species such as sandhill cranes (*Grus canadensis*) and bees return to the places of their birth. But from an evolutionary standpoint, it is important for some individuals not to get homing quite right.

Take salmon. These fish use smell to find their streams of origin, as was corroborated by experiments in which they were raised in hatcheries and exposed to a particular chemical, then released into Lake Michigan. Each of their spawning streams was treated with one of the chemicals, and indeed 90% of the salmon selected the stream with the smell of home. It is likely that most of the remainder did not survive; possibly they selected the 'correct' waterway, but it had become unsuitable for spawning. However, some of these mostly suicidal explorer fish would have survived, by finding different, habitable streams. This is essential for the long-term survival of the species.

I think Heinrich could have left out the chapter 'Homing to the Herd', in which he switches his discussions from homing based on place to homing based on the flock. He sees the mass gatherings of the now-extinct Rocky Mountain locusts (*Melanoplus spretus*) as the home, even though they shifted from place to place. And in his treatment of the also extinct passenger pigeon (*Ectopistes migratorius*), which up to its demise in the late 1870s gathered in everything from pairs to aggregations of billions, he gets some important facts wrong.

It is true that passenger pigeons "were reputed to nest for most of the year wherever and whenever they found food". But those so reputing were often hunters or dealers in the game-meat industry, who wanted to allay fears that the birds were disappearing. In any event, as the naturalist A. W. Schorger (whom Heinrich cites as a reference) made clear, passenger pigeons generally nested only once a year. Heinrich bases much of this discussion on a comparison with the mourning dove (Zenaida macroura); however, genetic work has shown the passenger pigeon to be much closer to a group that includes the bandtailed pigeon (Patagioenas fasciata).

Having said all that, this is an informative and fascinating book. In choosing to explore this elemental behaviour across such a vast range of taxa, Heinrich has written a work for which many will provide a home.

Joel Greenberg is research associate at the Peggy Notebaert Nature Museum and the Field Museum in Chicago, Illinois. He is the author of A Feathered River Across the Sky: The Passenger Pigeon's Flight to Extinction. e-mail: joelrgreenberg@gmail.com

## **Books in brief**



## The Language Hoax: Why the World Looks the Same in Any Language

John H. McWhorter OxFord University Press (2014)

In this manifesto for a logical linguistics, one of John H. McWhorter's many intriguing examples hinges on the colour blue. To an English speaker, it is one subtly graded hue, from robin's-egg to deep navy. To a Russian, pale and dark blue are different colours. But does this point to crucial differences in how Russian and English speakers perceive? Emphatically not, avers McWhorter. He tackles such linguistic determinism — the Sapir–Whorf hypothesis — head on, arguing that world views are human, not strapped to one culture.



Inheritance: How Our Genes Change Our Lives — and Our Lives Change Our Genes

Sharon Moalem GRAND CENTRAL PUBLISHING (2014) Physician Sharon Moalem delivers heavyweight genetics with a light touch in this study of "flexible inheritance" — the perpetual tango between genes and experience. He uses diagnostic readings of his patients (a bluish white of the eye, for instance, can indicate the bone-weakening condition osteogenesis imperfecta) as springboards for broader discussion on the complexities of genetic expression, 'handedness' and more. The idea of the genetic life sentence is shifting, he argues, even with some serious disorders.



The Science of Shakespeare: A New Look at the Playwright's Universe Dan Falk THOMAS DUNNE BOOKS (2014)

As the 450th anniversary of Shakespeare's birth looms, many are debating whether the bard's plays reflect the new science of his era — a point that historian Jennifer Rampling has made in these pages (*Nature* **508**, 39–40; 2014). Here, science writer Dan Falk weighs in, combing the dramas for traces of findings such as heliocentricity. Falk speculates entertainingly as he confers with scientists and Shakespeare experts, some sceptical, some gung-ho about the possibilities. But as with so much regarding the enigma from Stratford-upon-Avon, Falk's conclusion is ambiguous.



## The Globalization of Clean Energy Technology: Lessons from China Kelly Sims Gallagher MIT PRESS (2014)

The need for clean-energy innovation has never been greater, yet pundits argue that trade barriers and intellectual-property issues hinder technology diffusion. Kelly Sims Gallagher's study of China the world's leading energy consumer, and a hotbed for the production and export of imported technologies — offers nuance. Her take on the country's solar photovoltaic industry, for instance, busts myths about barriers, revealing that channels such as joint research and development have globalized technology transfer. The real issue, she argues, is a lack of viable government-policy incentives.



## Are We All Scientific Experts Now?

Harry Collins POLITY PRESS (2014)

Forty years of work with gravitational-wave physicists has given sociologist Harry Collins an unusual perspective on scientific expertise, as chronicled in *Gravity's Ghost and Big Dog* (University of Chicago Press, 2013; see M. de Laet *Nature* **501**, 164–165; 2013). Here, he distils his findings to clarify why specialized scientific knowledge is essential for all. Partly a polemic for lay readers still aghast at Climategate, this is also a reminder of why the values and aspirations of lab scientists matter. Barbara Kiser