatorname{Im}(\zeta) \leq \operatorname{Im}(\xi).$$

It follows that $\zeta \preceq \xi$, if one of the below mentioned assertions is gratified:

 $(\mathscr{C}_4) \quad \operatorname{Re}(\xi) = \operatorname{Re}(\xi) \text{ and } \operatorname{Im}(\xi) = \operatorname{Im}(\xi).$

Particularly, we call $\zeta \preceq \xi$ if $\zeta \neq \xi$ and among one of (\mathscr{C}_2) , (\mathscr{C}_3) and (\mathscr{C}_4) is gratified and we termed as $\zeta \prec \xi$ if only (\mathscr{C}_3) is gratified. The below mentioned assertions gratify:

(1) If $0 \preceq \zeta \not \preccurlyeq \xi$, then $|\zeta| < |\xi|$. (2) If $\zeta \preceq \xi$ and $\xi \prec \zeta$, then $\zeta \prec \zeta$.

Definition 1.1 ⁴⁰Let \mathcal{M} be a nonempty set. The mapping $d : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{C}$, gratifies

1. $0 \preceq d(x, y)$ and $d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y \forall x, y \in \mathcal{M}$; 2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x), \forall x, y \in \mathcal{M}$;

3. $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \preceq d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) + d(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}), \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, F \in \mathcal{M}.$

Then *d* is called a complex-valued metric on \mathcal{M} , and (\mathcal{M}, d) is called a complex-valued metric space.

Theorem 1.1 ⁴⁰ Let (\mathcal{M}, d) be a complex-valued metric space and let mappings $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ gratify

$$d(\mathscr{A} \times, \mathscr{B} \vee) \preceq \nu d(x, y) + \frac{\varrho d(x, \mathscr{A} \vee) d(y, \mathscr{B} \vee)}{1 + d(x, y)}$$

where $x, y \in M$ and v, ρ are nonnegative reals having the condition $v + \rho < 1$. Then \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} have a unique common fixed point.

If we take $\rho = 0$ in Theorem.1.1, we get *contractive mapping theorem* in the setting of complex-valued metric space.

Theorem 1.2 Let (\mathcal{M}, d) be a complex-valued metric space and let mapping $\mathcal{W} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ gratify

$$d(\mathscr{W}\mathbf{x},\mathscr{W}\mathbf{y}) \precsim \mathbf{v}d(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$$

where $x, y \in M$ and 0 < v < 1. Then W has a unique fixed point.

In this study, we take into account the following time-delayed fractional-order CVNNs system:

$$^{C}\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{t}_{0}}^{\gamma,\mu}z_{k}(\mathfrak{t}) = -c_{k}z_{k}(\mathfrak{t}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathfrak{s}_{kj}\varphi_{j}(z_{j}(\mathfrak{t})) + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathfrak{p}_{kj}\varphi_{j}(z_{j}(\mathfrak{t}-\tau)) + \Upsilon_{k}; \ k = 1, 2, 3, \dots n \quad (1.1)$$

The compatible vector form is as follows:

$${}^{C}\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{t}_{0}}^{\gamma,\mu}z(\mathfrak{t}) = -\mathscr{C} z(\mathfrak{t}) + \mathcal{G}\varphi(z(\mathfrak{t})) + \mathcal{H}\varphi(z(\mathfrak{t}-\tau)) + \Upsilon,$$
(1.2)

for $\mathbf{t} \in [\mathbf{t}_0, \mathbf{t}_{\varphi}]$, where ${}^{C} \mathscr{D}_{\mathbf{t}_0}^{\gamma, \mu}$ is the generalized Caputo fractional derivative with parameters $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $\mu > 0$ as $\mathrm{in}^{41, 42}$. Moreover, $z(\mathbf{t}) = (z_1(\mathbf{t}), z_2(\mathbf{t}), z_3(\mathbf{t}), \dots z_n(\mathbf{t}))^{\mathbb{T}} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is the state vector, and $\varphi(z(\mathbf{t})) = (\varphi_1(z_1(\mathbf{t})), \varphi_2(z_2(\mathbf{t})))$,

 $\begin{aligned} \varphi(z(\mathfrak{t})) &= (\varphi_1(z_1(\mathfrak{t})), \varphi_2(z_2(\mathfrak{t})), \\ \varphi_3(z_3(\mathfrak{t})) \dots \varphi_n(z_n(\mathfrak{t})))^{\mathbb{T}} : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n \text{ is the neuron activation function. } \mathscr{C} &= \operatorname{diag}(c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots c_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \\ \text{with } c_k > 0 \text{ where } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n \text{ is the self-feedback connection weighted matrix. } \mathcal{G} &= (\mathfrak{s}_{kj})_{n \times n} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{H} &= (\mathfrak{p}_{kj})_{n \times n} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \text{ represent the connection between the } j^{th} \text{ neuron and the } k^{th} \text{ neuron at time t and constant} \\ \text{time delay } t - \tau \text{ respectively. } \Upsilon &= (\Upsilon_1, \Upsilon_2, \Upsilon_3, \dots, \Upsilon_n)^{\mathbb{T}} \in \mathbb{C}^n \text{ is the external input vector.} \end{aligned}$

In this instance, the initial conditions connected to (1.1) have the following form:

$$z_k(\mathfrak{q}) = \Psi_k(\mathfrak{q}) + \mathfrak{i}\Theta_k(\mathfrak{q}), \ \mathfrak{q} \in [-\tau, 0], \ k = 1, 2, 3 \dots n,$$
(1.3)

here $\Psi_k(\mathfrak{q}), \Theta_k(\mathfrak{q}) \in \mathbb{C}([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^n), k = 1, 2, 3 \dots n$. Moreover, $\mathbb{C}([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ refers to the complex-valued metric space which consists continuous *n*-real vector functions provided on $[-\tau, 0]$,

$$|\Psi(\mathfrak{t})|| = \sup_{\mathfrak{t}\in(-\tau,0]} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{\mathfrak{t}(k-1)} |\Psi_k(\mathfrak{t})|$$

and

$$||\Theta(\mathfrak{t})|| = \sup_{\mathfrak{t}\in(-\tau,0]} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{\mathfrak{t}(k-1)} |\Theta_k(\mathfrak{t})|.$$

Definition 1.2 The solution of the system (1.2) is considered to be stable if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\vartheta(t_0, \epsilon) > 0$ in such a way that $t \ge t_0 \ge 0$, $||\varkappa(t) - \lambda(t)|| < \vartheta$ that suggests $||\chi_1(t, t_0, \varkappa) - \chi(t, t_0, \lambda)|| < \epsilon$. If the aforementioned ϑ is not dependent on t_0 , it will become uniformly stable.

We adopt the subsequent assumptions in order to obtain the main results. Assumption A:

$$\vec{c} = \min(|1 - c_{\max}|, c_{\min}); \ c_{\min} = \min_{\forall j} \{c_j^2\}; \ c_{\max} = \frac{t^{\gamma\mu}}{e^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \max_{\forall j} \{c_j\};$$

$$||\mathfrak{a}^{\star}|| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{a}_j^{\star}| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \max_{\forall \ell} \{|\mathfrak{s}_{j\ell}|\theta_{\ell}\}; \ ||\mathfrak{b}^{\star}|| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{b}_j^{\star}| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \max_{\forall \ell} \{|\mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}|\theta_{\ell}\};$$

$$||\mathfrak{a}_1^{\star} + \mathfrak{a}_3^{\star}|| = \frac{t^{\gamma\mu}}{e^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} [\mathfrak{a}_{1j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{a}_{3j}^{\star}]; \ ||\mathfrak{b}_1^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_3^{\star}|| = \frac{t^{\gamma\mu}}{e^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} [\mathfrak{b}_{1j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_{3j}^{\star}]$$

$$||\mathfrak{a}_2^{\star} + \mathfrak{a}_4^{\star}|| = \frac{t^{\gamma\mu}}{e^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} [\mathfrak{a}_{2j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{a}_{4j}^{\star}]; \ ||\mathfrak{b}_2^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_4^{\star}|| = \frac{t^{\gamma\mu}}{e^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} [\mathfrak{b}_{2j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_{4j}^{\star}]$$

$$||\mathfrak{a}_1^{\star} + \mathfrak{a}_3^{\star}|| = \frac{t^{\gamma\mu}}{e^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} [\mathfrak{a}_{1j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{a}_{3j}^{\star}]; \ ||\mathfrak{a}_2^{\star} + \mathfrak{a}_4^{\star}|| = \frac{t^{\gamma\mu}}{e^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} [\mathfrak{a}_{2j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{a}_{4j}^{\star}];$$

$$||\mathfrak{a}_2^{\star} + \mathfrak{a}_4^{\star}|| = \frac{t^{\gamma\mu}}{e^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} [\mathfrak{a}_{2j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{a}_{4j}^{\star}]; \ ||\mathfrak{a}_1^{\star} + \mathfrak{a}_3^{\star}|| = \frac{t^{\gamma\mu}}{e^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} [\mathfrak{a}_{2j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{a}_{4j}^{\star}];$$

Assumption \mathcal{B} : Let z = x + iy where i denotes the imagenary unit, that is $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Moreover, $\varphi_j(u)$ and $\varphi_j(u(\rho - \tau))$ by separating it into its real as well as imagined portions, it could potentially be demonstrated as

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{j}(u) = &\varphi_{j}^{R}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \mathrm{i}\varphi_{j}^{I}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}); \\ \varphi_{j}(u(\rho - \tau)) = &\varphi_{j}^{R}(\mathbf{x}(\rho - \tau), \mathbf{y}(\rho - \tau)) + \mathrm{i}\varphi_{j}^{I}(\mathbf{x}(\rho - \tau), \mathbf{y}(\rho - \tau)); \end{split}$$

where $\varphi_j^R(.,.)$ is maps from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R} ; $\varphi_j^I(.,.)$ maps from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R} ; $\varphi_j^R(.,.)$ maps from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R} and $\varphi_j^I(.,.)$ maps from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R} . To make formulas simpler, $x(\rho - \tau)$ and $y(\rho - \tau)$ despite being stated as x_τ and y_τ appropriately.

- In addition, the partial derivatives of φ_j(.,.) regarded to x, y : ∂φ_j^R/∂x, ∂φ_j^R/∂y, ∂φ_j^I/∂x and ∂φ_j^I/∂y are exist and they are continuous.
- $\partial \varphi_{i}^{R} / \partial x, \partial \varphi_{i}^{R} / \partial y, \partial \varphi_{i}^{I} / \partial x \text{ and } \partial \varphi_{i}^{I} / \partial y \text{ are bounded, } i.e.,$

$$\begin{split} \left|\frac{\partial \varphi_{j}^{R}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right| &\leq \theta_{j}^{RR}, \quad \left|\frac{\partial \varphi_{j}^{R}}{\partial \mathbf{y}}\right| \leq \theta_{j}^{RI}, \\ \left|\frac{\partial \varphi_{j}^{I}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right| &\leq \theta_{j}^{IR}, \quad \left|\frac{\partial \varphi_{j}^{I}}{\partial \mathbf{y}}\right| \leq \theta_{j}^{II}, \end{split}$$

where θ_{i}^{RR} , θ_{i}^{RI} , θ_{i}^{IR} and θ_{i}^{II} are non-negative constant numbers.

In addition, the partial derivatives (with time delay) $\partial \varphi_j^R / \partial x$, $\partial \varphi_j^R / \partial y$, $\partial \varphi_j^I / \partial x$ and $\partial \varphi_j^I / \partial y$, they're deemed as bounded. In other words, additionally, there are some numbers that are consistently non-negative. $\omega_j^{RR}, \omega_j^{RI}, \omega_j^{IR}$ and ω_j^{II} so that

$$\begin{split} \left|\frac{\partial \varphi_{\mathbf{j}}^{R}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right| &\leq \omega_{\mathbf{j}}^{RR}, \quad \left|\frac{\partial \varphi_{\mathbf{j}}^{R}}{\partial \mathbf{y}}\right| \leq \omega_{\mathbf{j}}^{RI}, \\ \left|\frac{\partial \varphi_{\mathbf{j}}^{I}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right| &\leq \omega_{\mathbf{j}}^{IR}, \quad \left|\frac{\partial \varphi_{\mathbf{j}}^{I}}{\partial \mathbf{y}}\right| \leq \omega_{\mathbf{j}}^{II}. \end{split}$$

We can infer the following from the mean value hypothesis regarding multi-variable features:

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_{j}^{R}(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{y}') - \varphi_{j}^{R}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})| &\leq \theta_{j}^{RR} |\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}| + \theta_{j}^{RI} |\mathbf{y}' - \mathbf{y}|; \\ |\varphi_{j}^{I}(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{y}') - \varphi_{j}^{I}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})| &\leq \theta_{j}^{IR} |\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}| + \theta_{j}^{II} |\mathbf{y}' - \mathbf{y}|; \\ |\varphi_{j}^{R}(\mathbf{x}'_{\tau},\mathbf{y}'_{\tau}) - \varphi_{j}^{R}(\mathbf{x}_{\tau},\mathbf{y}_{\tau})| &\leq \omega_{j}^{RR} |\mathbf{x}'_{\tau} - \mathbf{x}_{\tau}| + \omega_{j}^{RI} |\mathbf{y}'_{\tau} - \mathbf{y}_{\tau}|; \\ |\varphi_{j}^{I}(\mathbf{x}'_{\tau},\mathbf{y}'_{\tau}) - \varphi_{j}^{I}(\mathbf{x}_{\tau},\mathbf{y}_{\tau})| &\leq \omega_{j}^{IR} |\mathbf{x}'_{\tau} - \mathbf{x}_{\tau}| + \omega_{j}^{II} |\mathbf{y}'_{\tau} - \mathbf{y}_{\tau}|; \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

where $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}' \in \mathbb{R}^{w}$.

Assumption $C: \varphi_j(.)$ gratify the Lipschitz assertions, that is, for any $l, k \in C$, there is non-negative constant θ_j such a way that

$$||\varphi_{j}(l) - \varphi_{j}(k)|| \le \theta_{j}||l - k||.$$
(1.5)

Uniform stability result of generalized Caputo fractional-order complex-valued neural networks

In the current section, we construct a necessary condition for the generalized Caputo fractional-order complexvalued neural networks with time delays to be uniformly stable. The existence and uniqueness results are then obtained through the contraction mapping theorem in complex-valued metric space.

Theorem 2.1 If Assumption A and B hold, then (1.1) is uniformly stable.

Proof By splitting the real and imaginary components of the generalized Caputo fractional-order complex-valued neural network, we obtain,

$${}^{C}\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{t}_{0}}^{\gamma,\mu}\mathbf{x}(\mathfrak{t}) = -\mathscr{C} \ \mathbf{x}(\mathfrak{t}) + \mathscr{G}^{R}\varphi^{R}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) - \mathscr{G}^{I}\varphi^{I}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) + \mathcal{H}^{R}\varphi^{R}(\mathbf{x}(\mathfrak{t}-\tau),\mathbf{y}(\mathfrak{t}-\tau)) - \mathcal{H}^{I}\varphi^{I}(\mathbf{x}(\mathfrak{t}-\tau),\mathbf{y}(\mathfrak{t}-\tau)) + \Upsilon^{R}$$

$$(2.1)$$

$${}^{C}\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{t}_{0}}{}^{\gamma,\mu}_{\mathfrak{Y}}(\mathfrak{t}) = -\mathscr{C} \,\,\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{t}) + \mathcal{G}^{I}\varphi^{R}(\mathfrak{x},\mathfrak{y}) - \mathcal{G}^{R}\varphi^{I}(\mathfrak{x},\mathfrak{y}) + \mathcal{H}^{I}\varphi^{R}(\mathfrak{x}(\mathfrak{t}-\tau),\mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t}-\tau)) - \mathcal{H}^{R}\varphi^{I}(\mathfrak{x}(\mathfrak{t}-\tau),\mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{t}-\tau)) + \Upsilon^{I}$$

$$(2.2)$$

Equations (2.1) and (2.2) can be rewritten as:

$${}^{C}\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{t}_{0}}^{\gamma,\mu}\mathbf{x}_{\mathfrak{j}}(\mathfrak{t}) = -c_{\mathfrak{j}}\mathbf{x}_{\mathfrak{j}}(\mathfrak{t}) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{s}_{j\ell}^{R} \varphi_{\ell}^{R}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell},\mathbf{y}_{\ell}) - \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{s}_{j\ell}^{I} \varphi_{\ell}^{I}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell},\mathbf{y}_{\ell}) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{R} \varphi_{\ell}^{R}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell\tau},\mathbf{y}_{\ell\tau}) - \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{I} \varphi_{\ell}^{I}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell\tau},\mathbf{y}_{\ell\tau}) + \Upsilon_{\mathfrak{j}}^{R}$$
(2.3)

Let us assume z = x + iy and z' = x' + iy' having the conditions $y' \neq y, x' \neq x$. The two possible solutions of 1.1 are $z'(t) = (z_1(t), z_2(t), z_3(t) \dots z_n(t))$ and $z'(t) = (z'_1(t), z'_2(t), z'_3(t) \dots z'_n(t))$ having distinct initial conditions $z'_{\hbar}(q) = \Psi'_{\hbar}(q) + i\Theta'_{\hbar}(q)$, where $\Psi'_{\hbar}(q), \Theta'_{\hbar}(q) \in \mathbb{C}([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^n), z_{\hbar}(q) = \Psi_{\hbar}(q) + i\Theta_{\hbar}(q)$, where $\Psi_{\hbar}(q), \Theta_{\hbar}(q) \in \mathbb{C}([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^n), \hbar \in n$. We have

The integral equation below is equal to the previous equation (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{j}'(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbf{x}_{j}(\mathbf{t}) &= \Psi_{j}'(0) - \Psi_{j}(0) + \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathbf{t}^{\mu} - \mathbf{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} \\ &\times \left[-c_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j}'(\mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{x}_{j}(\mathbf{q})) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{s}_{j\ell}^{R} \left[\varphi_{\ell}^{R}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}', \mathbf{y}_{\ell}') - \varphi_{\ell}^{R}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}, \mathbf{y}_{\ell}) \right] \\ &- \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{s}_{j\ell}^{I} \left[\varphi_{\ell}^{I}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}', \mathbf{y}_{\ell}') - \varphi_{\ell}^{I}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}, \mathbf{y}_{\ell}) \right] \end{aligned}$$
(2.6)

$$+\sum_{\ell=1}^{n}\mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{R}\left[\varphi_{\ell}^{R}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell\tau}^{\prime},\mathbf{y}_{\ell\tau}^{\prime})-\varphi_{\ell}^{R}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell\tau},\mathbf{y}_{\ell\tau})\right]-\sum_{\ell=1}^{n}\mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{I}\left[\varphi_{\ell}^{I}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell\tau}^{\prime},\mathbf{y}_{\ell\tau}^{\prime})-\varphi_{\ell}^{I}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell\tau},\mathbf{y}_{\ell\tau})\right]\right].$$

$$(2.7)$$

Which implies,

$$\begin{split} |\mathbf{x}_{1}'(0) - \mathbf{x}_{1}(0)| &= |\Psi_{1}'(0) - \Psi_{1}(0)| + \frac{\mu^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_{0}^{1} q^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - q^{\mu})^{\nu-1} \\ &\times \left[q_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{1}'(q) - \mathbf{x}_{1}(q)) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathbf{s}_{1}^{\mu}| |\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}', \mathbf{y}_{\ell}') - \varphi_{1}^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}, \mathbf{y}_{\ell}) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\mu}| |\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}', \mathbf{y}_{\ell}') - \varphi_{1}^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}, \mathbf{y}_{\ell})| + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\mu}| |\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}', \mathbf{y}_{\ell}') - \varphi_{\ell}^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}, \mathbf{y}_{\ell})| \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\mu}| |\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}', \mathbf{y}_{\ell}') - \varphi_{\ell}^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}, \mathbf{y}_{\ell})| + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\mu}| |\mathbf{g}_{\ell}'(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}', \mathbf{y}_{\ell}') - \varphi_{\ell}^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}, \mathbf{y}_{\ell})| \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\mu}| \frac{\mu^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_{0}^{1} q^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - q^{\mu})^{\nu-1} |\mathbf{g}_{\ell}^{B}| \mathbf{x}_{\ell}'(q) - \mathbf{x}_{\ell}(q)| + \theta_{\ell}^{B}| \mathbf{y}_{\ell}'(q) - \mathbf{y}_{\ell}(q)|] dq \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\mu}| \frac{\mu^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_{0}^{1} q^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - q^{\mu})^{\nu-1} |\mathbf{g}_{\ell}^{B}| \mathbf{x}_{\ell}'(q) - \mathbf{x}_{\ell}(q)| + \theta_{\ell}^{B}| \mathbf{y}_{\ell}'(q) - \mathbf{y}_{\ell}(q)|] dq \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\mu}| \frac{\mu^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_{0}^{1} q^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - q^{\mu})^{\nu-1} |\mathbf{g}_{\ell}^{B}| \mathbf{x}_{\ell}'(q) - \mathbf{x}_{\ell}(q)| + \theta_{\ell}^{B}| \mathbf{y}_{\ell}'(q) - \mathbf{y}_{\ell}(q)|] dq \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\mu}| \theta_{\ell}^{B} \frac{\mu^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_{0}^{1} q^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - q^{\mu})^{\nu-1} |\mathbf{x}_{\ell}'(q) - \mathbf{x}_{\ell}(q)| dq \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\mu}| \theta_{\ell}^{B} \frac{\mu^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_{0}^{1} q^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - q^{\mu})^{\nu-1} |\mathbf{x}_{\ell}'(q) - \mathbf{x}_{\ell}(q)| dq \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\mu}| \theta_{\ell}^{B} \frac{\mu^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_{0}^{1} q^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - q^{\mu})^{\nu-1} |\mathbf{x}_{\ell}'(q) - \mathbf{x}_{\ell}(q)| dq \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\mu}| \theta_{\ell}^{B} \frac{\mu^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_{0}^{1} q^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - q^{\mu})^{\nu-1} |\mathbf{x}_{\ell}'(q) - \mathbf{x}_{\ell}(q)| dq \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\mu}| \theta_{\ell}^{B} \frac{\mu^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_{0}^{1} q^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - q^{\mu})^{\nu-1} |\mathbf{x}_{\ell}'(q) - \mathbf{x}_{\ell}(q)| dq \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\mu}| \theta_{\ell}^{B} \frac{\mu^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_{0}^{1} q^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - q^{\mu})^{\nu-1} |\mathbf{x}_{\ell}'(q) - \mathbf{x}_{\ell}(q)| dq \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathbf{g}_{1}^{\mu}| \theta_{\ell}^{B} \frac{\mu^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_{0}^{1} q^{\mu-$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{R}| \omega_{\ell}^{RI} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\tau} \mathfrak{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \mathfrak{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} |\Theta_{\ell\tau}'(\mathfrak{q}) - \Theta_{\ell\tau}(\mathfrak{q})| d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{R}| \omega_{\ell}^{RI} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} \mathfrak{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \mathfrak{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} |Y_{\ell\tau}'(\mathfrak{q}) - Y_{\ell\tau}(\mathfrak{q})| d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{I}| \omega_{\ell}^{IR} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\tau} \mathfrak{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \mathfrak{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} |Y_{\ell\tau}'(\mathfrak{q}) - Y_{\ell\tau}(\mathfrak{q})| d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{I}| \omega_{\ell}^{IR} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} \mathfrak{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \mathfrak{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} |Y_{\ell\tau}'(\mathfrak{q}) - X_{\ell\tau}(\mathfrak{q})| d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{I}| \omega_{\ell}^{II} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\tau} \mathfrak{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \mathfrak{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} |\Theta_{\ell\tau}'(\mathfrak{q}) - \Theta_{\ell\tau}(\mathfrak{q})| d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{I}| \omega_{\ell}^{II} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} \mathfrak{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \mathfrak{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} |\Theta_{\ell\tau}'(\mathfrak{q}) - \Theta_{\ell\tau}(\mathfrak{q})| d\mathfrak{q} \end{split}$$

Which yields,

$$\begin{split} e^{i(t-1)} |x_{j}^{\prime}(t) - x_{j}(t)| \\ \lesssim \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |\Psi_{j}^{\prime}(t) - \Psi_{j}(t)|\} + c_{j} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |(x_{j}^{\prime}(t) - x_{j}(t))|\} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ &+ [a_{1j}^{*} + a_{3j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |x_{\ell}^{\prime}(t) - x_{\ell}(t)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ &+ [a_{2j}^{*} + a_{4j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(\ell-1)} |y_{\ell}^{\prime}(t) - y_{\ell}(t)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \omega_{1}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ &+ [b_{1j}^{*} + b_{3j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(\rho-1)} |\Psi_{\ell}^{\prime}(\wp) - \Psi_{\ell}(\wp)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{1}^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \varphi_{1}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\varphi_{1} \\ &+ [b_{2j}^{*} + b_{4j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(\rho-1)} |X_{\ell}^{\prime}(\wp) - \chi_{\ell}(\wp)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{1}^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \varphi_{1}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\varphi_{1} \\ &+ [b_{2j}^{*} + b_{4j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(\rho-1)} |Y_{\ell}^{\prime}(\wp) - \varphi_{\ell}(\wp)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{1}^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \varphi_{1}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\varphi_{1} \\ &+ [b_{2j}^{*} + b_{4j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(\rho-1)} |Y_{\ell}^{\prime}(\wp) - \chi_{\ell}(\wp)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \varphi_{1}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\varphi_{1} \\ &+ [b_{2j}^{*} + b_{4j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(\rho-1)} |Y_{\ell}^{\prime}(\wp) - \chi_{\ell}(\wp)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \varphi_{1}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\varphi_{1} \\ &+ [a_{1j}^{*} + a_{3j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |Y_{\ell}^{\prime}(\wp) - \chi_{\ell}(\wp)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ &+ [a_{1j}^{*} + a_{3j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |Y_{\ell}^{\prime}(\wp) - \chi_{\ell}(\wp)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \omega^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\varphi \\ &+ [b_{1j}^{*} + b_{3j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |Y_{\ell}^{\prime}(\wp) - \chi_{\ell}(\wp)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \omega^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\varphi \\ &+ [b_{1j}^{*} + b_{3j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |Y_{\ell}^{\prime}(\wp) - \chi_{\ell}(\wp)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \varphi^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \omega^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\varphi \\ &+ [b_{1j}^{*} + b_{3j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |Y_{\ell}^{\prime}(\wp) - \chi_{\ell}(\wp)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \varphi^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \omega^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\varphi \\ &+ [b_{$$

$$\label{eq:starter} \begin{split} & \lesssim \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |\Psi_{j}'(t) - \Psi_{j}(t)|\} + c_{j} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |(x_{j}'(t) - x_{j}(t))|\} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ & + [a_{1j}^{\star} + a_{3j}^{\star}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |x_{\ell}'(t) - x_{\ell}(t)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ & + [a_{2j}^{\star} + a_{4j}^{\star}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |y_{\ell}'(t) - y_{\ell}(t)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ & + [b_{1j}^{\star} + b_{3j}^{\star}] \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \int_{-\tau}^{0} (q + \tau)^{\mu-1} [t^{\mu} - (q + \tau)^{\mu}]^{\gamma-1} e^{i(q-1)} |\Psi_{\ell}'(q) - \Psi_{\ell}(q)| dq \\ & + [b_{1j}^{\star} + b_{3j}^{\star}] \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t-\tau} (q + \tau)^{\mu-1} [t^{\mu} - (q + \tau)^{\mu}]^{\gamma-1} e^{i(q-1)} |W_{\ell}'(q) - x_{\ell}(q)| dq \\ & + [b_{2j}^{\star} + b_{4j}^{\star}] \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t-\tau} (q + \tau)^{\mu-1} [t^{\mu} - (q + \tau)^{\mu}]^{\gamma-1} e^{i(q-1)} |W_{\ell}'(q) - W_{\ell}(q)| dq \\ & + [b_{2j}^{\star} + b_{4j}^{\star}] \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t-\tau} (q + \tau)^{\mu-1} [t^{\mu} - (q + \tau)^{\mu}]^{\gamma-1} e^{i(q-1)} |W_{\ell}'(q) - Y_{\ell}(q)| dq \\ & + [b_{2j}^{\star} + b_{4j}^{\star}] \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t-\tau} (q + \tau)^{\mu-1} [t^{\mu} - (q + \tau)^{\mu}]^{\gamma-1} e^{i(q-1)} |W_{\ell}'(q) - Y_{\ell}(q)| dq \\ & + [a_{1j}^{\star} + a_{3j}^{\star}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |x_{\ell}'(t) - x_{\ell}(t)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ & + [a_{1j}^{\star} + a_{3j}^{\star}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |x_{\ell}'(t) - x_{\ell}(t)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ & + [a_{1j}^{\star} + a_{3j}^{\star}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |x_{\ell}'(t) - x_{\ell}(t)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ & + [a_{1j}^{\star} + a_{j}^{\star}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |x_{\ell}'(t) - x_{\ell}(t)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ & + [a_{1j}^{\star} + a_{j}^{\star}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t=1}^{n} \left\{e^{i(t-1)} |x_{\ell}'(t) - x_{\ell}(t)|\} \right|_{t=1}^{n} \left\{e^{i(t-1)} |x_{\ell}'(t) - \kappa^{\mu}(t)|\} \right|_{t=1}^{n} \left\{e^{i(t-1)} |x_{\ell}'(t) - x_{\ell}(t)|\} \right|_{t=1}^{n} \left\{e^{i(t-1)} |x_{\ell}'(t) -$$

$$+ [\mathfrak{a}_{1j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{a}_{3j}^{\star}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{\ell=1} \sup_{\ell} \{e^{i(t-1)} | x_{\ell}'(t) - x_{\ell}(t)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ + [\mathfrak{a}_{2j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{a}_{4j}^{\star}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{\ell} \{e^{i(t-1)} | y_{\ell}'(t) - y_{\ell}(t)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ + [\mathfrak{b}_{1j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_{3j}^{\star}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} e^{i(t-1)} | \Psi_{\ell}'(t) - \Psi_{\ell}(t)| \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\mu\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{t^{\mu-\tau\mu}}^{t^{\mu}} z^{\gamma-1} dz \\ + [\mathfrak{b}_{1j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_{3j}^{\star}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} e^{i(t-1)} | x_{\ell}'(t) - x_{\ell}(t)| \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\mu\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t^{\mu}-\tau^{\mu}} z^{\gamma-1} dz \\ + [\mathfrak{b}_{2j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_{4j}^{\star}] \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\mu\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} e^{i(t-1)} | \Theta_{\ell}'(t) - \Theta_{\ell}(t)| \int_{t^{\mu}-\tau^{\mu}}^{t^{\mu}} z^{\gamma-1} dz \\ + [\mathfrak{b}_{2j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_{4j}^{\star}] \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\mu\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} e^{i(t-1)} | Y_{\ell}'(t) - Y_{\ell}(t)| \int_{0}^{t^{\mu}-\tau^{\mu}} z^{\gamma-1} dz$$

$$\label{eq:sup_t_element} \begin{split} \precsim \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |\Psi_{j}'(t) - \Psi_{j}(t)|\} + \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \bigg[c_{j} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |(x_{j}'(t) - x_{j}(t))|\} \frac{t^{\gamma\mu}}{\gamma\mu} \\ + [\mathfrak{a}_{1j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{a}_{3j}^{\star}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |x_{\ell}'(t) - x_{\ell}(t)|\} \frac{t^{\gamma\mu}}{\gamma\mu} \\ + [\mathfrak{a}_{2j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{a}_{4j}^{\star}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |y_{\ell}'(t) - y_{\ell}(t)|\} \frac{t^{\gamma\mu}}{\gamma\mu} \\ + [\mathfrak{b}_{1j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_{3j}^{\star}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |\Psi_{\ell}'(t) - \Psi_{\ell}(t)|\} \bigg(\frac{t^{\gamma\mu}}{\gamma\mu} - \frac{(t^{\mu} - \tau^{\mu})^{\gamma}}{\gamma\mu} \bigg) \\ + [\mathfrak{b}_{1j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_{3j}^{\star}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |x_{\ell}'(t) - x_{\ell}(t)|\} \bigg(\frac{(t^{\mu} - \tau^{\mu})^{\gamma}}{\gamma\mu} \bigg) \\ + [\mathfrak{b}_{2j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_{4j}^{\star}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |\Theta_{\ell}'(t) - \Theta_{\ell}(t)|\} \bigg(\frac{t^{\gamma\mu}}{\gamma\mu} - \frac{(t^{\mu} - \tau^{\mu})^{\gamma}}{\gamma\mu} \bigg) \\ + [\mathfrak{b}_{2j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_{4j}^{\star}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |y_{\ell}'(t) - y_{\ell}(t)|\} \bigg(\frac{(t^{\mu} - \tau^{\mu})^{\gamma}}{\gamma\mu} \bigg) \bigg] \\ \lesssim \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |\Psi_{j}'(t) - \Psi_{j}(t)|\} + \frac{t^{\gamma\mu}}{\mu^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} c_{j} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |(x_{j}'(t) - x_{j}(t))|\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \frac{\mathfrak{t}^{\gamma\mu}}{\mu^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} [\mathfrak{a}_{1j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{a}_{3j}^{\star}] ||\mathbf{x}_{\ell}'(\mathfrak{t}) - \mathbf{x}_{\ell}(\mathfrak{t})|| + \frac{\mathfrak{t}^{\gamma\mu}}{\mu^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} [\mathfrak{a}_{2j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{a}_{4j}^{\star}] ||\mathbf{y}'(\mathfrak{t}) - \mathbf{y}(\mathfrak{t})|| \\ &+ \frac{\mathfrak{t}^{\gamma\mu}}{\mu^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} [\mathfrak{b}_{1j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_{3j}^{\star}] ||\Psi'(\mathfrak{t}) - \Psi(\mathfrak{t})|| + \frac{(\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \tau^{\mu})^{\gamma}}{\mu^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} [\mathfrak{b}_{1j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_{3j}^{\star}] ||\Psi'(\mathfrak{t}) - \Psi(\mathfrak{t})|| \\ &+ \frac{(\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \tau^{\mu})^{\gamma}}{\mu^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} [\mathfrak{b}_{1j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_{3j}^{\star}] ||\mathbf{x}'(\mathfrak{t}) - \mathbf{x}(\mathfrak{t})|| + \frac{\mathfrak{t}^{\gamma\mu}}{\mu^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} [\mathfrak{b}_{2j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_{4j}^{\star}] ||\Theta'(\mathfrak{t}) - \Theta(\mathfrak{t})|| \\ &+ \frac{(\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \tau^{\mu})^{\gamma}}{\mu^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} [\mathfrak{b}_{2j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_{4j}^{\star}] ||\Theta'(\mathfrak{t}) - \Theta(\mathfrak{t})|| + \frac{(\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \tau^{\mu})^{\gamma}}{\mu^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma+1)} [\mathfrak{b}_{2j}^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_{4j}^{\star}] ||\mathbf{y}'(\mathfrak{t}) - \mathbf{y}(\mathfrak{t})|| \end{split}$$

Using the equation aforementioned, it is simple to determine that

$$\begin{split} ||\mathbf{x}'(t) - \mathbf{x}(t)|| &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} |\mathbf{x}_{j}'(t) - \mathbf{x}_{j}(t)|\} \\ &\lesssim [c_{\max} + ||\mathbf{a}_{1}^{*} + \mathbf{a}_{3}^{*}|| + ||\mathbf{b}_{1}^{*} + \mathbf{b}_{3}^{*}||] ||\mathbf{x}'(t) - \mathbf{x}(t)|| \\ &+ [||\mathbf{a}_{2}^{*} + \mathbf{a}_{4}^{*}|| + ||\mathbf{b}_{2}^{*} + \mathbf{b}_{4}^{*}||] ||\mathbf{y}'(t) - \mathbf{y}(t)|| \\ &+ [1 + 2||\mathbf{b}_{1}^{*} + \mathbf{b}_{3}^{*}||] ||\mathbf{y}'(t) - \Psi(t)|| + [2||\mathbf{b}_{2}^{*} + \mathbf{b}_{4}^{*}||] ||\Theta'(t) - \Theta(t)|| \\ ||\mathbf{x}'(t) - \mathbf{x}(t)|| \lesssim \frac{||\mathbf{a}_{2}^{*} + \mathbf{a}_{4}^{*}|| + ||\mathbf{b}_{2}^{*} + \mathbf{b}_{4}^{*}||}{1 - [c_{\max} + ||\mathbf{a}_{1}^{*} + \mathbf{a}_{3}^{*}|| + ||\mathbf{b}_{1}^{*} + \mathbf{b}_{3}^{*}||]} ||\mathbf{y}'(t) - \mathbf{y}(t)|| \\ &+ \frac{1 + 2||\mathbf{b}_{1}^{*} + \mathbf{b}_{3}^{*}||}{1 - [c_{\max} + ||\mathbf{a}_{1}^{*} + \mathbf{a}_{3}^{*}|| + ||\mathbf{b}_{1}^{*} + \mathbf{b}_{3}^{*}||]} ||\Psi'(t) - \Psi(t)|| \\ &+ \frac{2||\mathbf{b}_{2}^{*} + \mathbf{b}_{4}^{*}||}{1 - [c_{\max} + ||\mathbf{a}_{1}^{*} + \mathbf{a}_{3}^{*}|| + ||\mathbf{b}_{1}^{*} + \mathbf{b}_{3}^{*}||]} ||\Theta'(t) - \Theta(t)|| \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{1 - 1 - [c_{\max} + ||\mathbf{a}_{1}^{*} + \mathbf{a}_{3}^{*}|| + ||\mathbf{b}_{1}^{*} + \mathbf{b}_{3}^{*}||]}\right) \left(\left[||\mathbf{a}_{2}^{*} + \mathbf{a}_{4}^{*}|| + ||\mathbf{b}_{2}^{*} + \mathbf{b}_{4}^{*}||\right]||\mathbf{y}'(t) - \mathbf{y}(t)|| \\ &\left[1 + 2||\mathbf{b}_{1}^{*} + \mathbf{b}_{3}^{*}||\right]||\Psi'(t) - \Psi(t)|| + \left[2||\mathbf{b}_{2}^{*} + \mathbf{b}_{4}^{*}||\right]||\Theta'(t) - \Theta(t)||\right) \end{aligned}$$
(2.8)

Now consider,

$$\begin{split} {}^{C}\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{t}_{0}}^{\gamma,\mu} \mathbf{y}_{\mathfrak{j}}(\mathfrak{t}) &= -c_{\mathfrak{j}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathfrak{j}}(\mathfrak{t}) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{j}\ell}^{I} \varphi_{\ell}^{R}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell},\mathbf{y}_{\ell}) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{j}\ell}^{R} \varphi_{\ell}^{I}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell},\mathbf{y}_{\ell}) \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{j}\ell}^{I} \varphi_{\ell}^{R}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell\tau},\mathbf{y}_{\ell\tau}) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{j}\ell}^{R} \varphi_{\ell}^{I}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell\tau},\mathbf{y}_{\ell\tau}) + \Upsilon_{\mathfrak{j}}^{I} \end{split}$$

which implies

$$C\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{t}_{0}}^{\gamma,\mu}(\mathfrak{y}_{j}'(\mathfrak{t})-\mathfrak{y}_{j}(\mathfrak{t}))$$

$$= -c_{j}(\mathfrak{y}_{j}'(\mathfrak{t})-\mathfrak{y}_{j}(\mathfrak{t})) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{s}_{j\ell}^{I} \left[\varphi_{\ell}^{R}(\mathfrak{x}_{\ell}',\mathfrak{y}_{\ell}') - \varphi_{\ell}^{R}(\mathfrak{x}_{\ell},\mathfrak{y}_{\ell}) \right] - \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{s}_{j\ell}^{R} \left[\varphi_{\ell}^{I}(\mathfrak{x}_{\ell}',\mathfrak{y}_{\ell}') - \varphi_{\ell}^{I}(\mathfrak{x}_{\ell},\mathfrak{y}_{\ell}) \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{I} \left[\varphi_{\ell}^{R}(\mathfrak{x}_{\ell\tau}',\mathfrak{y}_{\ell\tau}') - \varphi_{\ell}^{R}(\mathfrak{x}_{\ell\tau},\mathfrak{y}_{\ell\tau}) \right] - \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{R} \left[\varphi_{\ell}^{I}(\mathfrak{x}_{\ell\tau}',\mathfrak{y}_{\ell\tau}') - \varphi_{\ell}^{I}(\mathfrak{x}_{\ell\tau},\mathfrak{y}_{\ell\tau}) \right]$$

$$(2.9)$$

The integral equation underneath is equal to Eq. (2.9):

$$\begin{split} y_{j}^{\prime}(\mathfrak{t}) &- y_{j}(\mathfrak{t}) \\ &= \Theta_{j}^{\prime}(0) - \Theta_{j}(0) + \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} \mathfrak{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \mathfrak{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} \\ &\times \left(-c_{j}(y_{j}^{\prime}(\mathfrak{q}) - y_{j}(\mathfrak{q})) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{s}_{j\ell}^{I} \left[\varphi_{\ell}^{R}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}^{\prime}, \mathbf{y}_{\ell}^{\prime}) - \varphi_{\ell}^{R}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}, \mathbf{y}_{\ell}) \right] - \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{s}_{j\ell}^{R} \left[\varphi_{\ell}^{I}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}^{\prime}, \mathbf{y}_{\ell}^{\prime}) - \varphi_{\ell}^{I}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}, \mathbf{y}_{\ell}) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{I} \left[\varphi_{\ell}^{R}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell\tau}^{\prime}, \mathbf{y}_{\ell\tau}^{\prime}) - \varphi_{\ell}^{R}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell\tau}, \mathbf{y}_{\ell\tau}) \right] - \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{R} \left[\varphi_{\ell}^{I}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell\tau}^{\prime}, \mathbf{y}_{\ell\tau}^{\prime}) - \varphi_{\ell}^{I}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell\tau}, \mathbf{y}_{\ell\tau}) \right] \right) d\mathfrak{q} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{s}_{j\ell}^{I}| \theta_{\ell}^{RR} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} \mathfrak{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \mathfrak{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} |\mathbf{x}_{\ell}'(\mathfrak{q}) - \mathbf{x}_{\ell}(\mathfrak{q})| d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{s}_{j\ell}^{I}| \theta_{\ell}^{RI} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} \mathfrak{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \mathfrak{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} |\mathbf{y}_{\ell}'(\mathfrak{q}) - \mathbf{y}_{\ell}(\mathfrak{q})| d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{s}_{j\ell}^{R}| \theta_{\ell}^{II} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} \mathfrak{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \mathfrak{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} |\mathbf{y}_{\ell}'(\mathfrak{q}) - \mathbf{x}_{\ell}(\mathfrak{q})| d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{s}_{j\ell}^{R}| \theta_{\ell}^{II} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\mathfrak{t}} \mathfrak{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \mathfrak{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} |\mathbf{y}_{\ell}'(\mathfrak{q}) - \mathbf{y}_{\ell}(\mathfrak{q})| d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{I}| \omega_{\ell}^{RR} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\tau} \mathfrak{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \mathfrak{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} |\mathbf{y}_{\ell}'(\mathfrak{q}) - \mathfrak{y}_{\ell}(\mathfrak{q})| d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{I}| \omega_{\ell}^{RR} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\tau}^{\tau} \mathfrak{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \mathfrak{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} |\mathbf{y}_{\ell}'(\mathfrak{q}) - \mathfrak{y}_{\ell}(\mathfrak{q})| d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{I}| \omega_{\ell}^{RI} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\tau}^{\tau} \mathfrak{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \mathfrak{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} |\mathbf{y}_{\ell}'(\mathfrak{q}) - \mathfrak{y}_{\ell}(\mathfrak{q})| d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{I}| \omega_{\ell}^{RI} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\tau}^{\tau} \mathfrak{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \mathfrak{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} |\mathbf{y}_{\ell}'(\mathfrak{q}) - \mathfrak{y}_{\ell}(\mathfrak{q})| d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{R}| \omega_{\ell}^{RI} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\tau} \mathfrak{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \mathfrak{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} |\mathbf{y}_{\ell}'(\mathfrak{q}) - \mathfrak{y}_{\ell}(\mathfrak{q})| d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{R}| \omega_{\ell}^{RI} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\tau}^{\tau} \mathfrak{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \mathfrak{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} |\mathbf{y}_{\ell}'(\mathfrak{q}) - \mathfrak{y}_{\ell}(\mathfrak{q})| d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{R}| \omega_{\ell}^{RI} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\tau}^{\tau} \mathfrak{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{q}^{\mu} - \mathfrak{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} |\mathfrak{y}_{\ell}'(\mathfrak{q}) - \mathfrak{y}_{\ell}(\mathfrak{q})| d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{R}| \omega_{\ell}^{RI} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\tau}^{\tau} \mathfrak{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{q}^{\mu} - \mathfrak{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} |\mathfrak{y}_{\ell}'(\mathfrak{q}) - \mathfrak{y}_{\ell}(\mathfrak{q})| d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{R}| \omega_{\ell}^{RI} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\tau}^{\tau} \mathfrak{q}^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{q}^{\mu} - \mathfrak{q}^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} |\mathfrak{q}'(\mathfrak{q}) - \mathfrak{q}_{\ell}(\mathfrak{q})| d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}^{R}| \omega_{\ell}^{RI} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\tau} \mathfrak{$$

Which yields,

$$\begin{split} e^{i(t-1)}|y_{j}'(t) - y_{j}(t)| \\ \lesssim \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)}|\Theta_{j}'(t) - \Theta_{j}(t)|\} + c_{j}\frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)}|(y_{j}'(t) - y_{j}(t))|\} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ &+ [\mathfrak{q}_{1j}^{*} + \mathfrak{q}_{3j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)}|x_{\ell}'(t) - x_{\ell}(t)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ &+ [\mathfrak{q}_{2j}^{*} + \mathfrak{q}_{4j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)}|y_{\ell}'(t) - y_{\ell}(t)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ &+ [\mathfrak{q}_{2j}^{*} + \mathfrak{q}_{4j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\tau} \wp^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \wp^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} e^{i(\wp-1)} |\Psi_{\ell}'(\wp) - \Psi_{\ell}(\wp)| d\wp \\ &+ [\mathfrak{t}_{1j}^{*} + \mathfrak{t}_{3j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\tau}^{t} \wp^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \wp^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} e^{i(\wp-1)} |Y_{\ell}'(\wp) - y_{\ell}(\wp)| d\wp \\ &+ [\mathfrak{t}_{2j}^{*} + \mathfrak{t}_{4j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\tau}^{\tau} \wp^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \wp^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} e^{i(\wp-1)} |Y_{\ell}'(\wp) - y_{\ell}(\wp)| d\wp \\ &+ [\mathfrak{t}_{2j}^{*} + \mathfrak{t}_{4j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\tau} \wp^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \wp^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} e^{i(\wp-1)} |Y_{\ell}'(\wp) - \varphi_{\ell}(\wp)| d\wp \\ &+ [\mathfrak{t}_{2j}^{*} + \mathfrak{t}_{4j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\tau} \wp^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \wp^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} e^{i(\wp-1)} |Y_{\ell}'(\wp) - \varphi_{\ell}(\wp)| d\wp \\ &+ [\mathfrak{t}_{2j}^{*} + \mathfrak{t}_{4j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\tau} \wp^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \wp^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} e^{i(\wp-1)} |Y_{\ell}(\wp) - \varphi_{\ell}(\wp)| d\wp \\ &+ [\mathfrak{t}_{2j}^{*} + \mathfrak{t}_{4j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{\tau} \wp^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \wp^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} e^{i(\wp-1)} |Y_{\ell}(\wp) - \varphi_{\ell}(\wp)| d\wp \\ &\lesssim \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)}|\Theta_{j}'(t) - \Theta_{j}(t)|\} + c_{j} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)}|(y_{j}'(t) - y_{j}(t))|\} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ &+ [\mathfrak{q}_{1j}^{*} + \mathfrak{q}_{3j}^{*}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)}|x_{\ell}'(t) - x_{\ell}(t)|\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \kappa^{\mu-1} (\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \left[\mathfrak{q}_{31}^{*} + \mathfrak{q}_{41}^{*}\right] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{i} \left\{e^{i(t-1)}\right] Y_{\ell}^{\ell}(i) - Y_{\ell}(i)\right\} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{1} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ &+ \left[\mathfrak{t}_{11}^{*} + \mathfrak{t}_{31}^{*}\right] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{i} \left\{e^{i(t-1)}\right] W_{\ell}^{\ell}(i) - X_{\ell}(i)\right] \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\tau}^{0} \varphi^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \varphi^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\varphi \\ &+ \left[\mathfrak{t}_{31}^{*} + \mathfrak{t}_{31}^{*}\right] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{i} \left\{e^{i(t-1)}\right] W_{\ell}^{\ell}(i) - X_{\ell}(i)\right] \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\tau}^{1} \varphi^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \varphi^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\varphi \\ &+ \left[\mathfrak{t}_{21}^{*} + \mathfrak{t}_{31}^{*}\right] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{i} \left\{e^{i(t-1)}\right] W_{\ell}^{\ell}(i) - \Theta_{\ell}(i)\right] \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\tau}^{1} \varphi^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \varphi^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\varphi \\ &+ \left[\mathfrak{t}_{21}^{*} + \mathfrak{t}_{31}^{*}\right] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{i} \left\{e^{i(t-1)}\right] Y_{\ell}^{\ell}(i) - Y_{\ell}(i)\right] \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{\tau}^{1} \varphi^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \varphi^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\varphi \\ &\lesssim \sup_{i} \left\{e^{i(t-1)}\right] \Theta_{\ell}^{\prime}(i) - \Theta_{i}(i)\right] + c_{i} \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sup_{i} \left\{e^{i(t-1)}\right] (Y_{\ell}^{\prime}(i) - Y_{\ell}(i)\right] \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{1} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ &+ \left[\mathfrak{q}_{11}^{*} + \mathfrak{q}_{31}^{*}\right] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{i} \left\{e^{i(t-1)}\right] Y_{\ell}^{\prime}(i) - Y_{\ell}(i)\right] \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{1} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ &+ \left[\mathfrak{q}_{12}^{*} + \mathfrak{q}_{31}^{*}\right] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{i} \left\{e^{i(t-1)}\right\} Y_{\ell}^{\prime}(i) - Y_{\ell}(i)\right] \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{1} \kappa^{\mu-1} (t^{\mu} - \kappa^{\mu})^{\gamma-1} d\kappa \\ &+ \left[\mathfrak{q}_{21}^{*} + \mathfrak{q}_{31}^{*}\right] \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \int_{-\tau}^{0} (\mathfrak{q} + \tau)^{\mu-1} \left[t^{\mu} - (\mathfrak{q} + \tau)^{\mu}\right]^{\gamma-1} e^{i(\mathfrak{q}-1)} \left[(\Theta_{\ell}^{\prime}(\mathfrak{q}) - X_{\ell}(\mathfrak{q})\right]) d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \left[\mathfrak{t}_{21}^{*} + \mathfrak{t}_{31}^{*}\right] \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{0} (\mathfrak{q} + \tau)^{\mu-1} \left[t^{\mu} - (\mathfrak{q} + \tau)^{\mu}\right]^{\gamma-1} e^{i(\mathfrak{q}-1)} \left[(\Theta_{\ell}^{\prime}(\mathfrak{q}) - Y_{\ell}(\mathfrak{q})\right]) d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \left[\mathfrak{t}_{21}^{*} + \mathfrak{t}_{31}^{*}\right] \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{-\tau} (\mathfrak{q} + \tau)^{\mu-1} \left[\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - (\mathfrak{q} + \tau)^{\mu}\right]^{\gamma-1} e^{i(\mathfrak{q}-1)} \left[(\Theta_{\ell}^{\prime}(\mathfrak{q}) - Y_{\ell}(\mathfrak{q})\right]) d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \left[\mathfrak{t}_{21}^{*} + \mathfrak{t}_{31}^{*}\right] \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{-\tau} (\mathfrak{q} + \tau)^{\mu-1} \left[\mathfrak{t}^{\mu} - (\mathfrak{q} + \tau)^{\mu}\right]^{\gamma-1} e^{i(\mathfrak{q}-1)} \left[(\Theta_{\ell}^{\prime}(\mathfrak{q}) - Y_{\ell}(\mathfrak{q})\right]) d\mathfrak{q} \\ &+ \left[\mathfrak$$

$$\begin{split} \lesssim \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} | \Theta_{j}^{i}(t) - \Theta_{j}(t) | \} + \frac{\mu^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \left[c_{j} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} | (y_{j}^{\prime}(t) - y_{j}(t)) \} \frac{t^{\gamma\mu}}{\gamma\mu} \\ + [q_{1}^{*}_{j} + q_{3}^{*}_{j}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} | x_{\ell}^{\prime}(t) - x_{\ell}(t) \} \frac{t^{\gamma\mu}}{\gamma\mu} + [q_{2}^{*}_{j} + q_{4}^{*}_{j}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} | y_{\ell}^{\prime}(t) - y_{\ell}(t) \} \frac{t^{\gamma\mu}}{\gamma\mu} \\ + [t_{1}^{*}_{j} + t_{3}^{*}_{j}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} | w_{\ell}^{\prime}(t) - w_{\ell}(t) \} \left(\frac{t^{\gamma\mu}}{\gamma\mu} - \frac{(t^{\mu} - \tau^{\mu})^{\gamma}}{\gamma\mu} \right) \\ + [t_{3}^{*}_{j} + t_{3}^{*}_{j}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} | w_{\ell}^{\prime}(t) - x_{\ell}(t) \} \left(\frac{t^{\prime\mu}}{\gamma\mu} - \frac{(t^{\mu} - \tau^{\mu})^{\gamma}}{\gamma\mu} \right) \\ + [t_{3}^{*}_{j} + t_{3}^{*}_{j}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} | w_{\ell}^{\prime}(t) - y_{\ell}(t) \} \left(\frac{t^{\prime\mu}}{\gamma\mu} - \frac{(t^{\mu} - \tau^{\mu})^{\gamma}}{\gamma\mu} \right) \\ + [t_{3}^{*}_{j} + t_{3}^{*}_{j}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} | W_{\ell}^{\prime}(t) - y_{\ell}(t) \} \left(\frac{t^{\prime\mu}}{\gamma\mu} - \frac{(t^{\mu} - \tau^{\mu})^{\gamma}}{\gamma\mu} \right) \\ + [t_{3}^{*}_{j} + t_{3}^{*}_{j}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} | W_{\ell}^{\prime}(t) - y_{\ell}(t) \} \left(\frac{t^{\prime\mu}}{\gamma\mu} - \frac{(t^{\mu} - \tau^{\mu})^{\gamma}}{\gamma\mu} \right) \\ + [t_{2}^{*}_{j} + t_{3}^{*}_{j}] \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sup_{t} \{e^{i(t-1)} | W_{\ell}^{\prime}(t) - y_{\ell}(t) \} \left(\frac{t^{\prime\mu}}{\mu^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \right) \\ \\ = \frac{t^{\prime\mu}}{\mu^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} [\Theta_{1}^{*}_{j} + \Theta_{3}^{*}_{j}] | | W^{\prime}(t) - W(t) | + \frac{t^{\prime\mu}}{\mu^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} [\Theta_{1}^{*}_{j} + \Theta_{3}^{*}_{j}] | | W^{\prime}(t) - W(t) | \\ + \frac{t^{\prime\mu}}{\mu^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} [t_{3}^{*}_{j} + t_{3}^{*}_{j}] | | W^{\prime}(t) - W(t) | \\ + \frac{t^{\prime\mu}}{\mu^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} [t_{3}^{*}_{j} + t_{3}^{*}_{j}] | | | W^{\prime}(t) - W(t) | \\ + \frac{t^{\prime\mu}}{\mu^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} [t_{3}^{*}_{j} + t_{3}^{*}_{j}] | | W^{\prime}(t) - W(t) | \\ + \frac{t^{(\mu}}{\mu^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} [t_{3}^{*}_{j} + t_{3}^{*}_{j}] | | W^{\prime}(t) - W(t) | \\ \\ + \frac{t^{(\mu}}{\mu^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} [t_{3}^{*}_{j} + t_{3}^{*}_{j}] | | W^{\prime}(t) - W(t) | \\ \\ + \frac{t^{(\mu}}{\mu^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} [t_{3}^{*}_{j} + t_{3}^{*}_{j}] | | W^{\prime}(t) - W(t) | \\ \\ + \frac{t^{(\mu}}{\mu^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} [t_{3}^{*}_{j} + t_{3}^{*}_{j}] | | W^{\prime}(t) - W(t) | \\ \\ + \frac{t^{(\mu}}{\mu^{\gamma}\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} [t_{3}^{*}_{j} + t_{3}^{*}_{j}] | | W^{\prime}(t) - W(t) | \\ \\ \\ +$$

From Eq. (2.10), one can easily obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} ||\mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t})|| \lesssim \frac{||\mathbf{q}_1^* + |\mathbf{q}_3^*|| + ||\mathbf{t}_1^* + \mathbf{t}_3^*||}{1 - [c_{\max} + ||\mathbf{q}_2^* + \mathbf{q}_4^*|| + ||\mathbf{t}_2^* + \mathbf{t}_4^*||]} ||\mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t})|| \\ + \frac{||\mathbf{1} + 2||\mathbf{t}_2^* + \mathbf{q}_4^*||}{1 - [c_{\max} + ||\mathbf{q}_2^* + \mathbf{q}_4^*|| + ||\mathbf{t}_2^* + \mathbf{t}_4^*||]} ||\Theta'(\mathbf{t}) - \Theta(\mathbf{t})|| \\ + \frac{||2||\mathbf{t}_1^* + \mathbf{t}_3^*||}{1 - [c_{\max} + ||\mathbf{q}_2^* + \mathbf{q}_4^*|| + ||\mathbf{t}_2^* + \mathbf{t}_4^*||]} ||\Psi'(\mathbf{t}) - \Psi(\mathbf{t})|| \end{aligned}$$
(2.11)

From (2.8) and (2.11), the following can be expressed in the formulation:

$$||\mathbf{x}'(\mathfrak{t}) - \mathbf{x}(\mathfrak{t})|| \lesssim \frac{1}{\mathscr{U}_1} \{ \mathscr{U}_2 ||\mathbf{y}'(\mathfrak{t}) - \mathbf{y}(\mathfrak{t})|| + \mathscr{U}_3 ||\Psi'(\mathfrak{t}) - \Psi(\mathfrak{t})|| + \mathscr{U}_4 ||\Theta'(\mathfrak{t}) - \Theta(\mathfrak{t})|| \}$$
(2.12)

$$||y'(t) - y(t)|| \lesssim \frac{1}{\mathscr{V}_1} \{\mathscr{V}_2 ||x'(t) - x(t)|| + \mathscr{V}_3 ||\Theta'(t) - \Theta(t)|| + \mathscr{V}_4 ||\Psi'(t) - \Psi(t)||\}$$
(2.13)

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_{1} &= 1 - \left[c_{\max} + ||\mathfrak{a}_{1}^{\star} + \mathfrak{a}_{3}^{\star}|| + ||\mathfrak{b}_{1}^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_{3}^{\star}|| \right]; \\ \mathcal{U}_{2} &= ||\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{\star} + \mathfrak{a}_{4}^{\star}|| + ||\mathfrak{b}_{2}^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_{4}^{\star}||; \\ \mathcal{U}_{3} &= 1 + 2||\mathfrak{b}_{1}^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_{3}^{\star}||; \\ \mathcal{U}_{4} &= 2||\mathfrak{b}_{2}^{\star} + \mathfrak{b}_{4}^{\star}||; \\ \mathcal{U}_{1} &= 1 - \left[c_{\max} + ||\mathfrak{q}_{2}^{\star} + \mathfrak{q}_{4}^{\star}|| + ||\mathfrak{t}_{2}^{\star} + \mathfrak{t}_{4}^{\star}|| \right]; \\ \mathcal{U}_{2} &= ||\mathfrak{q}_{1}^{\star} + \mathfrak{q}_{3}^{\star}|| + ||\mathfrak{t}_{1}^{\star} + \mathfrak{t}_{3}^{\star}||; \\ \mathcal{U}_{3} &= 1 + 2||\mathfrak{t}_{2}^{\star} + \mathfrak{t}_{4}^{\star}||; \\ \mathcal{U}_{4} &= 2||\mathfrak{t}_{1}^{\star} + \mathfrak{t}_{3}^{\star}||. \end{aligned}$$

Equations (2.12) and (2.13) can be written in the following form:

$$||\mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t})|| \lesssim \frac{\mathscr{U}_2}{\mathscr{U}_1} ||\mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t})|| + \frac{\mathscr{U}_3}{\mathscr{U}_1} ||\Psi'(\mathbf{t}) - \Psi(\mathbf{t})|| + \frac{\mathscr{U}_4}{\mathscr{U}_1} ||\Theta'(\mathbf{t}) - \Theta(\mathbf{t})||$$
(2.14)

$$||y'(t) - y(t)|| \lesssim \frac{\psi_2}{\psi_1} ||x'(t) - x(t)|| + \frac{\psi_3}{\psi_1} ||\Theta'(t) - \Theta(t)|| + \frac{\psi_4}{\psi_1} ||\Psi'(t) - \Psi(t)||$$
(2.15)

By substituting (2.15) in (2.14), we get,

$$\begin{split} ||\mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t})|| \lesssim \frac{\mathscr{U}_2}{\mathscr{U}_1} \left(\frac{\mathscr{V}_2}{\mathscr{V}_1} ||\mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t})|| + \frac{\mathscr{V}_3}{\mathscr{V}_1} ||\Theta'(\mathbf{t}) - \Theta(\mathbf{t})|| + \frac{\mathscr{V}_4}{\mathscr{V}_1} ||\Psi'(\mathbf{t}) - \Psi(\mathbf{t})|| \right) \\ &+ \frac{\mathscr{U}_3}{\mathscr{U}_1} ||\Psi'(\mathbf{t}) - \Psi(\mathbf{t})|| + \frac{\mathscr{U}_4}{\mathscr{U}_1} ||\Theta'(\mathbf{t}) - \Theta(\mathbf{t})|| \\ &\lesssim \frac{\mathscr{U}_2 \mathscr{V}_2}{\mathscr{U}_1 \mathscr{V}_1} ||\mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t})|| + \left[\frac{\mathscr{U}_2 \mathscr{V}_3}{\mathscr{U}_1 \mathscr{V}_1} + \frac{\mathscr{U}_4}{\mathscr{U}_1} \right] ||\Theta'(\mathbf{t}) - \Theta(\mathbf{t})|| + \left[\frac{\mathscr{U}_2 \mathscr{V}_4}{\mathscr{U}_1 \mathscr{V}_1} + \frac{\mathscr{U}_3}{\mathscr{U}_1} \right] ||\Psi'(\mathbf{t}) - \Psi(\mathbf{t})|| \end{split}$$

This gives,

$$||\mathbf{x}'(t) - \mathbf{x}(t)|| \lesssim \left(\frac{\frac{\mathscr{U}_{2}\mathscr{V}_{3}}{\mathscr{U}_{1}\mathscr{V}_{1}} + \frac{\mathscr{U}_{4}}{\mathscr{U}_{1}}}{1 - \frac{\mathscr{U}_{2}\mathscr{V}_{2}}{\mathscr{U}_{1}\mathscr{V}_{1}}}\right)||\Theta'(t) - \Theta(t)|| + \left(\frac{\frac{\mathscr{U}_{2}\mathscr{V}_{4}}{\mathscr{U}_{1}\mathscr{V}_{1}} + \frac{\mathscr{U}_{3}}{\mathscr{U}_{1}}}{1 - \frac{\mathscr{U}_{2}\mathscr{V}_{2}}{\mathscr{U}_{1}\mathscr{V}_{1}}}\right)||\Psi'(t) - \Psi(t)||$$
(2.16)

Similarly, by substituting (2.14) in (2.15), we get,

$$\begin{split} ||\mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t})|| &\precsim \frac{\mathscr{V}_2}{\mathscr{V}_1} \left(\frac{\mathscr{U}_2}{\mathscr{U}_1} ||\mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t})|| + \frac{\mathscr{U}_3}{\mathscr{U}_1} ||\Psi'(\mathbf{t}) - \Psi(\mathbf{t})|| + \frac{\mathscr{U}_4}{\mathscr{U}_1} ||\Theta'(\mathbf{t}) - \Theta(\mathbf{t})|| \right) \\ &+ \frac{\mathscr{V}_3}{\mathscr{V}_1} ||\Theta'(\mathbf{t}) - \Theta(\mathbf{t})|| + \frac{\mathscr{V}_4}{\mathscr{V}_1} ||\Psi'(\mathbf{t}) - \Psi(\mathbf{t})|| \\ &\precsim \frac{\mathscr{V}_2 \mathscr{U}_2}{\mathscr{V}_1 \mathscr{U}_1} ||\mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t})|| + \left[\frac{\mathscr{V}_2 \mathscr{U}_3}{\mathscr{U}_1 \mathscr{V}_1} + \frac{\mathscr{V}_4}{\mathscr{V}_1} \right] ||\Psi'(\mathbf{t}) - \Psi(\mathbf{t})|| + \left[\frac{\mathscr{V}_2 \mathscr{U}_4}{\mathscr{U}_1 \mathscr{V}_1} + \frac{\mathscr{V}_3}{\mathscr{V}_1} \right] ||\Theta'(\mathbf{t}) - \Theta(\mathbf{t})|| \end{split}$$

This gives,

$$||\mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t})|| \lesssim \left(\frac{\frac{\mathscr{V}_{2}\mathscr{U}_{3}}{\mathscr{V}_{1}\mathscr{U}_{1}} + \frac{\mathscr{V}_{4}}{\mathscr{V}_{1}}}{1 - \frac{\mathscr{V}_{2}\mathscr{U}_{2}}{\mathscr{V}_{1}\mathscr{U}_{1}}}\right) ||\Psi'(\mathbf{t}) - \Psi(\mathbf{t})|| + \left(\frac{\frac{\mathscr{V}_{2}\mathscr{U}_{4}}{\mathscr{V}_{1}\mathscr{U}_{1}} + \frac{\mathscr{V}_{3}}{\mathscr{V}_{1}}}{1 - \frac{\mathscr{V}_{2}\mathscr{U}_{2}}{\mathscr{V}_{1}\mathscr{U}_{1}}}\right) ||\Theta'(\mathbf{t}) - \Theta(\mathbf{t})||$$
(2.17)

If we consider

$$\begin{split} ||\Psi'(\mathfrak{t}) - \Psi(\mathfrak{t})|| \lesssim \frac{\eta_1}{2\left(\frac{\frac{\mathscr{U}_{1}\mathcal{T}_{1}}{\mathscr{U}_{1}\mathcal{T}_{1}} + \frac{\mathscr{U}_{1}}{\mathscr{U}_{1}}\right)}{1 - \frac{\mathscr{U}_{2}\mathcal{T}_{2}}{\mathscr{U}_{1}\mathcal{T}_{1}}} = \frac{\eta_1}{2\vartheta_1} \\ ||\Theta'(\mathfrak{t}) - \Theta(\mathfrak{t})|| \lesssim \frac{\eta_1}{2\left(\frac{\frac{\mathscr{U}_{2}\mathcal{T}_{2}}{\mathscr{U}_{1}\mathcal{T}_{1}} + \frac{\mathscr{U}_{4}}{\mathscr{U}_{1}}\right)} = \frac{\eta_1}{2\vartheta_2} \end{split}$$

where,

$$\vartheta_1 = \left(\frac{\frac{\mathscr{U}_2\mathscr{V}_4}{\mathscr{U}_1\mathscr{V}_1} + \frac{\mathscr{U}_3}{\mathscr{U}_1}}{1 - \frac{\mathscr{U}_2\mathscr{V}_2}{\mathscr{U}_1\mathscr{V}_1}}\right) \text{ and } \vartheta_2 = \left(\frac{\frac{\mathscr{U}_2\mathscr{V}_3}{\mathscr{U}_1\mathscr{V}_1} + \frac{\mathscr{U}_4}{\mathscr{U}_1}}{1 - \frac{\mathscr{U}_2\mathscr{V}_2}{\mathscr{U}_1\mathscr{V}_1}}\right).$$

Therefore, Eq. (2.16) becomes

$$||\mathbf{x}'(\mathfrak{t}) - \mathbf{x}(\mathfrak{t})|| \precsim \eta_1.$$

(2.18)

Similarly, if we consider

$$\begin{aligned} ||\Psi'(\mathfrak{t}) - \Psi(\mathfrak{t})|| \lesssim \frac{\eta_2}{2\left(\frac{\frac{r_2 \cdot \mathfrak{V}_3}{r_1 \cdot \mathfrak{W}_1} + \frac{r_4}{r_1}}{1 - \frac{r_2 \cdot \mathfrak{W}_2}{r_1 \cdot \mathfrak{W}_1}}\right)} &= \frac{\eta_2}{2\vartheta_3} \\ ||\Theta'(\mathfrak{t}) - \Theta(\mathfrak{t})|| \lesssim \frac{\eta_2}{2\left(\frac{\frac{r_2 \cdot \mathfrak{W}_4}{r_1 \cdot \mathfrak{W}_1} + \frac{r_3}{r_1}}{1 - \frac{r_2 \cdot \mathfrak{W}_2}{r_1 \cdot \mathfrak{W}_1}}\right)} &= \frac{\eta_2}{2\vartheta_4} \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\vartheta_3 = \left(\frac{\frac{\mathscr{V}_2\mathscr{U}_3}{\mathscr{V}_1\mathscr{U}_1} + \frac{\mathscr{V}_4}{\mathscr{V}_1}}{1 - \frac{\mathscr{V}_2\mathscr{U}_2}{\mathscr{V}_1\mathscr{U}_1}}\right) \text{ and } \vartheta_4 = \left(\frac{\frac{\mathscr{V}_2\mathscr{U}_4}{\mathscr{V}_1\mathscr{U}_1} + \frac{\mathscr{V}_3}{\mathscr{V}_1}}{1 - \frac{\mathscr{V}_2\mathscr{U}_2}{\mathscr{V}_1\mathscr{U}_1}}\right).$$

Therefore, Eq. (2.17) becomes

$$||\mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t})|| \precsim \eta_2. \tag{2.19}$$

From Eqs. (2.18) and (2.19), we say that for all $\eta = \max\{\eta_1, \eta_2\} > 0$ then there exists a $\vartheta = \frac{\eta}{\max\{\eta_5, \eta_6\} > 0}$, where $\eta_5 = \max\{\eta_1, \eta_3\}, \eta_6 = \max\{\eta_2, \eta_4\}$, such that $||z'(\mathfrak{t}) - z(\mathfrak{t})|| \lesssim \eta$ when $||\varkappa(\mathfrak{t}) - \lambda(\mathfrak{t})|| < \vartheta$. It demonstrates the uniform stability of the solution $z(\mathfrak{t})$.

Existence of unique equilibrium point

Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C}([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{C}^n)$, where \mathcal{C} is the set of all continuous functions defined on $[-\tau, 0]$. Define the mapping $d : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{C}$ as

$$d(z(\mathfrak{t}), z'(\mathfrak{t})) = |z(\mathfrak{t}) - z'(\mathfrak{t})| + \mathfrak{i}|z(\mathfrak{t}) - z'(\mathfrak{t})|.$$

Clearly (\mathcal{M}, d) is a complete complex-valued metric space. Define $\mathcal{W} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ as follows:

$$\mathscr{W}\rho(\kappa) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{s}_{\rho j} \varphi_{j} \left(\frac{\kappa_{j}}{c_{j}}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_{\rho j} \varphi_{j} \left(\frac{\kappa_{j}}{c_{j}}\right) + \Upsilon_{\rho},$$

where $\rho = 1, 2, 3 \dots n$ and, for $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3, \dots, \kappa_n)^{\mathbb{T}}$.

Theorem 2.2 There exist a unique equilibrium point for the system (1.1) if the following conditions satisfied, which is uniformly stable.

(1). Assumption (\mathcal{A}) , (\mathcal{B}) , and (\mathcal{C}) ; (2). $||\mathfrak{a}^*|| + ||\mathfrak{b}^*|| < c_{\min}$.

Proof Consider the two vectors $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3, \dots, \kappa_n)^T$ and $\upsilon = (\upsilon_1, \upsilon_2, \upsilon_3, \dots, \upsilon_n)^T$, where $\kappa \neq \upsilon$. Now consider,

$$\begin{split} &|\mathscr{W}\kappa - \mathscr{W}\upsilon| + \mathbf{i}|\mathscr{W}\kappa - \mathscr{W}\upsilon| \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathscr{W}_{\ell}(\kappa) - \mathscr{W}_{\ell}(\upsilon)| + \mathbf{i}\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\mathscr{W}_{\ell}(\kappa) - \mathscr{W}_{\ell}(\upsilon)| \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{s}_{\ell j}\varphi_{j}\left(\frac{\kappa_{j}}{c_{j}}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_{\ell j}\varphi_{j}\left(\frac{\kappa_{j}}{c_{j}}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{s}_{\ell j}\varphi_{j}\left(\frac{\upsilon_{j}}{c_{j}}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_{\ell j}\varphi_{j}\left(\frac{\upsilon_{j}}{c_{j}}\right) \right| \\ &+ \mathbf{i}\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{s}_{\ell j}\varphi_{j}\left(\frac{\kappa_{j}}{c_{j}}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_{\ell j}\varphi_{j}\left(\frac{\kappa_{j}}{c_{j}}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{s}_{\ell j}\varphi_{j}\left(\frac{\upsilon_{j}}{c_{j}}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_{\ell j}\varphi_{j}\left(\frac{\upsilon_{j}}{c_{j}}\right) \right| \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{s}_{\ell j}\left[\varphi_{j}\left(\frac{\kappa_{j}}{c_{j}}\right) - \varphi_{j}\left(\frac{\upsilon_{j}}{c_{j}}\right)\right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_{\ell j}\left[\varphi_{j}\left(\frac{\kappa_{j}}{c_{j}}\right) - \varphi_{j}\left(\frac{\upsilon_{j}}{c_{j}}\right)\right] \right| \\ &+ \mathbf{i}\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{s}_{\ell j}\left[\varphi_{j}\left(\frac{\kappa_{j}}{c_{j}}\right) - \varphi_{j}\left(\frac{\upsilon_{j}}{c_{j}}\right)\right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_{\ell j}\left[\varphi_{j}\left(\frac{\kappa_{j}}{c_{j}}\right) - \varphi_{j}\left(\frac{\upsilon_{j}}{c_{j}}\right)\right] \right| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{s}_{\ell j}\theta_{j}|\kappa_{j} - \upsilon_{j}| + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathfrak{p}_{\ell j}\theta_{j}}{c_{j}}|\kappa_{j} - \upsilon_{j}|\right] \\ &+ \mathbf{i}\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathfrak{s}_{\ell j}\theta_{j}}{c_{j}}|\kappa_{j} - \upsilon_{j}| + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathfrak{p}_{\ell j}\theta_{j}}{c_{j}}|\kappa_{j} - \upsilon_{j}|\right] \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathfrak{s}_{\ell j}\theta_{j}}{c_{j}}|\kappa_{j} - \upsilon_{j}|\right] + \mathbf{i}\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \frac{\mathfrak{p}_{\ell j}\theta_{j}}{c_{j}}|\kappa_{j} - \upsilon_{j}|\right] \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathfrak{s}_{\ell j}\theta_{j}}{c_{j}}|\kappa_{j} - \upsilon_{j}|\right) + \mathbf{i}\left(\frac{||\mathfrak{a}^{\star}|| + ||\mathfrak{b}^{\star}||}{c_{\min}}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n}|\kappa_{j} - \upsilon_{j}|\right]\right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{|||\mathfrak{a}^{\star}|| + |||\mathfrak{b}^{\star}||}{c_{\min}}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n}|\kappa_{j} - \upsilon_{j}|\right] + \mathbf{i}\left(\frac{||\mathfrak{a}^{\star}|| + |||\mathfrak{b}^{\star}||}{c_{\min}}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n}|\kappa_{j} - \upsilon_{j}|\right]\right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{||\mathfrak{a}^{\star}|| + |||\mathfrak{b}^{\dagger}||}{c_{\min}}\left[|\kappa - \upsilon| + \mathbf{i}|\kappa - \upsilon|\right] \\ &= \delta d(\kappa, \upsilon), \end{split}$$

which yields $d(\mathscr{W}\kappa, \mathscr{W}\upsilon) \preceq \delta d(\kappa, \upsilon)$, where $\delta = \frac{||\mathfrak{a}^{\star}|| + ||\mathfrak{b}^{\star}||}{||\mathfrak{b}^{\star}||}$.

Hence \mathscr{W} is a contractive mapping on \mathbb{C}^n . Hence by using Theorem.1.2, there will be a unique fixed point $\kappa^* \in \mathbb{C}^n$ in such a way that $\mathscr{W}(\kappa^*) = \kappa^*$. Therefore,

$$\kappa_{j}^{\star} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{s}_{j\ell} \varphi_{\ell} \left(\frac{\kappa_{j}^{\star}}{c_{j}} \right) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_{j\ell} \varphi_{\ell} \left(\frac{\upsilon_{j}^{\star}}{c_{j}} \right) + \Upsilon_{j}, \ j = 1, 2, 3 \dots n$$

Consider $c_j z_j^{\star} = \kappa_j^{\star}$, $j = 1, 2, 3 \dots n$ then

$$-c_{j}z_{j}^{\star} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{s}_{j\ell}\varphi_{\ell}(z_{\ell}^{\star}) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_{j\ell}\varphi_{\ell}(z_{\ell}^{\star}) + \Upsilon_{j} = 0, \ j = 1, 2, 3 \dots n$$

Thus (1.1) has a unique equillibrium point z^* . Moreover z^* is uniformly stable followed by using above Theorem.2.1.

Numerical simulations

In this part, two numerical examples are provided to illustrate and validate the presented theoretical results.

Example 3.1 Consider a fractional-order CVNN with time delays is presented as follows:

$${}^{C}\mathscr{D}_{0}^{\gamma,\mu}z_{k}(\mathfrak{t}) = -c_{k}z_{k}(\mathfrak{t}) + \sum_{j=1}^{2}\mathfrak{s}_{kj}\varphi_{j}(z_{j}(\mathfrak{t})) + \sum_{j=1}^{2}\mathfrak{p}_{kj}\varphi_{j}(z_{j}(\mathfrak{t}-\tau)) + \Upsilon_{k}; \ k = 1, 2.$$
(3.1)

Let us assume that the parameters of the given system are chosen as $\tau = 1$, $c_1 = 0.15$, $c_2 = 0.14$, $\mathfrak{s}_{11} = -0.03$ -0.04i, $\mathfrak{s}_{12} = 0.05 - 0.04i$, $\mathfrak{s}_{21} = 0.04 + 0.007i$, $\mathfrak{s}_{22} = -0.006 + 0.02i$, $\mathfrak{p}_{11} = 0.03 - 0.08i$, $\mathfrak{p}_{12} = 0.2 - 0.02i$, $p_{21} = 0.02 + 0.03i$, $\mathfrak{p}_{22} = -0.02 + 0.06i$, $\Upsilon_1 = 0.002 - 0.003i$, $\Upsilon_2 = -0.001 + 0.002i$, $z_k(\mathfrak{t}) = \Psi_k(\mathfrak{t}) + \mathfrak{i}\Theta_k(\mathfrak{t})$,

 $\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(z_k) &= 0.2 \tanh(\Psi_k) + 0.2 \tanh(\Theta_k) \mathbf{i}, \text{ and the function in terms of the delay term is defined as} \\ \varphi_k(z_k) &= 0.1 \tanh(\Psi_k) + 0.1 \tanh(\Theta_k) \mathbf{i}, \ k = 1, 2. \text{ The presented system was solved using various values for } \gamma, \mu \\ \text{as well as two initial conditions } \vartheta_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} -0.005 + 0.002\mathbf{i} \\ 0.001 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } \vartheta_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.003 + 0.004\mathbf{i} \\ 0.003 - 0.005\mathbf{i} \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Figure 1, shows the time} \end{aligned}$

trajectories of system (3.1) with various values of γ and μ at the initial condition ϑ_1 . It is evident that the solutions, for all given values of γ and μ , converge to an equilibrium point that is consistent with the obtained theoretical results. Table 1, displays the equilibrium points at different values of γ and μ for $z_k(t)$, k = 1, 2. Moreover, Fig. 2 exhibits the time trajectories for the components of $z_k(t)$, k = 1, 2, with two initial conditions ϑ_1 and ϑ_2 at $\gamma = \mu = 0.95$.

Example 3.2 Let the fractional-order CVNNs is described as follows:



Figure 1. The trajectories of the real and imaginary parts of z_k , k = 1, 2 for Example 3.1 with the initial condition ϑ_1 and various values of γ and μ_{-} .

γ	μ	Equilibrium points as real and imaginary parts for z_1 and z_2 , respectively.	
0.95	0.95	(0.0120, - 0.0172) and (- 0.0059, 0.0117)	
0.9	0.85	(0.0113, - 0.0165) and (- 0.0056, 0.0112)	
0.85	0.65	(0.0077, - 0.0124) and (- 0.0043, 0.0088)	
0.8	0.55	(0.0077, - 0.0122) and (- 0.0042, 0.0085)	

Table 1. The equilibrium points for system (3.1) at various values of γ and μ ..

$${}^{C}\mathscr{D}_{0}^{\gamma,\mu}z(\mathfrak{t}) = -\mathscr{C} z(\mathfrak{t}) + \mathcal{G}\varphi(z(\mathfrak{t})) + \mathcal{H}\varphi(z(\mathfrak{t}-\tau)) + \Upsilon,$$
(3.2)

where $\tau = 1, z_k(\mathfrak{t}) = \Psi_k(\mathfrak{t}) + \mathfrak{i}\Theta_k(\mathfrak{t}), \varphi(z_k) = \frac{z_k}{1+|z_k|}, k = 1, 2, 3, \text{and}$

$$\mathscr{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{G} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 - 5i & 2 + i & 2 + i \\ 3 & 1 + i & 0 \\ 1 - i & 0 & 1 + i \end{bmatrix},$$



Figure 2. The trajectories of the real and imaginary parts of z_k , k = 1, 2 for Example 3.1 with the initial conditions ϑ_1 and ϑ_2 at $\gamma = \mu = 0.95$.

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.6 + 0.5i & -1 + 0.5i & 2 + 0.1i \\ 1 - 0.5i & -0.5 + 1.5i & 1 + 0.5i \\ -3 + 0.5i & 3.5 + i & 0.2 + 0.1i \end{bmatrix}, \Upsilon = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

The initial condition is given by

$$\vartheta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 0.3i \\ 0.5 + 0.4i \\ 0.15 + 0.3i \end{bmatrix}.$$

Figures 3, 4 and 5 represent the time trajectory for the components of z_k , k = 1, 2, 3 at $\gamma = 0.95$, $\mu = 0.9$, $\gamma = 0.8$, $\mu = 0.75$ and $\gamma = 0.65$, $\mu = 0.5$, respectively. The numerical simulations of the real against imaginary parts are shown in Figs. 6 and 7. It is clear from these results that the system (3.2) displays chaotic behavior.

Example 3.3 Let the fractional-order CVNNs is described as follows:

$${}^{C}\mathscr{D}_{0}^{\gamma,\mu}z(\mathfrak{t}) = -\mathscr{C} z(\mathfrak{t}) + \mathcal{G}\varphi(z(\mathfrak{t})) + \mathcal{H}\hat{\varphi}(z(\mathfrak{t}-\tau)) + \Upsilon,$$
(3.3)

where $\tau = 0.5, z_k(t) = \Psi_k(t) + i\Theta_k(t)$,







Figure 4. The trajectories of the real and imaginary parts of z_k , k = 1, 2, 3 for Example 3.2 at $\gamma = 0.8$, $\mu = 0.75$.

$$\begin{split} \varphi(z_k) &= \frac{1 - \exp(-\Psi_k)}{1 + \exp(-\Psi_k)} + i\frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\Theta_k)}, \, k = 1, \, 2, \\ \hat{\varphi}(z_k) &= \frac{1 - \exp(-\Theta_k)}{1 + \exp(-\Theta_k)} + i\frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\Psi_k)}, \, k = 1, \, 2, \end{split}$$

and

$$\mathscr{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{G} = \begin{bmatrix} 2+3i & 3-i \\ 4-2i & 1+2i \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{H} = \begin{bmatrix} -1+2i & 2+i \\ 3-4i & -3+2i \end{bmatrix}, \\ \Upsilon = \begin{bmatrix} -3+i & 2+4i \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

Figures 8 and 9 show the time trajectory for the real and imaginary components of z_k , k = 1, 2 at $\gamma = 0.98$, $\mu = 1$, and the following two initial conditions

$$\vartheta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 4.5 - 2i \\ 4.5 - 6i \end{bmatrix}$$
, and $\vartheta_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -7 + 0.5i \\ 2.5 - 4i \end{bmatrix}$.

It is evident that the solutions converge to an equilibrium point that is consistent with the obtained theoretical results. Table 2, shows the equilibrium points at various values of γ and μ for $z_k(t)$, k = 1, 2. Moreover, we compared the values for the obtained equilibrium points for our results at $\gamma = 0.98$ and $\mu = 1$ with those obtained



Figure 5. The trajectories of the real and imaginary parts of z_k , k = 1, 2, 3 for Example 3.2 at $\gamma = 0.65$, $\mu = 0.5$.



Figure 6. Chaotic attractor behaviors of z_k , k = 1, 2, 3 for Example 3.2 at $\gamma = 0.9$, $\mu = 0.8$.



Figure 7. Chaotic attractor behaviors of z_k , k = 1, 2, 3 for Example 3.2 at $\gamma = 0.8$, $\mu = 0.75$.



Figure 8. The trajectories of the real parts of z_k , k = 1, 2 for Example 3.3 at $\gamma = 0.98$, $\mu = 1$.





γ	μ	Equilibrium points for our results	Equilibrium points in ¹⁸
0.98	1	(-0.6506, 0.0491) and (0.6958, 1.2216)	(-0.6542, 0.0432) and (0.6979, 1.2275)
0.9	0.8	(-0.6309, 0.0815) and (0.6843, 1.1897)	-
0.85	0.75	(-0.6154, 0.1070) and (0.6752, 1.1645)	-



in¹⁸. It's worth mentioning that the numerical method is based on a decomposition formula for the generalized Caputo derivative, for more details, see^{22,23}.

Remark 3.1

- In recent past, many authors have demonstrated the various stability results of fractional order neural networks in Banach spaces making use of the contractive map. (check^{18,19}, for more info)
 - As compared to the above results, we have used the complex-valued metric space to demonstrate the uniform stability of the problem.
- In order to investigate the stability of the equilibrium point and demonstrate its existence and uniqueness for generalized Caputo fractional-order, the authors of^{20,21} have taken into consideration real-valued neural networks.
 - As compared to the above results, we first utilized complex-valued neural networks in the setting of generalized Caputo fractional-order.

Open Questions

Prove or disprove the following:

- Synchronization and uniform stability of neural networks having complex valued fractional order;
- Finite time stability of neural networks having Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative with complex order⁴³;
- Extend our results to complex orders.

Conclusion

The uniform stability of CVNNs under the setting of generalized Caputo fractional-order with time delays in complex-valued metric spaces is investigated. The results of CVNNs are also developed in the context of fractional-order with time delay in complex-valued metric spaces, which produces fixed-point and unique equilibrium-point results. Additionally, numerical examples are given to support and illustrate the theoretical results. Our findings are significant because they open up novel possibilities for studying neural systems and chaotic theory. The findings of this work provide additional research directions for:

- Finite-time projective synchronization of generalized Caputo fractional-order complex-valued neural networks;
- Feedback synchronization of the generalized Caputo fractional-order and application to computational topology;
- Physics-informed neural networks for fractional-order model of system identification.

Data availability

The data sets used and/or analyzed during the current study available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 14 November 2023; Accepted: 3 February 2024 Published online: 19 February 2024

References

- 1. Miller, K. S. & Ross, B. An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations (Wiley, 1993).
- 2. Hilfer, R. Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics (World scientific, 1999).
- 3. Atangana, A. & Baleanu, D. New fractional derivatives with non-local and non-singular Kernel: Theory and application to heat transfer model. *Therm. Sci.* 20, 763–769 (2016).
- 4. Caputo, M. & Fabrizio, M. A new definition of fractional derivative without singular kernel. *Prog. Fract. Differ. Appl.* 1(2), 73–85 (2015).
- Baleanu, D., Etemad, S., Mohammadi, H. & Rezapour, S. A novel modeling of boundary value problems on the glucose graph. Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 100, 105844 (2021).
- 6. Tenreiro Machado, J. A. et al. Some applications of fractional calculus in engineering. Math. Probl. Eng. 2010, 639801 (2010).
- Khan, H., Alam, K., Gulzar, H., Etemad, S. & Rezapour, S. A case study of fractal-fractional tuberculosis model in China: Existence and stability theories along with numerical simulations. *Math. Comput. Simul.* 198, 455–473 (2022).
- 8. Baleanu, D., Aydogn, S. M., Mohammadi, H. & Rezapour, S. On modelling of epidemic childhood diseases with the Caputo-Fabrizio derivative by using the Laplace Adomian decomposition method. *Alex. Eng. J.* **59**(5), 3029–3039 (2020).
- 9. Sene, Ndolane. Fundamental results about the fractional integro-differential equation described with caputo derivative. J. Funct. Spaces 2022, 9174488 (2022).
- Baleanu, D., Jajarmi, A., Mohammadi, H. & Rezapour, S. A new study on the mathematical modelling of human liver with Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* 134, 109705 (2020).
- Baleanu, D., Mohammadi, H. & Rezapour, S. The existence of solutions for a nonlinear mixed problem of singular fractional differential equations. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2013, 359 (2013).
- 12. Sarroff, A. M. Complex Neural Networks for Audio, Tech. Rep. TR2018-859, Dartmouth College, Computer Science, Hanover, NH, May (2018).
- Hirose, A. & Yoshida, S. Comparison of complex- and real-valued feedforward neural networks in their generalization ability, in Neural Information Processing - 18th International Conference, ICONIP, 2011, Shanghai, China. Proc. Part I, 526–531 (2011).
- 14. Hirose, A. Applications of complex-valued neural networks to coherent optical computing using phase-sensitive detection scheme. *Inf. Sci. Appl.* 2(2), 103–117 (1994).
- Trabelsi, C., Bilaniuk, O., Zhang, Y., Serdyuk, D., Subramanian, S., Santos, J. F., Mehri, S., Rostamzadeh, N., Bengio, Y. & Pal, C. J. Deep complex networks, CoRR, arXiv:abs/1705.09792, (2018).
- Chen, L., Chai, Y., Wu, R., Ma, T. & Zhai, H. Dynamic analysis of a class of fractional-order neural networks with delay. *Neuro-computing* 111, 190–194 (2013).
- 17. Huang, X., Zhao, Z., Wang, Z. & Li, Y. Chaos and hyperchaos in fractional-order cellular neural networks. *Neurocomputing* 94, 13–21 (2012).
- Rakkiyappan, R., Jinde, C. & Velmurugan, G. Existence and uniform stability analysis of fractional-order complex-valued neural networks with time delays. *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst.* 26(1), 84–97 (2014).
- Wang, L., Song, Q., Liu, Y., Zhao, Z. & Alsaadi, F. E. Global asymptotic stability of impulsive fractional-order complex-valued neural networks with time delay. *Neurocomputing* 243, 49–59 (2017).
- Jmal, A., Ben Makhlouf, A., Nagy, A. M. & Naifar, O. Finite-time stability for Caputo-Katugampola fractional-order time-delayed neural networks. *Neural Process. Lett.* 50, 607–621 (2019).
- Xiao, S. & Li, J. New result on finite-time stability for Caputo-Katugampola fractional-order neural networks with time delay. Neural Process Lett. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11063-023-11291-4 (2023).
- Almeida, R., Malinowska, A. B. & Odzijewicz, T. Fractional differential equations with dependence on the Caputo-Katugampola derivative. J. Comput. Nonlinear Dyn. 11, 061017 (2016).
- Ben Makhlouf, A. & Nagy, A. M. Finite-time stability of linear Caputo-Katugampola fractional-order time delay systems. Asian J. Control 22, 297–306 (2020).
- Sivalingam, S. M., Kumar, P. & Govindaraj, V. A neural networks-based numerical method for the generalized Caputo-type fractional differential equations. *Math. Comput. Simul.* 213, 302–323 (2023).
- 25. Czerwik, S. Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces. Acta Math. Univ. Osstrav. 1(1), 5-11 (1993).
- Kamran, T., Samreen, M. & UL Ain, Q. A generalization of b-metric space and some fixed point theorems. *Mathematics* 5(2), 19. https://doi.org/10.3390/math5020019 (2017).
- 27. Panda, S. K., Abdeljawad, T. & Ravichandran, C. A complex valued approach to the solutions of Riemann-Liouville integral, Atangana-Baleanu integral operator and non-linear Telegraph equation via fixed point method. *Chaos, Solitons Fractals* **130**, 109439 (2020).
- 28. Ravichandran, C. *et al.* On new approach of fractional derivative by Mittag-Leffler kernel to neutral integro-differential systems with impulsive conditions. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* **139**, 110012 (2020).
- Kavitha Williams, W. et al. Existence and controllability of nonlocal mixed Volterra-Fredholm type fractional delay integrodifferential equations of order 1 < r < 2. Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equ.https://doi.org/10.1002/num.22697 (2020).
- Alqahtani, B., Fulga, A., Karapınar, E. & Kumari, P. S. Sehgal type contractions on dislocated spaces. *Mathematics* 7, 153. https:// doi.org/10.3390/math7020153 (2019).
- 31. Das, A. *et al.* An existence result for an infinite system of implicit fractional integral equations via generalized Darbo's fixed point theorem. *Comp. Appl. Math.* **40**, 143. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40314-021-01537-z (2021).
- 32. Naz, A. *et al*.F-Contractions endowed with Mann's iterative scheme in convex G_b-metric spaces. Axioms **12**(10), 937 (2023).
- Suwais, K., Taş, N., Özgür, N., & Mlaiki, N. Fixed Point Theorems in Symmetric Controlled M-Metric Type Spaces. Symmetry. 15(9), (2023)

- Panda, S. K., Atangana, A. & Nieto, J. J. New insights on novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV/SARS-CoV-2 modelling in the aspect of fractional derivatives and fixed points. *Math. Biosci. Eng.* 18(6), 8683–8726 (2021).
- Sarwar, M., Shah, S. K., Mitrović, Z. D., Mukheimer, A. & Mlaiki, N. Almost Ćirić type contractions and their applications in complex valued b-metric spaces. Axioms. 12(8), 794 (2023).
- Panda, S. K. et al. Chaotic attractors and fixed point methods in piecewise fractional derivatives and multi-term fractional delay differential equations. Results Phys. 46, 106313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2023.106313 (2023).
- Borah, M. J., Hazarika, B., Panda, S. K. & Nieto, J. J. Examining the correlation between the weather conditions and COVID-19 pandemic in India: A mathematical evidence. *Results Phys.* 19, 103587. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2020.103587 (2020).
- Kumari, P. S. & Panthi, D. Cyclic compatible contraction and related fixed point theorems. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2016, 28. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13663-016-0521-8 (2016).
- Panda, S. K., Kalla, K. S., Nagy, A. M. & Priyanka, L. Numerical simulations and complex valued fractional order neural networks via (ε – μ)-uniformly contractive mappings. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* 173, 113738 (2023).
- Azam, A., Fisher, B. & Khan, M. Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces. *Number. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 32, 243–253 (2011).
- 41. Katugampola, U. N. New approach to a generalized fractional integral Appl. Math. Comput. 218, 860-865 (2011).
- 42. Katugampola, U. N. A new approach to generalized fractional derivative Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. 6(4), 1–15 (2014).
- 43. Fernandez, A. A complex analysis approach to Atangana-Baleanu fractional calculus. Math. Model. Appl. Sci. (2019).

Acknowledgement

T. Abdeljawad would like to thank Prince Sultan University for the support through TAS research lab.

Author contributions

S.K.P., T.A., and A.M.N. collaborated on the design, implementation, investigation, and writing of the research paper in accordance with the results.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.A.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024