## **Chemical Preparation and Electron Spin Resonance Spectra of Specific Trapped** Hydrocarbon Radicals

In recent years there have been many reports of radicals trapped in solid matrices<sup>1</sup>. The radicals have been formed by radiolysis, photolysis, or electrical discharge. We have now succeeded in developing a general method of preparing specific trapped hydrocarbon radicals by simple chemical reaction, using a rotating cryostat previously described by one of us<sup>2</sup>.

The method is to deposit a thin discontinuous film of sodium atoms (far less than a monolayer) on to a freshly prepared moving surface of halogenated hydrocarbon, preferably an iodide, held in a high vacuum at the temperature of liquid nitrogen. The atoms react to form the sodium halide and the hydrocarbon radical. A fresh layer of the halogenated hydrocarbon is then deposited on top of the products of reaction, which thus become embedded and preserved in a matrix. The process is repeated many times to build up an appreciable amount of material. A sample of the deposit is then transferred, still cold and under high vacuum, to an electron spin resonance spectrometer, where the electron spin resonance spectrum of the trapped radical is recorded. A feature of the experimental method is that only a single free radical reaction step  $RX + \operatorname{Na} \cdot \longrightarrow R \cdot + \operatorname{Na} X$ (X = halogen) is allowed to take place.

Table 1 summarizes our results listing the radicals formed, the material of preparation (which was also the matrix in which radicals were embedded), some salient features of the electron spin resonance spectrum and, in some instances, the molar concentration of radicals in the samples transferred to the spectrometer. After being warmed to room temperature, some of the samples were analysed by gas liquid chromatography (G.L.C.), with results which are also given in Table 1. The spectra, supported by the analytical results, demonstrate that the radicals formed from the halides were the expected ones.

The electron spin resonance spectra of the radicals *n*-propyl, *n*-butyl, *s*-butyl, isobutyl, *t*-butyl, *cyclo*-pentyl and *cyclo*hexyl at  $77^{\circ}$  K are in good agreement with those reported by Ayscough and Thomson<sup>3</sup> while that for allyl is similar to the one reported by Smaller and Matheson<sup>4</sup>. In these other investigations the radicals were produced by  $\gamma$ -irradiation of parent molecules, so that the preparation described here, by an entirely different method involving simple chemical reaction, is strong confirmation of the identities claimed. The spectra of both phenyl and benzyl radicals exhibit hyperfine structure. Detailed discussion of these and the other spectra will be published later. We thank Mr. W. N. Sailes for assistance with the

experimental work.

J. E. BENNETT A. THOMAS

'Shell' Research Ltd.. Thornton Research Centre, P.O. Box 1, Chester.

Formation and Trapping of Free Radicals, edit. by Bass, A. M., and Brolda, H. P. (Academic Press, New York, 1960).
Thomas, A., Trans. Farad. Soc., 57, 1679 (1961).
Ayscough, P. B., and Thomson, C., Proc. Chem. Soc., 247 (1961).

<sup>4</sup> Smaller, B., and Matheson, M. S., J. Chem. Phys., 28, 1169 (1958).

## **New Evidence for Free AIH**

RECENT work in this laboratory on the vapour of the compound, AlH<sub>3</sub>·2N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, has density indicated that this material dissociates reversibly in two steps. In Table 1, the pressures at various temperatures are presented for a sample of known weight in a volume of approximately 1 litre. The

Table 1. DISSOCIATION PRESSURES OF AlH<sub>3</sub>·2N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>

(a) $T(^{\circ} abs.)$	(0·147 ) V (1)	7 gm. sample) P (mm. mercury)	T (° abs.	(0.0717) V(1)	3 gm. sample) P (mm. mercury )
$T(\circ abs.)$ 333.02 338.06 342.68 348.07 353.15 358.14 363.04 368.16 373.16 409.0	) V (1) 0.9752 0.9754 0.9756 0.9759 0.9761 0.9763 0.9765 0.9765 0.9766 0.9766	P     (mm. mercury)       21.00     24.69       27.84     33.81       37.701     40.27       43.80     45.03       46.47     5.424	T (° abs. 337·48 343·41 347·13 353·18 353·18 358·26 362·84 367·78 373·44 377·74	) V (1) 0.9777 0.9777 0.9778 0.9778 0.9779 0.9779 0.9780 0.9780 0.9780 0.9780	P (mm. mercury) 17:39 18:71 19:37 20:38 21:08 21:86 22:87 23:19 24:10 22:09
409.0	0.8108	54.34	381.10 389.36 391.22 398.19 402.79	0.9780 0.9781 0.9781 0.9781 0.9781	23.98 25.36 25.44 26.18 26.40

pressures, measured with a mercury manometer, have been corrected to  $0^{\circ}$ .

The molecular weights calculated from the ideal gas law and the data in Table 1 are plotted in Fig. 1. The data for the large (0.1477 gm.) sample (curve a)

	Material of preparation (also matrix)	Table 1       Salient features of E.S.R. spectrum at 77° K						
		No. of lines	Relative intensities - (approx.)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Hyperfine} \\ \text{splitting} \\ \text{oersted} \pm 5\% \end{array}$		Concentration of radicals	Approximate yield of radicals based	Substances detected by G.L.C. in
Radical				Ha	Hb	(% molar)	deposited	products
n-propyl n-butyl	1-iodopropane 1-iodobutane	6 6	1:3:4:4:3:1 1:3:4:4:3:1	$23 \cdot 3 \\ 21 \cdot 9$	$\frac{46 \cdot 6}{43 \cdot 8}$			n-butane n-butene
s-butyl	2-iodobutane	8	1:5:11:15:15:11:	23.7	41.3	-	—	n-octane
isobutyl	1-iodo-2- methylpropane	5	1:2:2:2:1	20.5	<b>40</b> ·0	0.08	10-20%	—
t-butyl	2-iodo-2- methylpropane	10	1:9:36:84:126: 126:84:36:9:1	$22 \cdot 2$	_			
<i>n</i> -heptyl	1-iodoheptane	6	1:3:4:4:3:1	22.0	44.7	0.13	10 - 20%	_
cyclopentyl	cyclopentyl bromide	8†	1:3:5:7:7:5:3:1	24.6	46-1	<u> </u>		cyclopentane + cyclopentene
cyclohexyl	cyclohexyl bromide	6	1:1:2:2:1:1	20.0	40.6	0.11	3040%	cyclohexane + cyclohexene
allyl phenyl	allyl bromide iodo benzene	$\frac{5}{1}$	1:4:6:4:1	14·4 63 (hali	f width)	0.02	1-2%	1,5-hexadiene
benzyl	benzyl chloride	3		16·9 (-0	CH <sub>2</sub>	about 0.36	60–90%	dibenzyl

Outermost lines not observed. At about 110° K the spectrum changes to one of at least twelve lines; when the sample is cooled back to 77° K the spectrum reverts to the original.