



Fig. 2. Hexagonal etch pits of parallel orientation produced by atomic nitrogen on graphite crystal at 1,100°C. $\times c. 130$.

reactions are not obvious. The observed differences in the effects produced by atomic oxygen and atomic hydrogen may, however, be tentatively related to the differences in the surface mobilities of these adsorbed species. Adsorbed oxygen atoms are believed immobile³ and adsorbed hydrogen atoms exhibit high mobility on a carbon surface⁶. The behaviour observed here for nitrogen atoms strongly suggests that a mobile layer is involved during the attack on the graphite crystal surface.

BRUCE MCCARROLL
D. W. MCKEE

Research and Development Center,
General Electric Company,
Schenectady,
New York 12301.

Received December 4, 1969.

¹ Thomas, J. M., *Carbon*, **7**, 359 (1969).

² Thomas, J. M., *Chemistry and Physics of Carbon* (edit. by Walker, jun., P. L.), **1**, 121 (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1965).

³ Marsh, H., O'Hair, T. E., and Reed, R., *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, **58**, 285 (1965).

⁴ McCarroll, B., *Rev. Sci. Instr.* (in the press).

⁵ Hughes, E. E. G., Williams, B. R., and Thomas, J. M., *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, **58**, 2011 (1962).

⁶ Robell, A. J., Ballou, E. V., and Boudart, M. J., *J. Phys. Chem.*, **68**, 9 (1964).

Vapour Pressure of H₂¹⁸O Ice (I) (-17° C to 0° C) and H₂¹⁸O Water (0° C to 16° C)

We have measured the vapour pressure isotope effect (VPIE) between H₂¹⁸O and H₂¹⁶O ice from -17° C to 0° C and have obtained results in disagreement with those reported by Matsuo and Matsubaya¹. In order to check our measurements we have also determined the VPIE in the liquid phase and obtained results in good agreement with Szapiro and Steckel². Also we have calculated from the present data an ice-liquid fractionation factor

$$\alpha_{s,l} = (H_2^{18}O/H_2^{16}O)_{liq} / (H_2^{18}O/H_2^{16}O)_{solid} = 1.0026 \pm 0.0003$$

in good agreement with O'Neil's directly measured value of 1.0030 ± 0.0002 (ref. 3).

Our differential vapour pressure apparatus will be described elsewhere. The samples are contained in a common copper block whose temperature is controlled and measured to 0.001° C. We estimate that the temperature difference between samples is significantly less than 0.0002° C. The pressure differences are measured with a calibrated differential capacitance manometer. The vapour pressure of H₂¹⁸O water at each temperature can be obtained to sufficient accuracy for the present purpose from standard tables⁴, but we have chosen to use a new theoretical relation derived by us which is substantiated by new measurements of the vapour pressure of H₂¹⁸O ice (results to be published shortly).

The 0.75 cm³ sample of H₂¹⁸O (H normalized) was kindly supplied to us by Dr G. Jakli of the Central Research Institute for Physics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest. Their mass spectrometric analysis gave for oxygen, ¹⁸O = 69.0 ± 0.5 per cent, ¹⁷O = 1.4 ± 0.2 per cent, ¹⁶O by difference. The deuterium concentration was only 1.03 ± 0.01 times normal abundance. The pressure differences were corrected to 100 per cent H₂¹⁸O assuming Raoult's law and the law of the geometric mean for H₂¹⁶O, H₂¹⁷O, H₂¹⁸O.

In the experiments 71 points were measured in the ice range, 0° C to -17° C, and 40 points in the liquid, 0° C to 16° C, over a ten day period. The two parameter least square fits are

$$\ln(R_{solid}) = \ln\left(\frac{P_{H_2^{18}O}}{P_{H_2^{16}O}}\right)_{solid} = \frac{2.110}{T} + 0.00656 \quad (1)$$

256 < T < 273

$$\ln(R_{liquid}) = \ln\left(\frac{P_{H_2^{18}O}}{P_{H_2^{16}O}}\right)_{liquid} = \frac{13.764}{T} - 0.03872 \quad (2)$$

273 ≤ T ≤ 289

The calculated logarithms are good to ±3 to 4 × 10⁻⁴ between 0 and -17° C, and to ±2 × 10⁻⁴ between 0 and 16° C. Our results for ice are thus consistently about 0.002-0.003 ln(R) units above those of reference 1, the only other reported measurements. In the liquid we calculate ln(R) = 0.0090 ± 0.0002 at 15° C in agreement with the value ln(R) = 0.0097 ± 0.0003 reported by Szapiro and Steckel² at the low temperature end of their measurements. Simultaneous solution of (1) and (2) at the melting point gives ln(α_{s,l}) ≈ ln(R_{solid}) - ln(R_{liq}) = 0.0026 ± 0.0003 in agreement with O'Neil's value of 0.0030 ± 0.0002. We are thus forced to conclude that Matsuo and Matsubaya¹ are some 20 per cent low in their value for ln(R_{solid}) (α_{s,v}). This is probably due to experimental difficulties arising from their extremely small (10 mg) samples.

A more complete report, including theoretical interpretation, will be submitted for publication in the near future. We acknowledge the financial support of the United States Department of Interior, Office of Water Resources Research, and the Petroleum Research Fund, American Chemical Society.

GABOR JANCOS*
JOVAN PUPEZIN†
W. ALEXANDER VAN HOOK

Chemistry Department,
University of Tennessee,
Knoxville, Tennessee 37916.

Received December 23, 1969.

* Permanent address: Central Research Institute for Physics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest.

† Permanent address: Boris Kidrich Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Yugoslavia.

¹ Matsuo, S., and Matsubaya, O., *Nature*, **221**, 463 (1969).

² Szapiro, S., and Steckel, F., *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, **63**, 883 (1967).

³ O'Neil, R., *J. Phys. Chem.*, **72**, 3683 (1968).

⁴ *Handbook of Chem. and Phys.*, 46th ed., D-93 (Chemical Rubber Co., Cleveland, 1966).