done already by Messrs. Cunningham and Weldon under the most unfavourable conditions that it cannot but be anticipated that when a number of investigators are working under favourable conditions on different groups, but with a common object in view, results of the greatest scientific and practical importance will accrue.

The ceremony on Saturday will be interesting and important. Many of the leading biologists in England will be present, but unfortunately the eminent President of the Association, Prof. Huxley, will be absent on account of ill-health, and so, unfortunately, will Prof. Moseley, one of its most ardent and generous supporters. The Fishmongers' Company have added to their munificent patronage of the institution by undertaking the entertainment of the numerous guests who have been invited to the ceremony; and the Association will be launched on its career of usefulness in a manner worthy of its aspirations, and satisfactory in the highest degree to its energetic promoters. G. C. B.

PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION.¹ IL

PERSONAL characteristics exist in much more minute particulars than those described in the last article. Leaving aside microscopic peculiarities which are of unknown multitudes, such as might be studied in the 800,000,000 specimens cut by a microtome, say of one two-thousandth part of an inch in thickness, and one tenth of an inch each way in area, out of the 4000 cubic inches or so of the flesh, fat, and bone of a single average human body, there are many that are visible with or without the aid of a lens.

The markings in the iris of the eye are of the above kind; they have been never adequately studied except by the makers of artificial eyes, who recognize thousands of varieties of them. These markings well deserve being photographed from life on an enlarged scale. I shall not dwell now upon these, nor on such peculiarities as those of hand-writing, nor on the bifurcations and interlacements of the superficial veins, nor on the shape and convolutions of the ear. These all admit of brief approximate description by the method explained in the last article-namely, by reference to the number in a standard collection of the specimen that shall not differ from it by more than a specified number of units of unlikeness. I fully explained what a unit of unlikeness was, and certain mechanical means by which a given set of measures could be compared with great ease and by a single movement with every set simultaneously, in a large standard collection of sets of measures. Perhaps the most beautiful and characteristic of all

superficial marks are the small furrows with the intervening ridges and their pores that are disposed in a singularly complex yet even order on the under surfaces of the hands and the feet. I do not now speak of the large wrinkles in which chiromantists delight, and which may be compared to the creases in an old coat or to the deep folds in the hide of a rhinoceros, but of the fine lines of which the buttered fingers of children are apt to stamp impressions on the margins of the books they handle, that leave little to be desired on the score of distinctness. These lines are found to take their origin from various centres, one of which lies in the under surface of each finger-tip. They proceed from their several centres in spirals and whorls, and distribute themselves in beautiful patterns over the whole palmar surface. A corresponding system covers the soles of the feet. The same lines appear with little modification in the hands and feet of monkeys. They appear to have been

¹ The substance of a Lecture given by Francis Galton, F.R.S., at the Royal Institution on Friday evening, May 25, 1888. Continued from p. 177.

carefully studied for the first time by Purkinje in 1822; since then they have attracted the notice of many writers and physiologists, the fullest and latest of whom is Kollman, who has published a pamphlet upon them, "Tastapparat der Hand" (Leipzig, 1883), in which their physiological significance is fully discussed. Into that part of the subject I am not going to enter here. It has occurred independently to many persons to propose finger-marks as a means of identification. In the last century, Bewick in one of the vignettes in the "History of Birds" gave a woodcut of his own thumbmark, which is the first clear impression that I know of. Some of the latest specimens that I have seen are by Mr. Gilbert Thomson, an officer of the American Geological Survey, who, being in Arizona, and having to make his orders for payment on a camp suttler, hit upon the expedient of using his own thumb-mark to serve the same purpose as the elaborate scroll engraved on blank cheques - namely, to make the alteration of figures written on it, impossible without detection. I possess copies of two of his cheques. A San Francisco photographer, Mr. Tabor, made enlarged photographs of the finger-marks of Chinese, and his proposal seems to have been seriously considered as a means of identifying Chinese immigrants. I may say that I can obtain no verification of a common statement that the method is in actual use in the prisons of The thumb-mark has been used there as else-China. where in attestation of deeds, much as a man might make an impression with a common seal, not his own, and say, "This is my act and deed"; but I cannot hear of any elaborate system of finger-marks having ever been employed in China for the identification of prisoners. It was, however, largely used in India, by Sir William Herschel, twenty-eight years ago, when he was an officer of the Bengal Civil Service. He found it to be most successful in preventing personation, and in putting an end to disputes about the authenticity of deeds. He described his method fully in NATURE, in 1880 (vol. xxiii. p. 76), which should be referred to by the reader ; also a paper by Mr. Faulds in the next volume. I may also refer to articles in the American journal *Science*, 1886 (vol. viii. pp. 166 and 212).

The question arises whether these finger-marks remain unaltered throughout the life of the same person. In reply to this, I am enabled to submit a most interesting piece of evidence, which thus far is unique, through the kindness of Sir Wm. Herschel. It consists of the imprints of the two first fingers of his own hand, made in 1860 and in 1888 respectively; that is, at periods separated by an interval of twenty-eight years. I have also two intermediate imprints, made by him in 1874 and in 1883 respectively. The imprints of 1860 and 1888 have now been photographed on an enlarged scale, direct upon the engraver's block, whence Figs. 9 and 11 are cut; these woodcuts may therefore be relied on as very correct representations. Fig. 10 contains the portion of Fig. 9 to which I am about to draw attention. On first examining these and other finger-marks, the eye wanders and becomes confused, not knowing where to fix itself ; the points shown in Fig. 10 are those it should select. They are those at which each new furrow makes its first appearance. The furrows may originate in two principal ways, which are not always clearly distinguishable : (1) the new furrow may arise in the middle of a ridge; (2) a single furrow may bifurcate and form a letter Y. The distinction between (1) and (2) is not greatly to be trusted, because one of the sides of the ridge in case (1) may become worn, or be narrow and low, and not always leave an imprint, thus converting it into case (2); conversely case (2) may be changed into The position of the origin of the new furrow is, (1). however, none the less defined. I have noted the furrow-heads and bifurcations of furrows in Fig. 9, and shown them separately in Fig. 10. The reader will be able

to identify these positions with the aid of a pair of compasses, and he will find that they persist unchanged in Fig. 11, though there is occasional uncertainty between cases (1) and (2). Also there is a little confusion in the middle of the small triangular space that separates two distinct systems of furrows, much as eddies separate the stream



FIG. 9.—Enlarged impressions of the fore and middle finger tips of the right hand of Sir William Herschel, made in the year 1860.



FIG. 10 .- Positions of furrow-heads and bifurcations of furrows, in Fig. 9.



FIG 11.—Enlarged impressions of the fore and middle finger tips of the right hand of Sir William Herschel, made in the year 1888.

lines of adjacent currents converging from opposite directions. A careful comparison of Figs. 9 and 11 is a most instructive study of the effects of age. There is an obvious amount of wearing and of coarseness in the latter, but the main features in both are the same. I happen to possess a very convenient little apparatus for

recording the positions of furrow-heads. It is a slight and small, but well-made wooden pentagraph, multiplying fivefold, in which a very low-power microscope, with coarse cross-wires, forms the axis of the short limb, and a pencilholder forms the axis of the long limb. I contrived it for quite another use-namely, the measurement of the length of wings of moths in some rather extensive experiments that are now being made for me in pedigree mothbreeding. It has proved very serviceable in this inquiry also, and was much used in measuring the profiles spoken of in the last article. Without some moderate magnifying power, the finger-marks cannot be properly studied. It is a convenient plan, in default of better methods, to prick holes with a needle through the furrow-heads into a separate piece of paper, where they can be studied without risk of confusing the eye. There are peculiarities often found in furrows that do not appear in these particular specimens, to which I will not further refer. In Fig. 10 the form of the origin of the spirals is just indicated. These forms are various; they may be in single or in multiple lines, and the earlier turns may form long loops or be nearly circular. My own ten fingers show at least four distinct varieties.

Notwithstanding the experience of others to the contrary, I find it not easy to make clear and perfect impressions of the fingers. The proper plan seems to be impressions of the fingers. to cover a flat surface, like that of a piece of glass or zinc, with a thin and even coat of paint, whether it be printers' ink or Indian ink rubbed into a thick paste, and to press the finger lightly upon it so that the ridges only shall become inked, then the inked fingers are pressed on smooth and slightly damped paper. If a plate of glass be smoked over a paraffin lamp, a beautiful negative impression may be made on it by the finger, which will show well as a lantern transparency. The blackened finger may afterwards be made to leave a positive impression on a piece of paper, that requires to be varnished if it is to be rendered permanent. All this is rather dirty work, but people do not seem to object to it; rivalry and the hope of making continually better impressions carries them on. It is troublesome to make plaster casts; modelling-clay has been proposed; hard wax, such as dentists use, acts fairly well; sealing-wax is excellent if the heat can be tolerated; I have some good impressions in it. For the mere study of the marks, no plan is better than that of rubbing a little thick paste of chalk ("prepared chalk") and water or sized water upon the finger. The chalk lies in the furrows and defines them. They could then be excellently photographed on an enlarged scale. My own photographic apparatus is not at hand, or I should have experimented in this. When notes of the furrow-heads and of the initial shape of the spiral have been made, the measurements would admit of comparison with those in catalogued sets, by means of a numerical arrangement, or even by the mechanical selector described in the last article. If a cleanly and simple way could be discovered of taking durable impressions of the finger tips, there would be little doubt of its being serviceable in more than one way.

In concluding my remarks, I should say that one of the inducements to making these inquiries into personal identification has been to discover independent features suitable for hereditary investigation. It has long been my hope, though utterly without direct experimental corroboration thus far, that if a considerable number of variable and independent features could be catalogued, it might be possible to trace kinship with considerable certainty. It does not at all follow because a man inherits his main features from some one ancestor, that he may not also inherit a large number of minor and commonly overlooked features from many ancestors. Therefore it is not improbable, and worth taking pains to inquire whether each person may not carry visibly about his body undeniable evidence of his parentage and near kinships.