

that anyone should, in a text-book for students, "discard the ophthalmic somite of their seniors, and press the telson into the service," a procedure on which he comments thus:—"The introduction of so sweeping a change into a book for juniors, without due comment is, under these circumstances, a false step, especially when it is considered that the precise converse is stated in all other books current."

Now Claus in his text-book says (I quote from the English edition):—"The faceted eyes are borne on two movably separated stalks. These were for a long time considered as the anterior pair of appendages, while in fact they are merely lateral portions of the head which have become jointed"; and elsewhere: "The last abdominal segment, which is transformed into a telson."

Gegenbauer in his text-book says:—"The projecting character of the eye, owing to its curvature, may lead to a stage in which the eye is stalked. When still more developed, this stalk may become movable"; and nowhere speaks of the stalk as the homologue of an appendage.

Prof. Lankester's pupils are all taught to regard the telson as a somite and the "ophthalmic somite" as an erroneous interpretation of parts.

I fail to see, therefore, that Prof. Marshall need offer any excuse for his method of counting the segments, nor, in an elementary text-book, discuss a question on both sides of which there is avowedly much to be said.

I may note with regard to one other criticism that, although there is nothing "irrelevant or absolutely fantastic" about the term commissure, it is convenient to distinguish between "commissures connecting two ganglia of the same pair" and "connectives connecting ganglia of dissimilar pairs" ("Encycl. Brit.," ed. ix. Art. "Mollusca"). The "word-mongers" are here marking "a turning-point in advance."

Madras, April 20.

A. G. BOURNE.

"On the Establishment of the Roman Dominion in South-East Britain."

IN my article on the above subject printed in NATURE, vol. xxxv. p. 562, I have briefly alluded to the ridiculous mutiny of the Roman soldiers. I ought to have added (from Dio) the relation of the following incident, which terminated the mutiny:—

"Taking courage, because a brilliant meteor rising in the east passed across to the west, to the part to which they were making their course, they descended on the island."

That is, the Romans descended from an easterly part of Europe upon Britain.

This agrees with the course which in my former letter I assigned as most probable; namely, that the Romans sailed from the mouth of the Scheldt to Southend.

G. B. AIRY.

The White House, Greenwich, May 18.

FLORA OF CHRISTMAS ISLAND.

THE Hydrographer of the Admiralty has kindly forwarded to Kew, as he has stated in his note in NATURE for May 5, p. 12, the botanical specimens collected during the visit of H.M.S. *Flying-Fish* to Christmas Island. They were unfortunately, as explained by Capt. Maclear, a mere residue of the collection which was obtained. The examination of a better preserved and more extensive one would be interesting, as the flora is evidently of a less common-place kind than that generally met with in coral islands.

In all, twenty-four species admitted of approximate determination. Of these five were ferns, all widely-spread species. Of the remaining nineteen flowering plants five are also probably identical with widely-distributed species, and they occur in the Cocos or Keeling Islands between which and Java Christmas Island lies. The much more limited flora of these islands is only known from the collections of the late Mr. Darwin, and of Mr. H. O. Forbes. Of the remaining fourteen species at least six must be set aside, the specimens being too imperfect to be more than approximately determinable. Of the rest, two, a *Vitis* near *V. pedata*, Vahl, and an *Ehretia*, may, in Prof. Oliver's opinion, possibly be new; the teak

(*Tectona grandis*, L. f.) occurs generally in the Malayan Archipelago; *Euphorbia Chamissonis* is interesting as a Polynesian type; fruits of *Barringtonia* are thrown up universally on shores in the Malayan waters; *Terminalia Catappa*, L., is found pretty well everywhere in the tropics; the remaining two suggest no special remark.

The collection unfortunately throws little light on the composition of the dense arborescent vegetation with which Capt. Maclear found it to be covered. Teak probably forms large trees. *Cordia subcordata*, Lam., which occurs also in the Cocos-Keeling Islands, and, according to Mr. H. O. Forbes, originally covered them abundantly,¹ is known there as "iron-wood," and is no doubt one of the iron-wood trees recognized by Capt. Maclear in Christmas Island. It is widely distributed throughout the Malayan Archipelago, and extends to the Philippines and some of the Pacific islands.²

On the whole, it can hardly be doubted that Christmas Island has been stocked with its flora by the agencies described by Dr. Guppy, and worked out by Mr. W. B. Hemsley in the "Botany Report of the Voyage of H.M.S. *Challenger*" (vol. i. part 3, p. 310): "Winds and currents drift to their shores the fruits and seeds of the littoral trees which ultimately form a belt, whilst the fruit-pigeons disgorge the seeds or fruits of those often colossal trees which occupy the interior."

The former agencies brought no doubt *Barringtonia*, *Hibiscus tiliaceus*, *Terminalia*, *Cordia subcordata*, *Ochrosia purviflora*, and *Pandanus*. Carnivorous birds are elsewhere known to bring a profusion of fruits of palms, nutmegs, *Euphorbiaceæ* and *Laurineæ*, and other arborescent species. Upon this element in the flora of Christmas Island the collection, as already remarked, throws insufficient light. The flora of Java is still but imperfectly known, and though there is no reason to believe that that of Christmas Island contains any absolutely endemic species, it would not be surprising if a careful examination yielded many plants new to science which have yet to be ascertained from the larger contiguous island, from which they have been derived.

W. T. THISELTON DYER.

THE JOURNAL OF THE ROYAL MICROSCOPICAL SOCIETY—RETROSPECTIVE AND PROSPECTIVE.

THE month of March 1878 will ever remain memorable in the annals of microscopy in this country, for it marked the regeneration of the Journal of the Royal Microscopical Society, the most conspicuous feature of which was the introduction, for the first time, of a systematic record of current researches under the title of "Notes and Memoranda." Now that the period of editorship which worked the change is fast approaching its decade, we would wish to review the position, in anticipation of the introduction of still further modifications which, it is to be assumed, the editors will adopt on entering upon a second period.

We read in the preface to the first volume that the "Notes and Memoranda" are intended to present a summary of what is doing throughout the world in all branches of microscopical research. Whilst extracts from English publications will not be excluded, preference will be given to those of foreign countries, as being less easily accessible. Amongst these will be included the Transactions and Proceedings of the Academies of the United

¹ "Naturalist's Wanderings in the Eastern Archipelago," pp. 28, 29.

² Mr. H. O. Forbes (*loc. cit.* pp. 26, 27) gives a curious account of the way in which the labours of a crab turn the white calcareous fore-shore of coral islands into "a dark vegetable mould." They do this by burying systematically particles of vegetable *débris*; by scooping away the soil beneath them they lower down even large branches of trees. The ground thus enriched is fitted for occupation by plants; and as Mr. Forbes particularly noticed that they carry "down also the newly-fallen seeds of the iron-wood" these industrious factors in the economy of a bare coral island not merely prepare the soil but also plant it.

States, France, Belgium, Germany, Austria, Italy, and Russia, together with the microscopical, botanical, and zoological journals of those countries. It will be obvious to anyone who will compare the last few numbers of the Journal with the first volume, from which we have just quoted, that the former are no less superior to it in general excellence than it was to its immediate predecessors. The editors have elaborated their scheme with the growth of the Journal, and have, in their desire to satisfy the public, gone beyond the prescribed limits, and incorporated abstracts of all the more important papers in certain branches of the science, whether microscopical or not.

In no period of the history of biological science has advance been so rapid as within the last decade, and it is no exaggeration to say that the Journal before us is a faithful historical record of the work done during that period, in those branches with which it professes to deal. To him who would labour in earnest at a given subject the original monographs are indispensable; but even the narrowest of specialists must obtain some knowledge of the advance made in cognate branches of his science, and a ready means of acquiring this, as it applies to microscopy, has been provided by the Journal named during the period of which we write.

It might naturally be supposed that the increase in native workers, whose labours have so far extended the literature of the science and consequently swelled the pages of the Journal in which that literature has been abstracted, must have resulted in a corresponding increase in the circulation of the Journal itself. This, we are informed, has not been the case. In reflecting upon this fact we must remember that during the past decade many changes have been wrought in the literature of biological science. *Anzeigers* and *Records* have been established and augmented. But withal the "Notes and Memoranda" of the Society's Journal have made a place for themselves in the library of the working biologist; the abstracts are up to date, and frequently fairly detailed, and they are invaluable to workers who, though not actual specialists, are so placed as to be beyond reach of a good reference library.

The Journal is primarily a microscopical one, and such it must continue to be under the Charter of the Society whose organ it is. Supplemental matters are added by courtesy; but we believe the editors would do well to restrict themselves to purely microscopical matters. In these days of profuse literature showered upon us from all parts of the globe, it is highly desirable that the aims and scope of all journals should be clearly defined and adhered to, if only by way of enabling the worker to know approximately where to turn in search of information upon a given subject. Much has been done of late in this direction by other Societies, and we submit the suggestion to the executive of the one whose Journal we are considering, in full assurance that in restricting their labours as indicated they will be still further contributing to the utility and success of their venture. We would also suggest that pains might occasionally be taken to set forth more fully than hitherto the precise vantage gained by authors quoted, to the exclusion of purely historical *résumés* and details of minor importance. The vital points of a paper are occasionally sacrificed to the reproducing of descriptions of insignificant structural details; and attention to this point would, we believe, enhance the value of the abstracts without in any way lengthening them. Further, work in the native tongue has not always received that attention which it merits.

The editorship of the Journal could not be in better hands than at present. Officers of the Society and all engaged have laboured indefatigably, and they deserve unstinted praise in the execution of their somewhat thankless task. Under the present editorship the Journal has attained a definite and responsible position, beyond that which it occupies as the organ of a chartered Society;

its pages are quoted as authoritative records, and we would fain see it more widely disseminated than at present. It is pre-eminently a microscopical journal for workers; it stands unique in its combined features, and is second to none extant in its dealing with the *technique* and optics of the subject. If it is deemed worthy of the formulæ of Abbé, and of original articles by the President of the Royal Society, it is deserving of maintenance at the hands of English-speaking people.

BRIDGING THE FIRTH OF FORTH.¹

DURING the past four years many thousands of visitors from all parts of the United Kingdom, and, indeed, I may say from all parts of the world, have more or less carefully inspected the works now in progress under the superintendence of Sir John Fowler, the engineer-in-chief, and myself, for bridging the Firth of Forth. All classes of visitors, whether possessed of technical knowledge or not, have found at least something to interest them amongst the multifarious operations incidental to carrying out so gigantic an undertaking; and I should have little fear of interesting my present audience if I could change the scene from Albemarle Street to the shores of the Forth. That is impossible, so I must rest content with an imperfect attempt to convey to you, by description and illustration, some notion of the magnitude of the proportions and difficulties of construction of what is generally admitted to be one of the most important engineering works yet undertaken. A "personally conducted" tour over the work would be far more congenial to me than giving a lecture, and infinitely more effective. Photographs, and even the highest efforts of pictorial art, are a poor substitute for the reality. The smallest street accident witnessed by ourselves affects us more than a description or picture of the greatest battle, and for similar reasons I well know that when I speak of men working with precarious foothold at dizzy heights in stormy weather my words will sound very different in this room to what they would were my listeners standing beside me in an open cage hanging by a single wire rope, in appearance like a packthread, and swinging more or less in the wind at a height of between three and four hundred feet above the ground; or were they following me up a ladder as high as the golden cross on the top of St. Paul's Cathedral, with the additional excitement of the rungs of the ladder being festooned with icicles a foot long. You will lose a great deal in vividness of impression necessarily by the substitution of a lecture for a personal visit to the works, but there are some compensating advantages, as you will be saved between eight and nine hundred miles of railway travelling, and a good deal of clambering of the kind shadowed forth.

I should not have thought it necessary to preface my remarks by the statement that the Forth Bridge has nothing to do with the Tay Bridge, had not my four years' experience informed me that about one-half of my fellow-countrymen labour under that singular hallucination. Even at this date I fully expect every second Britisher (of course Americans and foreigners are better informed) to say: "How are you getting on with the Tay Bridge?" I suggest "Forth Bridge," and the correction is generally accepted as a mere refinement of accuracy on my part. As a matter of fact, however, the Tay Bridge which was blown down in 1879, and has since been rebuilt, is at Dundee, whilst the Forth Bridge is near Edinburgh; and as regards type of construction there is nothing in common between the two. If my lecture serves no better purpose, it will at least help, therefore, to disseminate a little useful geographical knowledge respecting the Firths of Forth and Tay.

¹ Lecture delivered at the Royal Institution, on Friday, May 20, by B. Baker, M. Inst. C.E.