

reductions — perhaps even the 17% cut by 2020 that Obama promised at the United Nations climate summit in Copenhagen in 2009.

Many see the reductions as an opportunity. They “should give Americans confidence that climate policies can be effective”, says Paul Bledsoe, an environmental consultant in Washington DC and a White House climate-change official under former president Bill Clinton.

As a next step, Obama’s administration is expected to impose two greenhouse-gas regulations targeted at power plants, which are responsible for roughly 40% of US emissions. The first, proposed last year by the Environmental Protection Agency but not yet finalized, would limit emissions from new plants, effectively banning the construction of coal-fired plants that are not equipped to capture and sequester carbon dioxide.

A second rule, not yet released, could set emissions limits for existing plants, encouraging the shift towards natural gas. Other rules could target the oil and gas industry by limiting emissions from refineries and drilling sites.

But these piecemeal regulatory efforts will not be sufficient to reduce emissions by 83% by mid-century — a target promised by Obama at the Copenhagen talks. One question is whether the president can build support for a broad programme of energy research and development that could drive down the cost of large-scale, low-carbon energy, and ultimately make a carbon tax or a cap-and-trade agreement politically palatable.

The President’s Council of Advisors on Science and Technology has recommended increasing spending on energy research and development from around US\$4 billion per year to \$16 billion, and some organizations have advocated even more. Armond Cohen, executive director of the Clean Air Task Force in Boston, Massachusetts, argues that Obama could attract conservative support for a strategic research programme focused on large-scale energy technologies such as carbon capture and storage methods and advanced nuclear reactors. Such a programme might look like the energy department’s Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy, itself inspired by a similar defence-department programme, says Cohen. Once technologies are developed, government agencies could use their buying power to expand production and reduce prices.

“We don’t want to see Obama walk in and just play small ball again,” says Cohen. “Obama really needs to take this innovation problem on head on.” ■ [SEE EDITORIAL P.577](#)

CORRECTION

The News Feature ‘Dynasty’ (*Nature* **493**, 286–289; 2013) wrongly stated that Peter Kareiva was a student of Bob Paine. Kareiva is in fact a friend of Paine’s.

CLIMATE TEAM CHANGE

Turnover at the top

Even as US President Barack Obama vows action against climate change, he is expected to lose the leaders of three agencies with important stakes in environment issues. The names of possible replacements have begun to circulate, although none has been named officially.



DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Departing: **Steven Chu**

In addition to overseeing US\$37 billion awarded to the department by the 2009 US stimulus package, **Chu** (pictured) restructured research at the energy agency, garnering political support for the high-risk, high-reward Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy, as well as for five Energy Innovation Hubs for integrated and applied research. The stimulus funding came under intense criticism from conservatives, especially the \$535 million that went to now-defunct solar-cell manufacturer Solyndra of Fremont,

California. But scientists and environmentalists are pushing for an expanded effort to nurture low-carbon technologies.

Candidates: **Byron Dorgan, Dan Reicher**

A former Democratic senator for North Dakota, **Dorgan** has three decades of congressional experience representing a state at the heart of the shale-oil boom, and has said that hydraulic-fracturing technologies, used properly, are safe. **Reicher**, an attorney by training, previously headed Google’s \$1-billion initiative for investing in energy and climate, where he guided investments into solar technologies and electric transport. He served as the energy agency’s assistant secretary for efficiency and renewable energy under former president Bill Clinton and was a staff member on then-president Jimmy Carter’s commission to investigate the 1979 Three Mile Island nuclear accident in Pennsylvania.



ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Departing: **Lisa Jackson**

On entering office in 2009, **Jackson** (pictured) laid the groundwork for climate regulations by formally declaring carbon dioxide a dangerous pollutant. Since then, her agency has developed the first US greenhouse-gas standards for vehicles, tightened air-quality standards and proposed emissions limits for power plants. Her successor will lead efforts to take action on global warming by imposing new regulations on industry.

Candidates: **Christine Gregoire, Bob Perciasepe**

A former governor of Washington, **Gregoire** signed a 2010 law setting up greenhouse-gas reporting requirements and requiring state agencies to reduce emissions, but pulled Washington out of the Western Climate Initiative, a regional emissions-trading programme led by California. She has also been floated as a candidate to lead the Department of the Interior and the energy department. **Perciasepe**, currently deputy administrator at the environment agency, developed a watershed-protection programme while previously at the agency under Bill Clinton. Before joining the Obama administration, he was chief operating officer at the National Audubon Society, a conservation organization in New York.



NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

Departing: **Jane Lubchenco**

Lubchenco (pictured) promoted a new US oceans policy and overhauled the way the agency disseminated environmental data. She encountered criticism for the handling of findings related to the Deepwater Horizon oil spill, and she was unable to sell the idea of a federal agency for climate services. Her successor will face questions about catch limits in ocean fisheries, and will need to resolve cost overruns and delays that have plagued weather- and climate-satellite programmes.

Candidate: **Donald Boesch**

A biological oceanographer, **Boesch** is currently president of the Center for Environmental Science at the University of Maryland in Cambridge, where he studies ecosystem management and climate change. He was a member of the White House commission that investigated the 2010 Deepwater Horizon oil spill.

NICOLAS ASFOURI/AFP/GETTY

KEVIN WOLFF/AP PHOTO

CHIP SOMODEVILLA/GETTY