▶ disciplines in applications for the so-called ROPAs awards — named after the white paper *Realising our Potential*, and aimed explicitly at rewarding those with a record of successful collaboration with industry.

Some directly involved in the council's decision claim that physicists have failed adequately to 'sell' the value of their work to the new council. "Looking at the general message behind the decision, I feel that physicists need to do more to get across the idea that their work contributes to new developments in many other disciplines," says Laurie Challis, professor of physics at the University of Nottingham, and himself a

# 17 CRITERIA USED BY EPSRC TO EVALUATE SCIENTIFIC PROGRAMMES

## POTENTIAL SOCIO-ECONOMIC BENEFITS

- 1. Economic Competitiveness
- 2. Provision of Basic Needs
- 3. Physical Security and Safety
- 4. Health Improvement
- 5. Skills Requirements

### NATURE OF RESEARCH

- 6. Alignment to Foresight
- 7. Research Potential
- 8. Pervasiveness
- 9. Interdisciplinarity

## **ABILITY TO CAPTURE BENEFITS**

- 10. Strength of User Community
- 11. Uptake Capacity of User Community
- 12. Potential for Rapid Technology Transfer

### PROVIDER CAPABILITY

- 13. Strength of Provider Base
- 14. State of Provider Base

# FUNDING CONSIDERATIONS

- 15.Importance of EPSRC Funding
- 16. Funding Leverage Potential
- 17. Capacity to Absorb Increase in Funding

member of the TOPS panel. "This is certainly a glitch, but it may be a useful one."

Others are less charitable, suggesting that the potential value of physics to wealth creation had been misrepresented during the council's deliberations, and criticizing the council for not consulting even those physicists involved in reviewing EPSRC grants applications in reaching its decision. "This was a decision that was reached in some haste behind closed doors," says Jean-Patrick Connerade, professor of physics at Imperial College London.

Jones at the IOP rebuts the implications that physicists are resting on their past achievements, and that an ageing population is reluctant to give way to younger researchers with new ideas. "There is no evidence that physics is anything but exciting," he says, while underlining the institute's keenness to help the EPSRC to identify where physics should grow. "We have said that we will do our best, because if we do not do it, who will?" he says.

But Brook has few apologies for the council's decision. "We have to have an effective mechanism for ensuring that tax-payers get value for the money spent on research," he says. "We are trying to buy the best research we can with the money we have available."

David Dickson

# Researchers 'duped' over use of embryos without consent

San Diego. The debate surrounding infertility research at the University of California at San Diego (UCSD) has taken a new twist with evidence that viable human eggs and embryos taken from patients without their consent have been unknowingly used by a research team at the University of Wisconsin.

This was revealed in an audit by the university, completed late last month, of the activities of Ricardo H. Asch, who operated infertility clinics at UCSD and the University of California at Irvine (UCI) before fleeing the country last year while under investigation for alleged misappropriation of embryos and financial offences (see *Nature* 376, 456; 1995).

Asch's research conduct is also under investigation at UCI and Cornell University in Ithaca, New York. Officials from UCI, Cornell and the federal Office for Protection from Research Risks are investigating the transfer of embryos from Irvine to Cornell for experimental chromosome testing.

During the UCSD investigation, auditors from KPMG Peat Marwick found that Asch had in 1994 provided about 450 egg or embryo specimens to a researcher at Wisconsin, Gerald Schatten, without the consent of their donors. Auditors found that at least 21 of the inseminated eggs and 3 embryos were viable. (The remaining eggs and embryos were from failed fertilization procedures, officials said, and would therefore have been discarded as defective.)

Officials at UCSD and Wisconsin say they have no evidence that Shatten was aware that the material sent to him by Asch lacked informed consent. Indeed, records uncovered during the audit indicate that Schatten appears to have been deliberately misled by Asch.

According to Shatten, Asch had provided him with written assurance that the UCSD Human Subjects Committee had approved the use of the eggs and embryos. Schatten has so far declined to comment on the audit's conclusions, citing potential legal action against Wisconsin.

Norman C. Fost, chairman of Wisconsin's Institutional Review Board, says that the university required Schatten to ensure that Asch had obtained informed consent from patients. "We didn't know Asch wasn't telling the truth," says Fost. "We can't hold our researchers to be policemen of researchers at other institutions."

Asch, a native of Argentina, has been living in Mexico City since September after fleeing a federal criminal investigation and at least 18 civil lawsuits. He was not available for comment, and his California attorneys say he is not responsible for the alleged

lapse of research review procedures, blaming university officials for any paperwork mix-ups.

UCSD cancelled Asch's clinical faculty appointment last June, and closed its assisted reproductive technology clinic after the initial allegations. Its subsequent audit has reminded US universities of the sensitivities surrounding research in human reproduction; the National Institutes of Health, for example, have declined to fund human embryo research, because, it is widely believed, of fear of a political backlash.

The UCSD auditors found that Asch had operated his infertility clinic with little administrative supervision, and that there were inadequate written policies to control such operations. Also, the procedures that did exist failed to uncover the alleged irregularities until they were first disclosed by the press last spring.

Both UCSD and Wisconsin officials say that, because of his international reputation as a leading infertility specialist, they relied upon Asch to conduct himself properly. "The affair forces a researcher to question everyone," says one Wisconsin official privately. "This has shaken the entire reproductive medicine field. It will be years until it recovers. It is a shame, because a lot of good science was being done."

Thomas R. Moore, acting chief of reproductive medicine at UCSD, says university authorities "were concerned and dismayed" last year during the early phases of the investigation when they found Asch was using discarded tissue without consent. But these feelings have turned to shock with the recent discoveries. "If indeed this occurred, this is a blatant breach of established policies and procedures as well as an ethical outrage," says Moore.

The UCSD scrutiny of Asch also uncovered another project being conducted without a university-approved protocol. This involved follicular fluid and ovarian tissue from Asch's women patients being given to a university laboratory for unapproved biological and molecular research.

The reproductive medicine researcher conducting these studies, Gregory F. Erickson, was placed on probation for a year, with university officials now monitoring his projects more closely. UCSD officials are also investigating the role of two fellows associated with the project.

"It was an honest mistake to fail to realize I didn't have a protocol in place," says Erickson, who points out his 20-year career at UCSD was previously unmarred. "I am sorry it happened. The university did the right thing by putting me on probation."

**Rex Dalton**