I described it as a "dog" violet simply because it bore leaves and flowers on the same stem, which in my simplicity I supposed was enough to settle its species. But though the subdivisions of V. canina be new to me, a word or two of remark and description may elucidate the required point to other eyes. I would add that the specimen, such as it is, is very much at Mr. Babbington's service should he care to see it. It is still recognisable, no doubt, though it suffered considerably from having no better protection for some hours than a fly-book

In the first place it was not growing in a moist situation or one to account for luxuriance. Though near the river, it was many feet above the water, and was on the further side of a small high road. In this position it had, as I before mentioned, attained a height of two feet and a half, and the flower which first attracted my eye was almost on a level with my waist. The plant had climbed through the hedge like a vetch or a fumitory. On comparing it with the most robust specimens of V. canina which I can find this spring, the following points of resemblance and of divergence present themselves. The stem of mine is channelled in the ordinary way, and the leaves tolerably like in shape though rather more pointed. On the other hand, the leaf-stalks and peduncles are in mine much shorter, the upper leaves being almost scnile. The position of the bracts is similar, but instead of the conspicuous stipules of V. canina, mine has those parts so small as almost to escape notice. Again, while the stem of *V. canina* does not in my experience branch, the stem of mine has, in two places, thrown off a small branch bearing leaves and flowers. Also there was not, as far as I remember, any trace of any shoot from the root except the one stem, while *V. canina*, as ordinarily found, sends up a greater and a lesser flowering stem and a bunch of leaves besides.

I hope that these particulars will shed more light on the subject than I can myself. J. G.

St. Asaph, May 10

JOHN STUART MILL

BORN MAY 20, 1806; DIED MAY 8, 1873

THOUGH it has not been the custom among specialists to regard Mr. John Stuart Mill as a scientific man, yet we venture to say that he has not left behind him in this country any man who has done more for the general advancement of science. Before Mr. Mill's time men found their way to great discoveries, and succeeded in proving to each other that what they had discovered was scientific truth. But they could tell each other very little about the method of scientific investigation. Indeed Whately, the then greatest authority in logic, pronounced a theory of induction impossible. Mr. Mill, however, did formulate the canons of induction, and in so doing he lit a lamp which will for ever burn a steady guiding light in the path of the scientific inquirer. And the value of this light need be regarded as none the less even if we consider that its chief service lies in guiding us past the snares and pit-falls of error, and the entrances to those mazes and endless labyrinths of unreality in which so many powerful intellects have toiled and spent their strength for nought; nay, worse than in vain, for their brilliant struggles have fascinated thousands and drawn them from the sober highway of truth, which alone is the road to usefulness-to happiness. The vast and still road to usefulness-to happiness. The vast and still growing influence that Mr. Mill has exerted in this direction is fully recognised by those who regret it most, because they believe that Truth may be reached by other and nobler paths. We are content to note the fact that among the great men of our day no one has done so much as he, to widen the domain of science and to subdue to its methods all subjects of human inte-Choosing for the field of his more serious rest. labours several of the most difficult subjects of research, those that had most eluded the grasp of the understanding, he has enriched the world with works that will long remain monuments of science. His "Logic" is our text-book of the science of evidence. His "Political Economy" is our text-book of the science of wealth. And if

sentative Government." One feature of Mr. Mill's character deserves special notice in this connection. He had the true scientific temper, a disinterested love of truth, in a degree not to be surpassed. If it could be shown that in any particular his teaching was unsound, and none were ever able to do this so well as his own disciples, the men whom he had trained to think, no one was more glad that error had been detected than was Mr. Mill himself. It will be enough to remind our readers of one notable example of this. When Mr. Thornton showed that the universally accepted doctrine of the wage-fund was a huge fallacy, Mr. Mill came forward with alacrity to acknowledge that he in common with all other political economists had fallen into a grave error, and that Mr. Thornton had made a most valuable contribution to economic science. If all scientific men could as completely subordinate their personal vanity to the pursuit of truth, progress would be more rapid than at present. The daily papers have already made the reader familiar with the many sided richness and beauty of Mr. Mill's character. He was an object of loving admiration to all who had the happiness to enjoy his personal acquaintance. The world, while it mourns his loss, does not, cannot know how great and how good a man has been taken away ; and still less does it know how ill it can afford to lose such a man.

MINERS' RULES IN THE SEVENTEENTH CENTURY

ON looking over a packet of old papers I have found U some documents, of which I enclose copies, written by a German miner, named Brandshagen, who was employed by my ancestor, Sir Philip Egerton, to superintend the attempt to work copper in the New Red Sandstone strata of Cheshire in the year 1697. As the rules for miners of that age afford so strong a contrast to the unruly behaviour of that class at the present day, they may perhaps interest some of the readers of NATURE. P. DE M. GREY-EGERTON

Worthy & most honourable Sir,-

Your worship give most humbly thanks for employment meself and my countrymen about your Worship mines, which I have enjoyed now above 4 weekes, & not to be att all further unacquainted unto your Worship, I could not forbeare to give a true & plain account of what I have observed in this time about these mines, as good as my smal understanding in ye English linguage would permit, & if it was in any way acceptable then my wishes & desires where fullfilled. I have this time also endeavored to blow up ye rocks by guns powder, as the best way to kill them, butt in ye first time I found ye elements as aire & water where against my designe, ye last I have conquered, & I hope I shall doe so ye other next time when I have occasion for it. I found also some other smal things which would not so soon agree with my hands, for there are many years pist, that I did work under ground with my owne hands, butt all these things are now disceased, onely that I was lately too covetous & would have more rocks blown up then my powder was able to; what other blasts for effect have done, your Worship can be informed of it by Mr. Smith. I shall endeavour all what is in my power to serve your Worship with that understanding I have about mines to which I have employed meself now above 15 year, in spending a great deal of money as well for learning as travelling in many places in Europe where good mines where, to come to any perfection in this art. I have received now my things for examination of ye oare, which I will doe as soon as possibly I can come to it in this desolate place, where nothing in y^e world is to be had for any commodi-ties what soever it may be, & whilst we are strangers here, & must buy all things for ready, it is impossible to there is a scientific work on politics it is Mr. Mill's "Repre- life of what your Worship has allowed unto us & there-