wishes to substitute for Latin one of the sciences enumerated in Group II., it should be allowed a maximum of 3000 marks.

2 Powis Square, W. HENRY PALIN GURNEY.

"British and Irish Salmonidæ."

As your reviewer allows that he "intentionally omitted" five words from a sentence of mine which he quoted in order to criticise, I may well leave comments on such a proceeding to your readers. I willingly acquit him of having purposely made me to suggest utter nonsense, as I cannot help thinking that his knowledge of fish-culture was such that he was unaware he was doing so.

As to the second point he says, "I doubted and still doubt if there is any method practised in which layers of moss are used and are separated from the eggs by muslin and similar material." As he rejects the Howietoun account which I gave, I now submit extracts from two standard works, one American, the other English, which will, I believe, be conclusive to those who are ignorant of fish-culture, for every fish-culturist is aware that this plan is commonly adopted. Living-stone-Stone ("Domesticated Trout," ed. 3, 1877) remarked:—"Theodore Lyman recommends placing each layer of eggs in a fold of mosquito netting to keep them from mixing with the moss and so facilitate the unpacking of them. *This is a great improvement. By all means use mosquito netting*" (p. 149). Mr. Andrews, of Guildford, wrote thus in the Badminton Series ("Salmon and Trout," 1885):—"The plan of packing does not vary much with trout breeders. The eggs are placed in alternate layers between moss, and protected by a covering of mosquito netting, muslin, swans' down, calico, or butter cloth, so arranged that the eggs shall not be crushed or escape" (p. 447).

As regards the third point, your reviewer now appears to be convinced that *Salmo namaycush* is a char, as I stated it to be. It must be a matter of regret that he omitted to investigate the foregoing questions prior to authoritatively writing upon them in such a well-known publication as NATURE.

Cheltenham, February 4. FRANCIS DAY.

IN his last letter Mr. Day has certainly proved the correctness of the statement in his book that salmonoid eggs are packed with layers of moss from which they are separated by muslin or other textile fabric. If I had known as much about salmon-culture as he, I certainly should not have questioned the statement; it is to be noted that I only questioned and did not deny. If I had been as completely versed in the knowledge of Salmonidæ as Mr. Day, I should have written a book on the subject instead of reviewing his. But the essential point, which Mr. Day seems incapable of appreciating, is this: that there was nothing in the notes on the subject of packing in his book which confirmed the statement in the text; and although my doubts as to the correctness of that statement are removed by his letter, they were perfectly justifiable in a reader of his book. Mr. Day does not apparently suspect that people interested in the subject, including the reviewer, read his book for the sake of gaining information, and not because they already know as much about the subject as himself. All I had to do was to give my impressions of the book as I found it: the fitness of my criticisms is only the more established by the lengthening appendix to his book which Mr. Day is now publishing in your correspondence columns. YOUR REVIEWER.

MODERN VIEWS OF ELECTRICITY.[1]

PART III. MAGNETISM—(*continued.*)

VIII.

IT will now be perceived that a fly-wheel in rotation is the mechanical analogue of magnetism, or more definitely of a section of a line (or tube) of magnetic force; and that a brake applied to such a fly-wheel, with consequent slip, dissipation of energy, and production of heat, is in some sort a mechanical analogue of an electric current.

The field is regarded as full of geared elastic vortices or whirls, some of which are cogged together, so to speak, while others are merely pressed together by smooth rims.

[1] Continued from p. 348.

It is among these latter that slip is possible, and in the regions occupied by them that currents exist; the energy dissipated here being transmitted through the non-slippery or dielectric regions from the source of power, just as energy is transmitted from a steam-engine through mill-work or shafting to the various places where it is dissipated by friction.

Mechanical Force acting on a Conductor conveying a Current.

In Fig. 41 the conducting portion is shown with opposite rotations on either side of it. Now superpose a uniform rotation all in one direction upon this, so as to increase the spin on one side and diminish it on the other. Immediately the extra centrifugal force on one side will urge any movable part of the conductor from the stronger to the weaker portion of the field.

The field for a direct and return circuit may be similarly drawn by superposition of their separate whirls (see Fig. 40); and so it becomes evident why a circuit tends to expand so as to inclose the largest possible area, even if no other magnetic field than its own be acting on it.

Also if two circuits are arranged near each other in a plane, with their currents in opposite directions, they will more or less neutralize each other's effect on the space between them, causing (if equal) a region of no spin there. Their neighbouring portions will thus get urged together by the unbalanced pressure on the other side: or, currents in the same direction attract.



FIG. 44.—Two parallel conductors conveying equal currents in one direction and getting pushed together by the centrifugal force of the outside whirls, no whirl existing between them. The length of the arrows again suggests the distribution of magnetism in the conductors. Fig. 40 showed the correlative repulsion of opposite currents.

As for the effect of iron introduced into a circuit, it brings into the region of space it occupies some two or three hundred times as many lines of whirl as were there before, and these naturally contribute mightily to the effects, both those exhibiting mechanical force and those exhibiting inertia.

When one says, as roughly one may do, that iron brings 300 fresh lines into the field, one means that for every whirl otherwise excited, 300 more are faced round in the iron. And this process goes on while the field is increasing in strength until the total number of whirls in the iron begins to be called upon; when this point is reached the rate of addition is not maintained, and the iron is said to show signs of saturation. Ultimately, if ever all its whirls were faced round, the iron would be quite saturated; but long before this point is reached another cause is likely to make itself felt, viz. the falling off in the strength of the whirls already faced round, by the action of the strong magnetic induction, which is all the time acting so as to weaken the iron currents so far as it is able. And thus at a certain point hitherto unreached by experiment the iron may not only fail to increase the strength of the field any more, but may actually begin to diminish it.