

for his future lectures. Thus the specimens may be said to *live* in his pages, with all their bright motley of colour and their extraordinary odours—only *flattened* a little by the supreme necessities of the case.

Mingled with the paradoxes, and generally more or less directly suggested by them, we have many valuable pieces of information—as, for instance, about the calendar (pp. 219, &c.), the names of the “beast” (p. 403), the “Macclesfield Letters” (p. 448), &c.—and we have anecdotes, verses more or less confessedly doggerel, and paradoxes full-blown, from the author’s own pen.

One extract must suffice, though there are hundreds equally good, for which we must refer the reader to this most thoroughly enjoyable book itself. Our choice is determined by the present aspect of the education question: and conveys a much-needed lesson to all who are capable of comprehending.

“It was somewhat more than twenty years after I had thus heard a Cambridge tutor show sense of the true place of Horner’s method, that a pupil of mine who had passed on to Cambridge was desired by his College tutor to solve a certain cubic equation—one of an integer root of two figures. In a minute the work and answer were presented by Horner’s method. ‘How!’ said the tutor, ‘this can’t be, you know.’ ‘There is the answer, sir,’ said my pupil, greatly amused, for my pupils learnt not only Horner’s method, but the estimation it held at Cambridge. ‘Yes,’ said the tutor, ‘there is the answer, certainly; but it *stands to reason* that a cubic equation cannot be solved in this space.’ He then sat down, went through a process about ten times as long, and then said with triumph, ‘There! that is the way to solve a cubic equation!’ I think the tutor in this case was never matched, except by the country organist. A master of the instrument went into the organ-loft during service, and asked the organist to let him *play the congregation out*; consent was given. The stranger, when the time came, began a voluntary, which made the people open their ears, and wonder who had got into the loft; they kept their places to enjoy the treat. When the organist saw this, he pushed the interloper off the stool, with ‘You’ll never play ’em out this side Christmas.’ He then began his own drone, and the congregation began to move quietly away. ‘There!’ said he, ‘that’s the way to play ’em out!’”

#### BURMEISTER’S ANNALS OF THE PUBLIC MUSEUM OF BUENOS AYRES

*Anales del Museo Publico de Buenos Ayres, para dar a conocer los objetos de Historia Natural nuevos o poco conocidos conservados en este establecimiento.* Por German Burmeister, M.D. Vol. ii., parts 1–4. (Buenos Ayres and London: Taylor and Francis.)

IN a previous number of NATURE (vol. iii. p. 282), Prof. Flower has given our readers an account of the first volume of this most meritorious work, and of the objects of its distinguished author in undertaking it. Since Prof. Flower’s article was published, four parts of the second volume of the “Anales” have been issued, containing a series of articles and illustrations of quite as great zoological interest as those in the first volume. The wonders of the extinct Mammalian Fauna of the Argentine Republic are well known, and in the present volume Prof. Burmeister devotes himself to their exposition. In the first part he commences a complete monograph of the Glyptodonts, or extinct gigantic Armadillos, represented by specimens in the museum under his charges

and carries it on to the end of Part IV. In the first volume of the “Annals” Prof. Burmeister, in the course of a general article on the fossil mammals of the diluvium of Buenos Ayres, had given a preliminary exposition of his views as to the arrangement of these wonderful animals. He now enters at length upon the description of the species known to him, and gives a series of splendid lithographs to illustrate their remains. Not only are the bones of the Glyptodonts so perfectly preserved as to enable many of the skeletons to be completely restored, but great portions of the extraordinary suits of armour with which they were clad above and below have likewise been discovered, so that their external appearance can likewise be portrayed. Those who interest themselves in palæontology will do well to secure copies of these beautiful illustrations, a few of which are on sale at Messrs. Taylor and Francis, of Red Lion Court, at 10s. a number.

We should add that, attached to each number of the “Anales” is a “Boletín del Museo Publico de Buenos Ayres,” in which is given an account of the additions made to the establishment during the year. An important acquisition in 1871 was the series of remains of the *Machrauchenia patachonica*, an extinct animal allied to the horses and tapirs, formerly belonging to a naturalist named Bravard, who was killed in the earthquake of Mendoza. These specimens formed the basis of Prof. Burmeister’s complete restoration of this animal, published in the first volume of the “Anales.”

#### OUR BOOK SHELF

*Notes on the Post-pliocene Geology of Canada, &c.* By J. W. Dawson, LL.D., F.R.S., F.G.S. (Montreal: Mitchell and Wilson, 1872.)

THESE “Notes,” which are reprinted from the *Canadian Naturalist*, cannot fail to interest European glacialists. Especially valuable for purposes of comparison are the detailed notes on the fossils obtained from the glacial beds. The lists include in all about 205 species, distributed as follows:—Radiata, 24; Mollusca, 140; Articulata, 26; Vertebrata, 5. All these, with three or four exceptions, may be affirmed, says the author, to be living northern or southern species. Moreover, the fauna of the older part of the Canadian glacial deposits is more Arctic in character than that of the modern part. It would thus appear that since the accumulation of the boulder-clay a gradual amelioration of climate has taken place; but the change from Arctic conditions has evidently been less decided on the west than on the east side of the Atlantic. Dr. Dawson’s conclusions regarding what we may term the physics of the glacial epoch will probably meet with less acceptance than his palæontological results. He considers the Erie-clay described by Whittlesey, Newberry, and others to be of marine, and not of fresh-water origin, as these authors believe. But his reasons for this opinion can hardly be considered satisfactory. When an extensive deposit of fine clay, after having been examined over a wide area, is found not only to be totally destitute of marine organisms, but to contain quantities of drift-wood, and to have associated with it beds of peat and an old soil containing tree roots, the probabilities are that the clay-beds are of fresh-water origin. Besides, if we are not mistaken, fresh-water shells have been got in the Erie clay. That much-vexed question, the origin of boulder-clay comes in for some discussion in these “Notes,” the author inclining to think the deposit is marine. It is somewhat significant, however, that the boulder-clay is only