Location of corneal epithelial stem cells

Arising from: Majo, F., Rochat, A., Nicolas, M., Jaoude, G. A. & Barrandon, Y. Nature 456, 250-254 (2008).

The longstanding concept that corneal epithelial stem cells reside mainly in the limbus is supported by the absence of major corneal epithelial differentiation markers, that is, K3 and K12 keratins, in limbal basal cells (these markers are expressed, however, in corneal basal cells, thus distinguishing the mode of keratin expression in corneal epithelium from that of all other stratified epithelia), the centripetal migration of corneal epithelial cells, the exclusive location of slow-cycling cells in the limbal basal layer, the superior in vitro proliferative potential of limbal epithelial cells, and the transplanted limbal cells' ability to reconstitute corneal epithelium in vivo (reviewed in refs 1–4). Moreover, previous data indicate that corneal and conjunctival epithelia represent two separate cell lineages (reviewed in refs 1-4). Majo et al.⁵ suggested, however, that corneal and conjunctival epithelia are equipotent, and that identical oligopotent stem cells are present throughout the corneal, limbal and conjunctival epithelia. We point out here that these suggestions are inconsistent with many known growth, differentiation and cell migration properties of the anterior ocular epithelia.

Majo *et al.* suggested that corneal and conjunctival stem cells are equipotent because corneal epithelial cells could form goblet cells, and because cultured (thus somewhat 'de-differentiated') pig corneal and conjunctival cells shared a similar phenotype⁵. They may have overlooked, however, reports showing that cultured rabbit corneal/limbal epithelial cells, but not conjunctival cells, expressed K3/K12 keratins^{6–8}; conversely, conjunctival epithelial cells, but not corneal cells, formed goblet cells when transplanted into athymic mice^{8,9}. Similar phenotypic specificity was preserved in cultured human limbal/corneal and conjunctival cells¹⁰. Moreover, human and rabbit studies showed that limbal epithelial cells, but not conjunctival cells, could restore a true corneal epithelium (reviewed in ref. 4). These data have established that limbal/corneal and conjunctival epithelia are not equipotent and that they represent two distinct cell lineages governed by their own stem cells (reviewed in refs 4, 9 and 10).

Majo et al. suggested that corneal epithelium contained stem cells because corneal epithelium gave rise to large colonies, serially transplanted mouse central corneal epithelium could regenerate, and transplanted mouse limbal cells did not migrate centripetally⁵. Although their data showed that some pig corneal cells have significant proliferative potential, this property is not unique to stem cells: some transit amplifying cells such as hair matrix are known to be able to divide numerous times. Hence, a more meaningful test is to compare the growth potential of corneal and limbal cells by serially passaging them under identical culture conditions. Such studies have established that rabbit and human limbal cells have a much greater proliferative capacity than corneal cells^{7,10}. Moreover, Majo et al.'s data (see figure 3b in ref. 5) showed that although corneal cells of rabbit, pig and sheep grew well in primary culture, those of human¹⁰ and calf did not. Such a major species variation argues against the idea that corneal epithelium contains stem cells (which, if they exist, cannot be slow-cycling given that they are undetectable as labelretaining cells²). Regarding the ability of corneal epithelium to selfsustain, Huang and Tseng showed that, after limbal removal, rabbit central corneal epithelium can remain apparently intact for a long time until it is wounded, indicating that central corneal cells have a significant maintenance potential until it is perturbed¹¹. Finally, Majo et al.'s negative finding that limbal cells do not migrate centripetally contradicts many reports establishing that, in intact human¹² and mouse eyes^{13,14} (that have not been surgically manipulated) corneal epithelial cells undergo centripetal migration. Collectively, the existing data strongly suggest that corneal epithelial stem cells reside mainly, if not exclusively, in the limbus.

Finally, Majo *et al.*'s model hypothesized that both corneal and conjunctival epithelial cells migrated towards the limbus (the 'tectonic plate confrontation model'). They may have overlooked, however, several reports showing that conjunctival cells do not migrate¹⁵, while corneal cells undergo centripetal, rather than centrifugal, migration^{12–14}. We conclude that this model, which suggests (1) that corneal and conjunctival epithelia are equipotent, (2) that identical oligopotent stem cells are distributed throughout the anterior ocular surface epithelium including the central corneal epithelium, and (3) that corneal and conjunctival epithelial cells migrate towards the limbus, is incompatible with existing data.

Tung-Tien Sun¹, Scheffer C. Tseng² & Robert M. Lavker³

¹Departments of Cell Biology, Dermatology, Pharmacology and Urology, New York University School of Medicine, New York, New York 10016, USA.

e-mail: sunt01@nyumc.org

²Department of Tissue Technology, Ocular Surface Center, Ocular Surface Research and Education Foundation, Miami, Florida 33173, USA. ³Department of Dermatology, Northwestern University, Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois 60611, USA.

Received 24 January 2009; accepted 19 November 2009.

- Schermer, A., Galvin, S. & Sun, T. T. Differentiation-related expression of a major 64K corneal keratin *in vivo* and in culture suggests limbal location of corneal epithelial stem cells. *J. Cell Biol.* 103, 49–62 (1986).
- Cotsarelis, G., Cheng, S. Z., Dong, G., Sun, T. T. & Lavker, R. M. Existence of slowcycling limbal epithelial basal cells that can be preferentially stimulated to proliferate: implications on epithelial stem cells. *Cell* 57, 201–209 (1989).
- Kenyon, K. R. & Tseng, S. C. Limbal autograft transplantation for ocular surface disorders. Ophthalmology 96 (5), 709–722; discussion 722–723 (1989).
- Lavker, R. M., Tseng, S. C. & Sun, T. T. Corneal epithelial stem cells at the limbus: looking at some old problems from a new angle. *Exp. Eye Res.* 78, 433–446 (2004).
- Majo, F., Rochat, A., Nicolas, M., Jaoude, G. A. & Barrandon, Y. Oligopotent stem cells are distributed throughout the mammalian ocular surface. *Nature* 456, 250–254 (2008).
- Sun, T.-T. & Green, H. Cultured epithelial cells of cornea, conjunctiva and skin: absence of marked intrinsic divergence of their differentiated states. *Nature* 269, 489–493 (1977).
- Wei, Z. G., Wu, R. L., Lavker, R. M. & Sun, T. T. *In vitro* growth and differentiation of rabbit bulbar, fornix, and palpebral conjunctival epithelia. Implications on conjunctival epithelial transdifferentiation and stem cells. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 34, 1814–1828 (1993).
- Doran, T. I., Vidrich, A. & Sun, T.-T. Intrinsic and extrinsic regulation of the differentiation of skin, corneal and esophageal epithelial cells. *Cell* 22, 17–25 (1980).
- Wei, Z. G., Sun, T. T. & Lavker, R. M. Rabbit conjunctival and corneal epithelial cells belong to two separate lineages. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 37, 523–533 (1996).
- Pellegrini, G. et al. Location and clonal analysis of stem cells and their differentiated progeny in the human ocular surface. J. Cell Biol. 145, 769–782 (1999).
- Huang, A. J. & Tseng, S. C. Corneal epithelial wound healing in the absence of limbal epithelium. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 32, 96–105 (1991).
- Auran, J. D. *et al.* Scanning slit confocal microscopic observation of cell morphology and movement within the normal human anterior cornea. *Ophthalmology* **102**, 33–41 (1995).
- Collinson, J. M. *et al.* Clonal analysis of patterns of growth, stem cell activity, and cell movement during the development and maintenance of the murine corneal epithelium. *Dev. Dyn.* 224, 432–440 (2002).
- Nagasaki, T. & Zhao, J. Centripetal movement of corneal epithelial cells in the normal adult mouse. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 44, 558–566 (2003).
- Nagasaki, T. & Zhao, J. Uniform distribution of epithelial stem cells in the bulbar conjunctiva. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 46, 126–132 (2005).

Competing financial interests: declared none.

doi:10.1038/nature08805

Majo et al. reply

Replying to: T.-T. Sun, S. C. Tseng & R. M. Lavker Nature 463, doi:10.1038/nature08805 (2010)

Our claim is not that there are no stem cells in the limbus, but that there is more to corneal renewal than the limbus and that the double-dome-shaped structure of the cornea and physical constraints have a crucial impact on cell dynamics¹.

Sun and colleagues² imply that in our paper³ we misused the term 'holoclones' that we defined as stem cells⁴; the central cornea of the pig contains numerous true holoclones, meaning that the cornea of the pig has extensive growth potential and the ability to be serial passaged *in vitro*. We agree that there are species differences among mammals; nonetheless, all corneas that we have investigated, including calf and human, contain colony-forming cells. Fifty cell doublings in pig cornea is not trivial and contradicts the model proposed by Sun and colleagues⁵; we quote their abstract "we demonstrate the existence of a hierarchy of TA cells; those of peripheral cornea undergo at least two rounds of DNA synthesis before they become post-mitotic, whereas those of central cornea are capable of only one round of division". It also does not agree with Huang and Tseng's experiment⁶ showing "that, after limbal removal, rabbit central corneal epithelium can remain apparently intact for a long time until it is wounded, indicating that central cornea cells have a significant maintenance potential".

Our results show that corneal cells can form goblet cells when they migrate onto a conjunctival environment (in mouse) or generate true goblet cell colonies when cloned (in pig). Corneal differentiation is found in human conjunctiva⁷, conjunctival cells may be successfully transplanted in the human to replace cornea⁸, and there are reports of cornea remaining transparent for years in limbal deficiency⁹. Furthermore, corneal cells¹⁰, like conjunctival cells (our unpublished results), can form hairy skin when exposed to an inductive skin microenvironment, indicating a greater plasticity than anticipated and that stem cell fate strongly depends on stromal signals.

We are not aware of any paper that clearly demonstrates stem cell migration from the limbus. Buck¹¹ in his landmark paper has not demonstrated basal cell migration; we quote his abstract: "the median distance migrated was about 17 µm per day. This figure represents the distance through which superficial and wing cells had migrated; the distance migrated by basal cells was not determined". Nagazaki and Zhao¹² have presented evidence of movement in the cornea but not that the migrating cells actually originated from the limbus ('from' is not the same as 'near'). An overcrowding of the corneal epithelium, a source of tension and sliding as previously emphasized by Sun and colleagues¹³, or sequential activation of the β -actin promoter can easily explain these observations. Similarly, the spiral stripe organization mixing clockwise and counterclockwise clones¹⁴ is highly reminiscent of centrifugal growth originating from a small number of stem cells originally located in central cornea. This biological model occurs widely in nature, for instance in the growth of a daisy, as the easiest and

most efficient way to fill space, a notion supported by mathematical models¹⁵ and a clothoid growth model (Euler spiral).

François Majo¹, Ariane Rochat^{2,3}, Michael Nicolas¹,

Georges Abou Jaoudé⁴ & Yann Barrandon^{2,3}

¹Hôpital Ophtalmique Jules Gonin, Avenue de France 15, 1004 Lausanne CH, Switzerland.

²Department of Experimental Surgery Lausanne University Hospital (CHUV), 1011 Lausanne CH, Switzerland.

³Laboratory of Stem Cell Dynamics, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), 1015 Lausanne CH, Switzerland.

e-mail: yann.barrandon@epfl.ch

⁴Laboratory of Informatics and Visualization, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), 1015 Lausanne CH, Switzerland.

- Dupps, W. J. Jr & Wilson, S. E. Biomechanics and wound healing in the cornea. Exp. Eye Res. 83, 709–720 (2006).
- Sun, T.-T., Tseng, S. C. & Lavker, R. M. Location of corneal epithelial stem cells. Nature 463, doi:10.1038/nature08805 (2010).
- Majo, F., Rochat, A., Nicolas, M., Jaoude, G. A. & Barrandon, Y. Oligopotent stem cells are distributed throughout the mammalian ocular surface. *Nature* 456, 250–254 (2008).
- Barrandon, Y. & Green, H. Three clonal types of keratinocyte with different capacities for multiplication. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 84, 2302–2306 (1987).
- Lehrer, M. S., Sun, T. T. & Lavker, R. M. Strategies of epithelial repair: modulation of stem cell and transit amplifying cell proliferation. J. Cell Sci. 111, 2867–2875 (1998).
- Huang, A. J. & Tseng, S. C. Corneal epithelial wound healing in the absence of limbal epithelium. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 32, 96–105 (1991).
- Kawasaki, S. et al. Clusters of corneal epithelial cells reside ectopically in human conjunctival epithelium. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 47, 1359–1367 (2006).
- Di Girolamo, N. et al. A contact lens-based technique for expansion and transplantation of autologous epithelial progenitors for ocular surface reconstruction. *Transplantation* 87, 1571–1578 (2009).
- Dua, H. S., Miri, A., Alomar, T., Yeung, A. M. & Said, D. G. The role of limbal stem cells in corneal epithelial maintenance: testing the dogma. *Ophthalmology* 116, 856–863 (2009).
- Ferraris, C., Chevalier, G., Favier, B., Jahoda, C. A. & Dhouailly, D. Adult corneal epithelium basal cells possess the capacity to activate epidermal, pilosebaceous and sweat gland genetic programs in response to embryonic dermal stimuli. *Development* 127, 5487–5495 (2000).
- Buck, R. C. Measurement of centripetal migration of normal corneal epithelial cells in the mouse. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 26, 1296–1299 (1985).
- Nagasaki, T. & Zhao, J. Centripetal movement of corneal epithelial cells in the normal adult mouse. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 44, 558–566 (2003).
- Lavker, R. M. et al. Relative proliferative rates of limbal and corneal epithelia. Implications of corneal epithelial migration, circadian rhythm, and suprabasally located DNA-synthesizing keratinocytes. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 32, 1864–1875 (1991).
- Collinson, J. M. *et al.* Clonal analysis of patterns of growth, stem cell activity, and cell movement during the development and maintenance of the murine corneal epithelium. *Dev. Dyn.* 224, 432–440 (2002).
- 15. Stewart, I. Mathematical recreations: Daisy, Daisy, give me your answer, do. *Sci. Am.* **272**, 96–99 (1995).

Competing financial interests: declared none.

doi:10.1038/nature08806