## UK universities Feeling the pinch

Figures released by the Committee of Vice-Chancellors and Principals show that the number of overseas undergraduate and postgraduate students admitted to British universities in October 1980 was 90.7 per cent and 88.9 per cent respectively of the number admitted in October 1979. The intake of British undergraduates was 2.3 per cent higher than in 1979, an increase in line with the rise in the number of 18-year-olds in the population.

The shortfall in the number of overseas students was not as great as some had expected after the British government's decision earlier in the year to charge them the full economic fee for their education, averaging about £3,000 per year. But recent figures released by the Universities Central Council on Admissions (UCCA) indicate that a much greater shortfall may be expected this year. The number of applicants from overseas for admission in October 1981 is 33 per cent down on the number received at the same stage last year. One reason for the delayed reaction may be that governments had already committed themselves to paying for students to study in Britain this year before the increased charges were announced. Applications from British students for university places in 1981, however, continue to show a small increase, the number from men being up by 2 per cent and from women by 7 per cent.

Figures released at such an early stage in the academic year are not a reliable guide of what will happen next year. Nevertheless, many universities are worried. They will face a difficult decision in February and March when they have to fix their fees for 1981–82. With a considerably reduced income from overseas students, they will have to decide whether to compensate by increasing the fees of overseas students yet again at the risk of discouraging even more students from accepting places.

According to the vice-chancellors' committee, the increase in the number of home students only adds to the universities' problems because the government has set

### **Poland freezes Antarctic research**

Poland's Antarctic research programme for 1981 will include a "wide range of economy measures" according to a statement last month by Dr Maciej Zalewski, Secretary of the Polar Research Committee of the Polish Academy of Sciences. From now on, he said, research will concentrate on "strategic lines" of special importance to science and the economy.

The main saving, said Dr Zalewski, will be made by discontinuing large and expensive summer expeditions, and concentrating on small teams occupying a permanent base on a yearly shift system. Nevertheless, some summer activity will



Arctowski Station, King George Island.

continue — such as the current joint expedition from the Academy of Sciences and the Marine Fisheries' Institute in Gdynia which is part of the international "Biomass" programme, as is the similar expedition planned for summer 1982-83.

What, then, is to be cut? According to Dr Stanislaw Rakusa-Suszczewski, of the academy's Institute of Ecology, very little of any significance. Antarctic programmes are planned by the three relevant institutes of the academy ---geophysics, biology and ecology - and financed by the academy, and judging the proposed research entirely on its intrinsic merits, the arguments were so strong, he said, that the academy has decided to go ahead. Under the new programme, the main emphasis will be on marine biology and the study of whales, but in all disciplines there would be increased stress on fund a mental research.

This represents a significant change in research planning. Since the early 1970s, research in Poland has been targetoriented and financed on a project basis, within a complex hierarchy of "problems" of the national economy. (Fundamental research was still possible, but had to be formally associated with some specific "problem"). The new intellectual climate in Poland, with its emphasis on greater academic autonomy, described by Dr Rakusa-Suszczewski as a "very positive change", has clearly meant that, in reshaping the Antarctic programme to fit Poland's reduced economic circumstances, the planners of the academy have been able to make their decisions on basis of scientific value.

Vera Rich

aside no extra funds to meet the growth. Well qualified home students could well be refused places because of a lack of cash to support them. The full effects of the increase in overseas students' fees will be felt in 1982–83 by which time government protection of overseas students who began their studies before October 1980 will have ceased. The government will then have effectively removed 10 per cent of universities' income from the recurrent grant.

The Committee of Directors of Polytechnics reports a similar but more marked trend for entry to full-time and sandwich courses in October 1980. Admissions of overseas students were down 29 per cent on 1979, but this was more than compensated for by a 7 per cent increase in home students, much of the growth being in science and technology courses.

Some polytechnics with a high percentage of overseas students, especially those near London, may be hit particularly badly. Others may only be able to offer those courses for which there is a buoyant demand. At Portsmouth Polytechnic, for example, the masters' course in fuel technology, the only one of its kind offered in Britain, is very popular. But courses offered by several institutions may suffer badly from increased competition.

polytechnics' advantage may, however, be that they can adapt their courses to changing demands more easily than the universities.

Few universities and polytechnics have yet analysed this year's intake in terms of subject area and students' nationalities. But general impressions are that students from South-East Asia are having more difficulty in raising fees than those from the Middle East and that science and engineering courses are suffering less than courses in the humanities.

Judy Redfearn

## Brazil's space programme Aiming high

Sao Paulo, December

Showing refreshing optimism at a time of unprecedented inflation, plans have been drawn up in Brazil for an ambitious space programme costing hundreds of millions of dollars over the next ten years. Remote sensing and meteorological satellites and the rockets to launch them are included in a plan approved in principle by the Brazilian government.

Meteorology and remote sensing have already attracted substantial interest and investment in Brazil, where the information they can provide on the physical conditions of little-known areas is especially valuable. The remote sensing programme, set up in the 1960s, was intended to help map the Amazon Basin. The programme has grown from the use of aerial survey to using Skylab and Landsat images. A Landsat receiving station was opened in Curitiba in 1975 and now provides 20,000 images a year to 1,100 users, many of them in other South American countries. The next step will be to upgrade the station, at a cost of about \$6 million, to receive Landsat D images.

The meteorology programme involves the building of up to 100 data-collection platforms around the country. A prototype is being tried out in France and the first Brazilian platforms are expected to start operating early this year. Information from them will be relayed by the American TIROS N and GOES satellites. A major objective of the platforms is to provide accurate forecasts of drought in the impoverished north-east region.

According to Dr Jesus Parada, director of the civilian space research institute, INPE, previous cooperative ventures, mainly with America, have given Brazil the necessary expertise to go it alone.

The intention is to launch four satellites a year from 1986–87. INPE would develop the satellites and the launcher would be developed at the nearby military space institute. Total cost of the programme is estimated at more than \$700 million, about two thirds of it for launcher development.

The first two satellites would take over from TIROS N and GOES by relaying information gathered by the meteorological data collection platforms to a national centre. And the number of platforms could be increased to several thousands if the new satellites are launched. A radiation budget experiment is also planned. The first satellite, weighing 150–200 kg, would be launched on the qualification flight of the rocket into an orbit of 25–30° at an altitude of 700 km.

The third and fourth satellites would be more complex, devoted to direct land imaging and weighing 250–300 kg. They would be placed in a near-polar orbit at an altitude of 650 km.

All four satellites would be launched from a site in Brazil, possibly the existing military launch pad near Natal. A major drawback of this site, however, is that large payloads would have to be launched into an unusual trajectory to minimize the threat to the city which is only a few kilometres away.

Ambitious though the new plans are, progress so far has been slow. With a budget of only \$10 million for 1980, feasibility studies have not got as far as Dr Parada would have liked. But if the hopedfor budget of \$40 million is forthcoming for 1981 the project can move on into the design phase.

In a country with grave social problems there are many areas where investment might provide a more obvious and immediate return than a venture into space. And a second space project, for Brazil's first telecommunications satellite, is also competing for funds. So the chances of Brazil's space programme are closely tied to the fate of Brazil's economy over the next few years. Judy Redfearn

# <u>Nuclear waste</u> US Senate stalls

#### Washington

Hopes for new legislation establishing a national policy for the disposal of radioactive waste — eagerly sought by both the nuclear industry and the environmental movement — foundered in the Senate last month on disagreement over whether states should be involved in decisions about military waste from nuclear weapons construction.

Main responsibility seems to rest with Senator Henry Jackson, powerful chairman of the Senate Energy committee and a member of its Armed Services Committee.

Senator Jackson had previously supported a proposed nuclear waste bill that was passed in the Senate in July. This bill contained limited provisions for the involvement of states in siting decisions, which the Senator opposes, but it was sweetened by the inclusion of plans for "away-from-reactor" sites which the owners of nuclear reactors could use for the temporary relief of their own spent-fuel storage tanks.

The House of Representatives, after lengthy negotiations between pro-nuclear and anti-nuclear forces, passed a compromise bill last month. Like the Senate bill, it provided for a state to veto a siting decision, if it obtained support of one of the two congressional bodies.

However, the House bill did not include any mention of away-from-reactor storage, which the industry would like since it would keep spent fuel available for future reprocessing. And Senator Jackson made it clear that he did not support the bill, killing any chance of agreement by offering an amended version unacceptable to the House.

The storage of nuclear waste remains the Achilles' heel of the nuclear power industry, with several states currently refusing permission for further powerplant construction until adequate means for long-term storage are available.

The nuclear industry itself is keen to find more permanent storage for the 80,000 metric tons of spent fuel now lying in storage tanks next to reactors around the country. And the environmental movement wants a nuclear waste policy that is both ecologically acceptable and open as much as possible to local participation in decision-making.

In February, President Carter announced plans for a long-term waste disposal policy. Reiterating his previous opposition to reprocessing, this is based on the permanent storage of waste in deep geological formations — a technique considered both feasible and relatively safe by most of the scientific community.

Carter proposed that long-term studies of possible sites should be initiated, with the goal of having a permanent disposal facility in operation by 1995. But the Senate, acting under pressure from the nuclear industry, expressed impatience with this schedule, and the bill which it passed in July emphasized short-term waste storage procedures.

Sharp differences also occurred in the House of Representatives. Pro-nuclear forces, led by Congressman Mike McCormack of the Science and Technology Committee, supported a bill which would require the Department of Energy to construct technology demonstration facilities in various parts of the country, to serve as a basis for licensing a full-scale commercial waste facility.

In contrast, the chairman of the House Interior Committee, Congressman Morris Udall, supported a bill more closely modelled on the Administration's proposals, arguing that it was premature to rush into demonstration projects.

In the end a compromise was reached between the two committees and the House passed a joint bill, under which the Department of Energy would pick two possible burial sites by 1982 and two more by 1985; and by 1987, following an elaborate series of public hearings, the President would recommend to Congress the site or sites which he considered safe for the storage of waste.

Despite the faster schedule, this compromise proved unacceptable to the Senate. Stung by the omission of any provision for short-term away-fromreactor storage, Senator Jackson told the Senate that without a specific exemption for atomic defence repositories, the House bill as it stood infringed the jurisdiction of both House and Senate Armed Services Committees, and could conflict with existing clauses in the Atomic Energy Act.

Environmentalist groups are insistent that military wastes, amounting to up to 90 per cent of the nation's high-level radioactive waste, should also be covered in any national plan.

But the Senate's decision now means that the process of developing legislation must start over again when the new Congress convenes this month. In the Senate at least, recently-elected conservative legislators are likely to be more sympathetic to the nuclear industry.

Meanwhile, in line with the Carter Administration's long-term waste disposal policy, the Department of Energy has issued an environmental impact statement confirming its view that the best method of permanently disposing of radioactive wastes from nuclear power plants is to place them in mined depositories deep in geological formations.

According to the department, a typical repository would require about 2,000 acres underground, and above-ground facilities would occupy 500 to 750 acres. Access roads would take up another 30 acres. A total of 2,000 acres above ground would be needed for each facility, with consequent restrictions of mineral and surface rights.