

attach valency values to the metals is carried out in all cases with the exception of iron, to which no value is affixed. The reason for this omission is not obvious, as the author does not hesitate to call lead a dyad, antimony a triad, &c. Amongst minor points the use of potassium antimony tartrate for potassium antimonyl tartrate, of arsenic acid for arsenic pentoxide, may be noticed.

The book may go some way to fulfil the author's expectation that it will give the student "some acquaintance with the art of test-tubing," but that it will materially increase his knowledge of the principles of practical chemistry, or sharpen his appreciation of the *raison d'être* of a chemical process, is another matter.

Manual of Pharmaceutical Testing. By Barnard S. Proctor, F.I.C. Pp. vii., 176. (London: *The Chemist and Druggist*, 1890.)

THIS book is a collection of tests suitable for ascertaining the purity of the chemicals of the British Pharmacopœia, &c. The tests described are the simplest possible, and can be carried out with the apparatus and chemicals in use at the dispensing counter. They apply more especially to the impurities of manufacture than to adulterations. In many cases they are simply those recommended by the British Pharmacopœia for determining if the purity of a material falls short of the required standard. As a rule they are qualitative, and sufficiently accurate for the purpose in view, although quantitative methods, more especially in determinations of solubility, or fixed residues of volatile liquids, are employed. The book contains a chapter on manipulation, which includes the method of weighing precipitates, and an index, and will be found a handy volume to the pharmacist.

The Encyclopædia of Photography. By Walter E. Woodbury. (London: Iliffe and Son, 1890.)

THIS work, which will be concluded in about twelve parts, is written on the same lines as other photographic encyclopædias, but treats especially of the sciences of optics and chemistry. The art of photography being so largely practised nowadays, it is curious what a small percentage of those who have taken it up know anything about optics or chemistry, which form the basis of the whole subject.

Throughout the book the author has borne this well in mind, and has spared no pains to place before the reader, in a simple and clear manner, the principles underlying the formation of images, the construction of lenses, chromatic and spherical aberration, the theory of atoms and molecules, and many other very important points relating to optics and chemistry.

The illustrations, which will be about 200 in number, consisting of explanatory sketches and diagrams, will be found, if up to the standard maintained in this first part, to serve their purpose well.

For amateurs this encyclopædia should be very useful, as it is written especially for beginners, and some of the most complicated terms likely to lead to confusion are avoided as much as possible.

Dynamics for Beginners. By the Rev. J. B. Lock, M.A. Third Edition, stereotyped. (London: Macmillan and Co., 1890.)

THE author has fully succeeded in supplying the want that has been long felt, of a book which should explain the elementary principles of dynamics, illustrating them by easy examples in a manner suitable for use in schools with boys of ordinary mathematical attainments.

Section I. deals with rectilinear dynamics, in which the fundamental principles are explained. The words "velo" and "celo," abbreviations for unit velocity and unit acceleration respectively, are here used, and the author

says in the preface, "Of their value for the purposes of teaching and explanation I have received the very strongest testimony from those best qualified to judge."

Sections II. and III. treat of "Direction" and "Illustrations," the former dealing with the parallelograms of distances, velocities, and accelerations, chords of quickest descent, &c., the latter with projectiles, oblique impact, relative motion, hodograph, &c.

Work, energy, power, are discussed in Section IV., and there is a chapter on the indestructibility of matter.

An excellent set of examples is collected at the end, and a series of examination papers is added, taken from the various examinations held from time to time at Oxford and Cambridge.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR.

[*The Editor does not hold himself responsible for opinions expressed by his correspondents. Neither can he undertake to return, or to correspond with the writers of, rejected manuscripts intended for this or any other part of NATURE. No notice is taken of anonymous communications.*]

"The Climates of Past Ages."

I FEEL somewhat disappointed not to see a flood of correspondence in your pages arising out of Dr. Neumayr's very interesting lecture on the climates of past ages. The subject is difficult and complex, and the factors of the problem are no doubt various and of different kinds. I wish to make a few remarks on some of these.

It seems impossible to doubt that the sun is losing heat; and, consequently, that the quantity of heat annually received by the earth from the sun is less than it once was. Now, one of the most remarkable of the facts before us is the evidence, from fossil vegetation, of comparatively warm climates in the polar regions. There is no similar evidence respecting the equatorial regions; but it is probably impossible that such evidence should be preserved, so that its absence proves nothing as to the equatorial climate of the same period; but it is worth noticing that, if we suppose the force of solar radiation increased, the increase of terrestrial temperatures will be greater in high than in low latitudes, because, with the increased quantity of heat received into the atmosphere, an increased quantity will become latent by evaporation in the lower latitudes, and will be carried to the higher latitudes by vapour-bearing winds.

If our planet had neither atmosphere nor ocean, the temperature of the higher latitudes could be raised only as a direct result of increased solar radiation. If it had an atmosphere but no ocean, the increase of the temperature of the higher latitudes would be assisted by heat-bearing winds; and this would be at the expense of the temperature of the lower latitudes, which would be lowered by the heat so carried away. In the actual case of our earth, with both atmosphere and ocean, this action will be greatly increased by the power of vapour-bearing winds to carry heat in the latent form, which again becomes sensible heat on the condensation of the vapour. This appears to show that a considerable increase of temperature might be produced in the higher latitudes by a comparatively small increase in the force of solar radiation.

Dr. Neumayr says that the cause of the glacial climate is quite unknown; and at the same time he asserts that both hemispheres—the northern and the southern—were glaciated at the same time. I dispute both of these opinions. I think Mr. Croll has shown the direction in which the explanation of the glacial climate is to be sought; and if this is so, the two hemispheres were not glaciated at the same time, but alternately.

If, during a glacial period, the northern and the southern hemispheres were each alternately glaciated for geologically short periods, this would account for the fact mentioned by Dr. Neumayr, that the glacial period appears not to have been continuous, but interrupted by periods of milder climate. Croll's theory accounts for this. His theory is, that glacial periods occur at those astronomical epochs when the eccentricity of the earth's orbit is at its greatest; and a glacial climate is produced in the two hemispheres alternately, according as the