Check for updates

EDITORIAL **OPEN** Lamin A/C missense variants: from discovery to functional validation

Rare variants in the LMNA gene encoding nuclear lamin A/C are causal for more than a dozen diverse mendelian disorders. Defining the functional consequences of LMNA variants has been challenging given the pleiotropy of gene functions and potential pathogenic mechanisms. It is essential to develop trustworthy, scalable and rapidly deployable in vitro assays of function to enable timely assessment of missense variants that are being uncovered by high throughout next-generation sequencing.

npj Genomic Medicine (2021)6:102; https://doi. org/10.1038/s41525-021-00266-w

Nuclear lamins play multifunctional roles in cell biology. They provide structural support for the nuclear contents, help to govern nuclear sensing and mechanotransduction, and simultaneously regulate gene expression by organizing and interacting with chromatin and transcription factors^{1–3}. Nuclear lamins A and C are encoded by the LMNA gene on chromosome 1g22 and are structurally composed of coils 1A, 1B and 2 which make up the qhelical rod domain, an immunoglobulin (Ig)-fold which makes up the Ig tail, and a nuclear localization signal (NLS) which separates the coiled-coil and Ig domains⁴. Given the complex nature of the LMNA gene and the diverse roles played by the encoded lamin isoforms, rare pathogenic variations have been found to underlie more than a dozen heterogenous largely autosomal dominant conditions and syndromes that are collectively referred to as "laminopathies"^{4,5}. It is thus not surprising that different LMNA mutations will impact different functions and roles of lamins, such as nuclear morphology, integrity, mechanosensing and mechanotransduction, direct interaction with transcription factors, altered functionality of mutant pre-lamins, altered processing of lamin and altered interaction with chromatin, which collectively help begin to explain the wide range of associated clinical phenotypes^{4–10}.

Laminopathies due to rare LMNA variants affecting lamin A/C can be sorted into disorders that affect specific tissues, including cardiac muscle (dilated cardiomyopathy), skeletal muscle (Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy), adipose tissue (familial partial lipodystrophy type 2), peripheral nerves (Charcot-Marie-Tooth disorder type 2B1), as well as systemic disorders (progeria syndromes)^{1,4,5,10,11}. Over the last two decades, >600 LMNA missense variants associated with various diseases have been deposited in the ClinVar database. The sheer diversity of affected tissues, organ systems, and distinctive syndromes is exceptional for a single gene. This inherent biological complexity, in turn, poses unique challenges when attempting to ascribe pathogenicity or dysfunction to any newly discovered variant including missense variants of uncertain significance (VUSs), which are being revealed at an accelerating rate and comprise a substantial proportion of archived variants for LMNA, as they are for most other genes.

For two decades investigators have endeavoured to link attributes of specific LMNA variants to precise functional consequences that in turn might mechanistically explain the varied clinical presentations. For instance, an attribute as simple as the position of a pathogenic LMNA missense variant relative to the coding sequence for the NLS predicts tissue involvement: variants upstream of NLS are 8-times more likely to be associated with cardiomyopathy and skeletal myopathy than downstream variants, which are instead more likely to be associated with lipodystrophy and progeria¹². The majority of the pathogenic mutations in LMNA identified so far have been linked to an aggressive type of inherited cardiomyopathy that includes conduction disorders, arrhythmias, ventricular dysfunction, and heart failure¹. However, more work is required to increase the rigour of functional characterization and understanding of the cellular biology that links a particular rare LMNA variant to a specific clinical phenotype.

To address the challenges of testing functionality of LMNA variants including VUSs, Anderson et al. in this issue of npj Genomic Medicine evaluated 178 missense variants located in different structural and functional domains of lamin A/C via a high-throughput approach¹³. They primarily used two mammalian overexpression models, employing human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells and mouse C2C12 myoblasts. They evaluated nuclear aggregation as their key functional read-out, both because misfolding and aggregation are important mechanisms in other dominant disorders, but also because past studies of individual lamin A/C variants have provided evidence of aberrant aggregation⁸. Also, by demonstrating that their assay is reliable, robust and scalable, they set the stage for future applications, including potential incorporation of such an assay into the evidencegathering pipeline for the dysfunctionality criterion within the guidelines classification of pathogenicity.

The specific read-outs of interest were lamin A aggregation in HEK 293 and C2C12 cells, and manually counted from >100 cells in triplicate, stratified by variants within either the coiled domains or Ig like domain. The authors also evaluated lamin A aggregation in induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-cardiomyocytes isolated by flow cytometry to validate a cell-specific mechanism for variants associated with cardiomyopathy. The suite of experiments included co-expression of selected variants with wild-type lamin A/C to evaluate a potential dominant negative effect for variants showing dominant inheritance. They complemented this work with in silico predictions of aberrant folding and finally observed correlation between in vitro findings and clinical phenotypes.

From these experiments, Anderson et al. showed that lamin A aggregation abnormalities are the main read-out for missense variants that are associated with skeletal and cardiac myopathies with generally good correspondence between findings across cell types. They found that 84%, 52%, 35 and 17% of variants associated with skeletal myopathies, cardiomyopathies, lipodystrophy and progeria showed abnormal aggregation, suggesting that aggregation abnormalities represent a functional phenotype that is more specific for myopathic laminopathies compared with other types of laminopathies. They also showed that aggregation-prone variants in the Ig domain are generally associated with greater protein instability and misfolding. Finally, they observed non-random concordance between these in vitro findings and clinical manifestations.

The approach and findings seem to represent a step forward in making sense of the complexity of human genetic variation in *LMNA* and its relationship to disease. In vitro functional analysis is weighed heavily when gauging pathogenicity of variants, both in classical human molecular genetic research and now with guidelines like those from the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics¹⁴. Placing priority on functional characterization may be justified if the surrogate assay actually reflects a relevant molecular or cellular process that is important for pathogenesis¹⁵. But making such a link is complicated in the case of *LMNA*, given the vast underlying functional pleiotropy. None-theless, pleiotropy itself should not be a deterrent, as it is the rule rather than the exception underlying the associations between many human genes and disease phenotypes¹⁶.

The assays reported by Anderson et al. seem to be reproducible and scalable, and have the potential to provide useful adjunctive evidence for attributing pathogenicity to newly discovered specific missense variants. Ultimately, all in vitro functional analysis have limitations, however there would be practical advantages to timely access to a reliable result that makes sense in the context of all the other evidence, such as in silico modelling, allele frequency, cosegregation with phenotype, evolutionary conservation, etc. However, functional analysis is just one piece of evidence¹⁷. Furthermore, if the assay is somehow incorrect, misleading, unreliable or not really representative of the true pathogenesis, applying it prematurely in a clinical diagnostic pipeline might introduce errors into pathogenicity attribution, which benefits no one¹⁸. But these hazards have always existed in human mutation discovery when the work shifts to designing and interpreting in vitro functional assays. In the current milieu in which clinical next-generation sequencing is uncovering human missense variants at a torrential rate—many of which are VUSs—the concomitant development of reliable high throughput functional assays that can reliably upgrade (or downgrade) the pathogenicity status of a VUS is incredibly important. In the case of cardiomyopathic and skeletal myopathic variants in LMNA, the approach reported by Anderson and colleagues sketches out one possible starting point.

Received: 12 October 2021; Accepted: 28 October 2021; Published online: 03 December 2021

Julieta Lazarte^{1,2,3} and Robert A. Hegele $\mathbb{D}^{1,2,3}$

¹Department of Medicine, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Western University, London, ON, Canada. ²Department of Biochemistry, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Western University, London, ON, Canada. ³Robarts Research Institute, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Western University, London, ON, Canada. ^{Se}email: hegele@robarts.ca

REFERENCES

- Lu, J. T., Muchir, A., Nagy, P. L. & Worman, H. J. LMNA cardiomyopathy: cell biology and genetics meet clinical medicine. *Dis. Model Mech.* 4, 562–568 (2011).
 Shevelyov, Y. Y. & Ulianov, S. V. The nuclear lamina as an organizer of chromo-
- some architecture. *Cells* **8**, https://doi.org/10.3390/cells8020136 (2019). 3. Lin, F. & Worman, H. J. Structural organization of the human gene encoding
- nuclear lamin A and nuclear lamin C. J. Biol. Chem. **268**, 16321–16326 (1993).
- Ho, R. & Hegele, R. A. Complex effects of laminopathy mutations on nuclear structure and function. *Clin. Genet.* 95, 199–209 (2019).
- Worman, H. J. & Bonne, G. "Laminopathies": A wide spectrum of human diseases. Exp. Cell Res. 313, 2121–2133 (2007).

- Niethammer, P. Components and mechanisms of nuclear mechanotransduction. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 37, 233–256 (2021).
- Zahr, H. C. & Jaalouk, D. E. Exploring the crosstalk between LMNA and splicing machinery gene mutations in dilated cardiomyopathy. Fron.t Genet. 9, 231 (2018).
- Young, S. G., Jung, H. J., Lee, J. M. & Fong, L. G. Nuclear lamins and neurobiology. Mol Cell Biol. 34, 2776–2785 (2014).
- Tu, Y., Sánchez-Iglesias, S., Araújo-Vilar, D., Fong, L. G. & Young, S. G. LMNA missense mutations causing familial partial lipodystrophy do not lead to an accumulation of prelamin A. Nucleus 7, 512–521 (2016).
- Charar, C. & Gruenbaum, Y. Lamins and metabolism. *Clin. Sci. (Lond)* 131, 105–111 (2017).
- Hegele, R. A., Joy, T. R., Al-Attar, S. A. & Rutt, B. K. Thematic review series: adipocyte biology. Lipodystrophies: windows on adipose biology and metabolism. J. Lipid Res. 48, 1433–1444 (2007).
- Hegele, R. LMNA mutation position predicts organ system involvement in laminopathies. Clin. Genet. 68, 31–34 (2005).
- 13. Anderson C. L. et al. Most myopathic LMNA variants cause lamin A aggregation: a functional genomics approach for assessing variants of uncertain significance. *NPJ Genom Med*, (current issue).
- Richards, S. et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet Med* 17, 405–424 (2015).
- Amendola, L. M. et al. Variant classification concordance using the ACMG-AMP variant interpretation guidelines across nine genomic implementation research studies. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 5, 932–941 (2020).
- Boyle, E. A., Li, Y. I. & Pritchard, J. K. An expanded view of complex traits: from polygenic to omnigenic. *Cell* 169, 1177–1186 (2017).
- Grody, W. W. The transformation of medical genetics by clinical genomics: hubris meets humility. *Genet. Med.* 21, 1916–1926 (2019).
- McInerney-Leo, A. M. & Duncan, E. L. Massively parallel sequencing for rare genetic disorders: potential and pitfalls. *Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne)* **11**, 628946 (2021).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

J.L. was supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (Doctoral Research Award) and the Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry (Cobban Student Award in Heart and Stroke Research). R.A.H. is supported by the Jacob J. Wolfe Distinguished Medical Research Chair, the Edith Schulich Vinet Research Chair, and the Martha G. Blackburn Chair in Cardiovascular Research. R.A.H. holds operating grants from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (Foundation award), the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Ontario (G-21-0031455) and the Academic Medical Association of Southwestern Ontario (INN21-011).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.L. and R.A.H. wrote the initial draft, edited and approved the final version of the manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

R.A.H. reports consulting fees from Acasti, Aegerion, Akcea/Ionis, Amgen, HLS Therapeutics, Novartis, Pfizer, Regeneron and Sanofi. J.L. reports no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021