campaigns quickly and cheaply. Yet presidential elections in the United States continue to be major landmarks in this area because of their vast campaign budgets, global media attention and the availability of cutting-edge expertise donated by employees of the major US-based Internet companies.

Political parties everywhere have great interest in digital campaigns, especially on the back of Obama's success; it is now recognized that online activity has moved from an optional extra to an essential element of campaigning. These campaigns may bring different supporters, donors and activists into the political process. We would be right, however, to follow the considered approach of this book in not assuming that enhanced automation of campaigns will effect significant changes in political power. Based on current evidence, any claims that we are reaching a digitally powered democratic Utopia are indeed more myth than reality. **Richard Allan** is director of global policy and government affairs at Cisco Europe, 9–11 New Square, Bedfont Lakes, Feltham, Middlesex TW14 8HA, UK, and was the Member of Parliament for Sheffield Hallam, UK, from 1997 to 2005. e-mail: ricallan@cisco.com

Science for the greater economic good

Tapping the Riches of Science: Universitiesand the Promise of Economic Growthby Roger L. Geiger and Creso M. SáHarvard University Press: 2009. 262 pp.\$39.95, £29.95

When I moved back to Cambridge, UK, in 2002 after a 21-year absence, the biggest difference I noticed was the high house prices in the city centre. These reflected the high earnings, not of senior academics but of businessmen, some millionaires, working in the surrounding science parks. The university had changed little, but spin-out companies, entrepreneurialism and wealth generation brought real-world concerns closer to the ivory tower. A 2006 study (see http://tinyurl.com/bv8xk6) concluded that if the university disappeared, 77,000 local jobs and a net value in the region of £21 billion (US\$29.5 billion) would go with it.

Tapping the Riches of Science looks at how universities in the United States have similarly become important generators of local and national economic growth. The Bayh-Dole Act of 1980 gave universities the ownership of intellectual property generated by federal research grants, and the responsibility for exploiting that intellectual property. Such roles have been largely viewed with suspicion. Derek Bok, former president of Harvard University, wrote in Universities in the Marketplace (Princeton University Press, 2003) that universities were becoming the handmaidens of football leagues, pharmaceutical industries and online providers of education. In Science For Sale (University of Chicago Press, 2007), Daniel Greenberg stressed the conflicts of interest between faculty and the pharmaceutical and biomedical industries.

With its dispassionate analysis, *Tapping the Riches of Science* is welcome. It includes the upsides and downsides of the rise of economic development, which has become the fourth mission of US universities after teaching, research and outreach. Authors Roger Geiger and Creso Sá emphasize that universities are in the driving seat and stand to benefit most



Innovations by university researchers, such as this rapid visual test for HIV, are crucial to economic growth.

from links to industry: "The universities of the twenty-first century have essentially exploited the opportunities inherent in economic relevance to garner increased resources from both industry and government."

Geiger and Sá point out that university research has long driven economic growth in the United States. After the Hatch Act of 1887, federal funds allocated to land-grant colleges and universities established agricultural research centres. As a university mission, technology transfer became entrenched after 1945, with defence-related labs being formed at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and the University of California, Berkeley, among others. Intriguingly, it is the campuses that gained experience of working with industry and the military that embraced the economic agenda earliest; Yale University and Harvard University were among the last. Health science also enjoyed rapid expansion in parallel with the growth of the US National Institutes of Health.

In recent years, universities have come to be viewed as small but vital players in the national innovation system. The twin paths of innovation — the support of large corporations through licensing agreements, and the incubation of new industries through spin-outs and start-ups have provided challenges in their interactions on campus, including questions over ownership of intellectual property, conflicts of interest and debates about subsidized access to labs. Many early partnerships were the result of enterprising senior individuals, but as these linkages have grown, institution-wide adjustments have become necessary to accommodate them.

The idea that universities will 'get rich quick' through the exploitation of their own intellectual property has been replaced by a more realistic view of the 'public good' element of local economic growth. The dichotomy between public and private, between seeking profit and crystallizing the value of what was originally public-good research will remain a source of debate, but we are now over the naive hurdle that the two cannot mix.

The relationship between business and the academic core is fascinating, affecting the development of disciplines and the appointment of faculty. The formation and governance

of specialized multidisciplinary institutes, both within the university and with government or corporate partners, is described in detail.

US universities with a strong science and engineering base have gone the farthest down this route. This trend is reflected in the book, which somewhat under-represents the role of arts and humanities faculty in creating partnerships and innovation. The pace of innovation may be slowed in universities that are governed from the bottom-up by faculty, whereas it is more likely to be pushed by governing bodies that have a majority of external members.

Tapping the Riches of Science focuses on the large and diverse US university system. I would like to see this study extended to consider the effects of globalization. Not all university systems are as well placed to be a partner in global consortia. For example, entrepreneurial faculty may fare better in the independent universities of the United Kingdom compared with those in Japan or many countries in Europe, where professors are essentially civil servants.

Moreover, it is the global problems that are coming to the fore — overpopulation, poverty, climate change, financial instability and inequity. In 20 years, I anticipate that a similar book will describe how universities in different global consortia will have succeeded in getting their institutional arms around these complex problems. Providing global solutions will involve a greater proportion of the faculty, not just from science and engineering, necessarily working in multidisciplinary teams. Such collaboration will further erode Cardinal Newman's nineteenth-century vision of a university as a self-governing community of disinterested scholars.

Michael Kelly is chief scientific adviser to the UK Department for Communities and Local Government, and the Prince Philip Professor of Technology in the Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 OFA, UK. e-mail: mjk1@cam.ac.uk

Bringing clarity to complexity

Complexity: A Guided Tour by Melanie Mitchell Oxford University Press: 2009. 368 pp. £14.99, \$29.95

For several decades, scientists studying complex systems — rich, collective systems such as ant colonies, economies and cells — have spoken of 'emergence', the mysterious process by which the collective whole acquires resilience, adaptability and other surprising properties, even though its components are simple. The archetypal example is the ant colony, which manages to forage intelligently for food and organize collective defence by exploiting the limited skills of its individual ant citizens.

The ideas of complexity have spread across science, and emergence has become a buzzword. Philosopher Mark Bedau has suggested, however, that it poses a puzzle, as it demands that two seemingly contradictory statements must be true. In complex systems, organized phenomena at higher levels depend on processes at lower levels: everything in a cell, for example, depends on the processes of atomic physics. Yet phenomena emerging at higher levels gain autonomy from lower levels: the body's organs and their interactions can be described and explained without reference to atomic physics.

How can something be dependent and autonomous at the same time? And why do so many systems in nature show this hierarchical organization? No one has answered these questions, but in *Complexity*, computer scientist Melanie Mitchell of the Santa Fe Institute, New Mexico, offers a valuable snapshot of the growing field of complex-systems science from which the answers may eventually arise.

Mitchell explores the historical roots of this area in the work of visionaries such as Henri Poincaré and Edward Lorenz in dynamicalsystems theory, and of John von Neumann, Alan Turing and others in computation. The unifying feature of complex systems, Mitchell emphasizes, is that systems as diverse as cells, economies and ecosystems, as well as the human brain, all process information, and do so in a way that makes them rich, adaptable and hard to understand. The book hits its stride in its latter half, with an insightful survey of recent developments in complex-network theory and scaling in biology.

Especially valuable is the book's exploration of recent attempts to categorize the dynamics of cellular automata — simple systems that act as models for the study of rich dynamics. Some of this work, under the name of computational mechanics and linked to the ideas of Mitchell's former colleague, the late Jim Crutchfield, probes the fundamental 'information physics' of complex systems in general. This focus of the book is commendable, as much of the literature of complex-systems research dwells on more expansive philosophical themes at the expense of the 'boring' details of specific models. Yet intense scrutiny of such models may ultimately reveal clues to solving Bedau's mystery.

Mitchell touches on the many practical applications of this science, ideas put into practice by forward-looking companies such as Cisco and Capital One. The book is timely, given that many analyses of the present financial crisis have concluded that the key issue is how markets have outstripped our ability to understand them.

It has become fashionable in recent years to criticize complex-systems science for generating too much hype and not offering enough practical insight. But insights into truly complex problems do not come easy. Mitchell's welcome book makes it clear that this field is making steady, if slow, progress. Mark Buchanan is a writer based in the United Kingdom and author of *The Social Atom*. e-mail: buchanan.mark@gmail.com



As a colony, ants perform complex tasks that individuals could not achieve alone, such as tending larvae.