

tissues does not imply also the redevelopment of the insect. That the tissues are all so redeveloped is undoubted, but they are not all redeveloped at once. I have stated in my book again and again that certain organs are redeveloped in a particular manner, and was never under the impression that the whole was a case of alternate generation. I did not know the origin of the imaginal discs in those days.

With your permission I will add a few words in support of the assertion "that the pupa change is analogous to ordinary ecdysis, of which it is a modification." In ordinary ecdysis the muscles undergo degeneration at their points of attachment to the cast skin; in metamorphosis this change is far more marked. In ecdysis in *Chloëon*, for instance, Sir J. Lubbock (Linn. Soc. Trans., vol. xxiv.) has shown that the wings and thorax are gradually developed through nine successive sheddings of the skin. In the more remarkable metamorphosis of Lepidoptera they are developed in two ecdyses, these two being called metamorphosis. Prof. Owen believed, and the assertion is now widely known, that the larvæ of such insects as the Orthoptera, Neuroptera, &c., exist in the maggot form in the egg; but the observations of Mr. Newport on Meloe, and of Fritz Müller, of Weismann, and many others, go far to prove that this is not so—that the maggot form is intermediate, the half-developed embryo and the pupa or perfect insect, being most alike.

The subject is one of great interest, and therefore I trust you will excuse this long trespass on your pages.

99, Guilford Street

BENJAMIN T. LOWNE

#### In Re Fungi

YOUR sarcastic correspondent "F. L. S." is quite incompetent to reply to my former letter. I did not call in question the correctness of the determination of *Agaricus cartilagineus*, but merely drew attention to the absurdity of the statement that the said determination was made from a mere "mass of mycelium," and that such a statement should come from a journal specially devoted to Botany.

In the original report of the occurrence of *Agaricus cartilagineus* (*Journal of Botany*, vol. iii, p. 28) special reference is there made to the "many-headed pileus;" now some of these "pilei" (not the "mycelium," "F. L. S.,") were forwarded to the Rev. M. J. Berkeley for examination, and from *these materials* he (and not the writer of these lines) made out the plant to be *A. cartilagineus*. Certainly I included the species "without hesitation" in the list of Middlesex Fungi, because I knew the plant referred to had not been determined from a mere "mass of mycelium," but that Mr. Berkeley had examined the perfected parts.

I fail to see why "F. L. S." is so anxious to "allay my alarm as to the decay of Fungology in England," especially as I have never expressed any "alarm" on that head. I do not look upon the *Journal* as such an infallible weathercock as to connect its wrong statement with a national breakdown in Botany; neither do I see how I have "missed the point" of its paragraph. I am more inclined to think that I have *hit it* in a friendly way, and rather hard too.

W. G. S.

#### Mr. Baily on Kiltorkan Fossils

In your last number Mr. Baily is said to have brought forward at a meeting of the Geological Society of Dublin "some strong facts to prove that the Irish palæontologists had not misled Prof. Heer, as stated by Mr. Carruthers at a recent meeting of the London Geological Society."

At the meeting referred to, Prof. Heer placed the Irish beds at the base of the Carboniferous series, mainly because *Sagenaria Veltheimiana*, a coal measure plant, was found in them.

Into this error I said "Prof. Heer had been led chiefly by the erroneous determination of the Kiltorkan *Lepidodendron* by the Irish palæontologists." I will not burthen your columns with the strange history of the nomenclature of this plant, as I shall have an opportunity of doing this elsewhere ere long. The point before us is this, that Mr. Baily alone has the credit of erroneously determining the Kiltorkan plant to be the same as an already described Carboniferous species. And the proof of this is easily adduced. In 1864, Mr. Baily, in his "Explanation of Sheets 187, &c., of the Irish Survey," figures the fossil, and describes it unhesitatingly as "*Sagenaria Veltheimiana*, Sternb. sp." This he repeated in a paper by the lamented Prof. Jukes in 1866

(*Journ. Geol. Soc. Ireland*, i. pp. 123, 124), as well as in a paper by himself read to the Natural History Society of Dublin in the same year (p. 2). Prof. Heer acknowledged his obligations to Mr. Baily for the Irish specimens he had examined. I have examined specimens so distributed by Mr. Baily, and they were named *Sagenaria Veltheimiana*.

In the volume of the British Association Reports, published in 1869, Mr. Baily says (p. 59) that the *Sagenaria* is named by Schimper *S. Bailyana*. More recently (Nov. 1871), in his "Figures of British Fossils" (p. 84), he names it *Knorria Bailyana*. It is not much to the purpose to say that it is neither a *Knorria* nor a *Sagenaria*, or further that the specific designation *Bailyana* must give place, with some dozen other synonyms, to the original name given by Dr. Haughton in 1855. But it is to the purpose to notice that *Sagenaria Veltheimiana* is not a Kiltorkan fossil, though said to be so by Mr. Baily, and that this error, now acknowledged by Mr. Baily himself, was the main foundation of Prof. Heer's argument.

I am not a little curious to know what are the "strong facts" which will overthrow a plain narrative that fully justifies my statement, but at the same time compels me to make it more personal than the truth seemed to me to demand when I made it some months ago.

WILLIAM CARRUTHERS

#### ZOOLOGICAL RESULTS OF THE ECLIPSE EXPEDITION

A STEAMER is eminently unqualified for observations on marine zoology. Owing to the high rate of speed, it is impossible to use a towing net with any success, and to a zoologist it is perfectly tantalising to see swarms of Medusæ, &c., sail past the ship without being able to obtain a single specimen. In Peninsular and Oriental ships the only practicable method is to keep the tap of the baths constantly running through a fine gauze net. In this way quantities of Entomostraca may be obtained. Since we have been in the Red Sea, the water has been splendidly phosphorescent every night, the light being most brilliant where the hot water from the condensers is shed out into the sea, the animals being probably killed by the heat, and emitting in the act one last brilliant flash. If the water be turned on into one of the baths at night, most gorgeous flashes of light are obtained, and the animals causing them may be caught in small vessels and kept for examination. They are at present almost exclusively Entomostraca of the genera *Cypris*, *Cyclops*, and *Daphnis*. When the light is examined spectroscopically, it gives a spectrum in which only the green and yellow are present, the red and blue being sharply cut off. Several species of the Entomostraca obtained contain a brilliant red pigment, which gives unfortunately no absorption bands when examined with the micro-spectroscope. At Suez I obtained a number of Echinodermata of the usual dark purple tint, a splendid *Comatula* in abundance, two species of *Echinus*, and one or two star-fishes. The colouring matter of these animals is readily soluble in fresh water or alcohol, as is that of the common British feather-star. Though its colour is extremely intense, it gives no absorption bands, but when a strong solution is used, the spectrum is reduced to a red band, all the rest of the light being absorbed. Apparently parasitic on a large flat *Spatangus*, were obtained a number of red Planarians, about one-eighth inch long, which gave the characteristic absorption bands of hæmoglobin with great intensity. The existence of hæmoglobin in Planarians is a fact of considerable interest, and I believe quite new. On taking a boat excursion round the shores, where I obtained abundance of large Gasteropods and the Echinodermata mentioned above, I was remarkably struck by the absence of Actinias. Though I was out nearly the whole day, I did not see a single specimen, nor indeed did I observe any large Medusæ. This absence of these latter may perhaps, however, have been due to the set of the wind or tide.

result of the burning of the zinc 100 units of energy as before.

In like manner, if our electric battery is made to do work, thus forming a kind of engine, we shall have the heat produced by the current diminished by the exact equivalent of the mechanical effect which we have obtained from this engine.

There is nothing for nothing in the universe of energy.  
B. STEWART

#### ROUMEGUERE ON FUNGI

*Cryptogamie Illustrée, ou Histoire des Familles naturelles des Plantes Acotyledonnées d'Europe. Famille des Champignons.* Par Casimir Roumeguère. (Paris: J. B. Baillière. 1870.) 4to., pp. 164, figures 1700.

THE numerous introductions to the study of fungi, whether as articles of food, objects of physiological and botanical interest, or as the cause or aggravator of disease both in the animal and vegetable world, which are constantly issuing from the press, or whose speedy appearance is announced, are a certain proof of the daily increasing appreciation of the importance of a tribe which has often been considered as the mere offscourings of the earth, and worthy only of the title of "abominations." These publications of course are of very different value, and the glowing terms in which they are announced sometimes lead only to disappointment after an inconvenient outlay. As a striking instance, Valenti-Serini's work on doubtful or poisonous fungi of the neighbourhood of Turin may be mentioned, which was characterised in the "Annals of Natural History" as "this important work," its true characters being admirably exposed by Mr. Worthington Smith in "Seemann's Journal of Botany;" and unsparing as the remarks are, I consider that they are completely justified. It is simply a disgrace to the Academy under whose auspices it is published.\*

This is not, however, the case with the publication whose title is given above; for though it is far from being free from faults, and the illustrations, though selected with considerable skill, are in some cases so coarse as almost to render them useless; still there is such a mass of information as may make it acceptable even to those who are well versed in the subject; and though unfortunately the several matters which come under review are seldom thoroughly worked out, yet they indicate the proper line of research and the best sources of information, in such a manner as to ensure it a hearty welcome. Every possible nook and corner of the mycological library seems to have been thoroughly ransacked, and that without any national prepossession such as occasionally detracts from the credit even of highly approved authorities. Indeed I was not a little surprised to find how diligently English works on the subject had been sifted, and not the less to recognise an allusion even to a sectional address at Norwich, though the remarks of its author have not been quite correctly interpreted.

It is not likely that there should be much novelty in so unpretentious a work, and perhaps it may be as well that no new views should be propounded, founded on imperfect data. It is a great matter to find no glaring errors likely

\* I need only refer to Tab. 30 to justify this remark; and this instance is not a solitary one.

to mislead; though here and there the drift of what has been written may have been misunderstood.

It is scarcely possible to overrate the importance of the study of fungi in any of the points of view which were enumerated. The Society of Arts and the Horticultural Society of London have very properly called attention to the great importance of fungi as articles of food, by encouraging inquiry or offering rewards for the best collections of esculent, doubtful, and poisonous species. The South Kensington Museum has also done its part. The very faithful set of drawings by Mr. W. G. Smith, exhibited on its walls, and the admirably prepared specimens by Mr. English—which retain their form perfectly, and, to a great extent, their proper colours—must eventually facilitate the due discrimination, which, as in the case of other vegetable esculents, must be matter of experience. It is quite lamentable to reflect what a vast quantity of wholesome food, and food which, from its chemical composition, may profitably replace the consumption of meat in the labourer's family, is utterly neglected, either from ignorance or prejudice.

In the second point of view as regards their physiological and botanical interest, it need only be mentioned with respect to the former, that, with the exception of the true algæ, the phenomena of impregnation cannot be studied more profitably than in those wonderful plants which occur on dead animals or decaying vegetables in water, and which are, undoubtedly, aquatic forms of various moulds, though in some respects they approach the algæ. Then as respects a biological point of view, the question of the origin of atmospheric germs, one of the most difficult of solution which can engage the attention of the microscopist, and which, in my opinion, has never been carried out so as to trace *accurately*, and free from all doubt, the development of the minute bodies which occur in fluids, whether of organic or inorganic composition, into higher forms; while the botanist will find a variety of form and structure which is scarcely surpassed in the higher branches of the science.

As regards the third point. If we consider fungi as the causes or aggravators of disease, it may be remarked, that, notwithstanding all that has been written on the subject, a great deal still remains to be discovered. The dreadful forms of Erysipelas and Hospital Gangrene, which occur so fatally in London hospitals, are, in all probability, dependent somehow on fungi, though the matter has not, hitherto, been found capable of proof, and whatever may be thought of Dr. Tyndall's views, the medical world cannot be too thankful to him for bringing the matter so prominently before the public.\* The same also may be said with respect to Dr. Hallier's speculations, though, as I believe, they have been justly challenged both here and on the Continent.

A great deal is known about the influence of fungi in the production of disease in plants, but much more remains to be discovered. It may, eventually, prove that

\* That the reproductive bodies of the larger fungi and moulds are widely carried about by the air, will be very evident to any one who has seen the clouds of spores which, in some cases, arise like smoke on the least agitation. Some years ago two flakes of snow were sent to me from Hampstead, prepared as microscopic objects, with the intention of exhibiting the organic matters which they might carry down with them in their course, and both, undoubtedly, contained perfect spores of fungi. Much more than may we expect that organisms which do not exceed a thirtieth or a fiftieth part of their diameter, and which are quite invisible except under very high magnifying powers, should be present everywhere to perform their functions as putrefactive ferments.